APPEAL, MEDIA, TranscriptREQ, NOCLOSE, DirApl

Date filed: 07/18/2013

U.S. Bankruptcy Court Eastern District of Michigan (Detroit) Bankruptcy Petition #: 13-53846-tit

Plan confirmed: 11/12/2014 Assigned to: Judge Thomas J. Tucker Deadline for filing claims: 02/21/2014 Chapter 9

Voluntary No asset

Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan 2 Woodward Avenue **Suite 1126** Detroit, MI 48226 WAYNE-MI Tax ID / EIN: 38-6004606 represented by Bruce Bennett

555 S. Flower Street 50th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213)489-3939

Èmail: bbennett@jonesday.com

Eric D. Carlson 150 West Jefferson **Suite 2500**

Detroit, MI 48226 313-496-7567

Email: carlson@millercanfield.com

Mary Beth Cobbs

2 Woodward Avenue

Suite 500

Detroit, MI 48226 313-237-3075

Fax: 313-224-5505

Email: cobbm@detroitmi.gov

Tamar Dolcourt

500 Woodward Ave.

Suite 2700

Detroit, MI 48226 313-234-7161

Email: tdolcourt@folev.com

Timothy A. Fusco

150 West Jefferson

Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226-4415

(313) 496-8435

Email: fusco@millercanfield.com

Eric B. Gaabo

2 Woodward Avenue

Ste. 500

Detroit, MI 48226

313-237-3052

Email: gaabe@detroitmi.gov

Jonathan S. Green

150 W. Jefferson

Ste. 2500 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 963–6420

Èmail: green@millercanfield.com

David Gilbert Heiman

901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 586-7175

Èmail: dgheiman@jonesday.com

Robert S. Hertzberg

4000 Town Center Suite 1800 Southfield, MI 48075–1505 248–359–7300

Fax: 248-359-7700

Email: <u>hertzbergr@pepperlaw.com</u>

Kelly Houk

400 Řenaissance Center Detroit, MI 48243 313–568–6530 Email: khouk@dykema.com TERMINATED: 08/23/2017

Jeffrey S. Kopp

Foley & Lardner LLP 500 Woodward Avenue Suite 2700 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 234–7100 Fax: (313) 234–2800

Fax: (313) 234–2800 Email: <u>jkopp@foley.com</u>

Deborah Kovsky-Apap

Pepper Hamilton LLP 4000 Town Center Suite 1800 Southfield, MI 48075 (248) 359–7300 Fax: (248) 359–7700

Email: kovskyd@pepperlaw.com

Kay Standridge Kress

4000 Town Center Southfield, MI 48075–1505 (248) 359–7300 Fax: (248) 359–7700

Email: kressk@pepperlaw.com

Stephen S. LaPlante

150 W. Jefferson Ave. Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 496–8478

Èmail: <u>laplante@millercanfield.com</u>

Heather Lennox

222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017 212–326–3939

Email: hlennox@jonesday.com

13-53846-tjt Doc 12890-6 Filed 09/06/18 Entered 09/06/18 08:53:50 Page 2 of

John D. Mulvihill

20 W. Washington

Suite 2

Clarkston, MI 48346

(248) 625 - 3131

Èmail: jdmassistant@sbcglobal.net

Scott Eric Ratner

One Penn Plaza

Suite 3335

New York, NY 10119

212-594-5000

Email: dperson@teamtogut.com

John A. Simon

500 Woodward Avenue

Suite 2700

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 234 - 7100

Email: jsimon@folev.com

Ronald A. Spinner

150 West Jefferson

Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 496-7829

Email: spinner@millercanfield.com

Marc N. Swanson

Miller Canfield Paddock and Stone, P.L.C

150 W. Jefferson

Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226

(313)496-7591

Email: swansonm@millercanfield.com

Albert Togut

One Penn Plaza

Suite 3335

New York, NY 10119

212-594-5000

Email: dperson@teamtogut.com

John H. Willems

150 W. Jefferson

Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226

313-496-7544

Email: willems@millercanfield.com

Stanley L. de Jongh

Two Woodward Ave., 5th Floor

Suite 500

Detroit, MI 48226

313-237-5031

Fax: 313-224-5505

Email: jongsl@detroitmi.gov

3

U.S. Trustee Daniel M. McDermott represented by Sean M. Cowley (UST)

United States Trustee 211 West Fort Street

Suite 700

Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 226–3432

Èmail: Sean.cowley@usdoj.gov

Richard A. Roble (UST)

United States Trustee 211 West Fort Street

Suite 700 Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 226–6769

Email: Richard.A.Roble@usdoj.gov

Creditor Committee Committee of Unsecured Creditors

TERMINATED: 03/03/2014

represented by **Brett Howard Miller**

1290 Avenue of the Americas

40th Floor

New York, NY 10104

(212) 468-8051

Email: <u>bmiller@mofo.com</u>, <u>whildbold@mofo.com</u>

TERMINATED: 03/03/2014

Geoffrey T. Pavlic

AF Group

200 N. Grand River Ave. Lansing, MI 48933

(517) 708–5146

Fax: (248) 352-4488

Email: <u>Geoffrey.Pavlic@afgroup.com</u> SELF- TERMINATED: 11/19/2014

Mark H. Shapiro

25925 Telegraph Rd.

Suite 203

Southfield, MI 48033-2518

(248) 352–4700 Fax: (248) 352–4488

Email: shapiro@steinbergshapiro.com

TERMINATED: 03/03/2014

Creditor Committee Charlene Hearn PO Box 6612 Detroit, MI 48206

Creditor Committee Craig Steele

Retiree Committee Official Committee of Retirees

represented by Sam J. Alberts

1301 K Street, NW

Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005–3364

 $(202)\ 408 - 7004$

Èmail: <u>sam.alberts@dentons.com</u> SELF- TERMINATED: 03/13/2015

Paula A. Hall

401 S. Old Woodward Ave.

Suite 400

Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 971–1800

Email: hall@bwst-law.com

Claude D. Montgomery

620 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10020 (212) 632–8390

Email: claude.montgomery@dentons.com,docketny@dentons.com

5

Carole Neville

1221 Avenue of the Americas 25th Floor New York, NY 10020 (212) 768–6889

Email: carole.neville@dentons.com

Matthew Wilkins

401 S. Old Woodward Ave. Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009 (248) 971–1800 Email: wilkins@bwst-law.com

Filing Date	#	Docket Text	
04/18/2018	12764	Objection to Claim Number of Claimant Debtors Fifty—Seventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City). Filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan (Swanson, Marc) Hearing scheduled 5/23/2018 at 01:30 PM at Courtroom 1925. Response due by 5/16/2018. (Entered: 04/18/2018)	
04/18/2018	12769	Objection to Claim Number of Claimant Debtors Sixty–Second Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City). Filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan (Swanson, Marc) Hearing scheduled 5/23/2018 at 01:30 PM at Courtroom 1925. Response due by 5/16/2018. (Entered: 04/18/2018)	
05/16/2018	12791	Edith Woodberry's Response To Debtor's Fifty–Sixth Omnibus Objection To Certain Claims (related document(s): 12763 Objection to Claim Number of Claimant Debtors Fifty–Sixth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City).) Filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry (ckata) (Entered: 05/16/2018)	
05/18/2018	12805	Omnibus Reply to (related document(s): 12791 Response filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry, 12794 Response filed by Creditor Garfield Woodberry, Creditor Lavan Woodberry, Creditor Happy Woodberry, Creditor Phebe Lee Woodberry, Creditor Penny Mabin, Creditor LaJeff Woodberry, Creditor Cranston Woodberry, Creditor Cavel Woodberry) Filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan (Swanson, Marc) (Entered: 05/18/2018)	
05/23/2018	12818	Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a Copy of this Order on all Such Claimants (RE: related document(s)12763 Objection to Claim with response date and Notice of Hearing filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan, 12769 Objection to Claim with response date and Notice of Hearing filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan). (AGS) (Entered: 05/24/2018)	
05/25/2018	12825	Certificate of Service re: Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a	
13-53846-tjt	Doc 12890-6	Filed 09/06/18 Entered 09/06/18 08:53:50 Page 5 of	

		Copy of this Order on all Such Claimants (RE: related document(s)12818 Order (Generic)). (Nguyen, Angela) (Entered: 05/25/2018)
06/19/2018	12838	Notice of Deficient Pleading: Original Signature Missing, and Proof of Service is Non–Compliant. (RE: related document(s)12837 Response filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry) Original Signature Missing or Non–Compliant Due on 6/26/2018. Proof of Service Due on 6/26/2018. (ckata) (Entered: 06/19/2018)
	12844	Order of the Court to Strike: This pleading is stricken from the record because of failure to comply with deficiency notice (related documents Response). So Ordered by /s/ Judge Thomas J. Tucker.(RE: related document(s)12837 Response filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry) (Vozniak, Mary) This Notice of Electronic Filing is the Official ORDER for this entry. No ORDER is attached.
06/27/2018		(Entered: 06/27/2018)
07/02/2018	12845	"Corrected" [12837–Edith Woodberry Pro Per (2846)Response To Order Setting Deadline By Which The Woodberry And Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions Regarding Their Claims, And Requiring The City Of Detroit To Serve A Copy Of This Order On All Such Claimants (related document(s): 12818 Order (Generic)) Filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry (ckata) (Entered: 07/02/2018)
07/05/2018	12848	Certificate of Service Filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry (RE: related document(s)12845 Response). (ckata) (Entered: 07/05/2018)
07/11/2018	12850	Order Denying Motion For Reconsideration Filed By Edith Woodberry (Docket #12845)(RE: related document(s)12845 Response filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry). (ckata) (Entered: 07/11/2018)
07/11/2018	12851	Affidavit Re: Affidavit of Marc N. Swanson Regarding Order Setting Deadline By Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims, and Requiring the City Of Detroit to Serve a Copy of This Order on All Such Claimants Filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan (RE: related document(s)12818 Order (Generic)). (Swanson, Marc) (Entered: 07/11/2018)
07/12/2018	12853	Order Expunging And Disallowing Claim Number 2846 Of Edith Woodberry, Claim Number 2883 Of La Jeff Woodberry And Claim Number 3006 Of Garfield Woodberry (RE: related document(s)12851 Affidavit filed by Debtor In Possession City of Detroit, Michigan). (ckata) (Entered: 07/12/2018)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

DEBTOR'S FIFTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO MODIFY, DISALLOW AND/OR EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED TO THIS OBJECTION.

The Debtor, the City of Detroit ("City"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this objection ("Objection") requesting that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each such claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII, Section A of the Plan (defined below). This is a

core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 2. On July 18, 2013 ("<u>Petition Date</u>"), the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest Chapter 9 bankruptcy case in history.
- 3. On November 12, 2013, the City filed its *Motion of Debtor Pursuant* to Sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, for Entry of an Order Approving Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures to Promote the Liquidation of Certain Prepetition Claims [Doc. No. 1665] ("ADR Procedures Motion"). On December 24, 2013, this Court entered an order approving the ADR Procedures Motion [Doc. No. 2302] ("ADR Order").
- 4. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures ("<u>ADR Procedures</u>") attached as Annex 1 to the ADR Order permitted the City to serve on claimants a

notice that the Stay/Injunction is lifted to permit the underlying claim to be liquidated in a non-bankruptcy forum consistent with the terms, conditions and limitations of Section II.E. below (a "Stay Modification Notice"). In that event, immediately upon the filing of the Stay Modification Notice, the Stay/Injunction shall be deemed modified with respect to the applicable Initial Designated Claim solely to permit the liquidation of the claim in a non-bankruptcy forum...

ADR Procedures, Section I.B, p. 4.

5. Paragraph 10 of the ADR Order states

If the Stay/Injunction is modified as a result of a Stay Modification Notice, the liquidation of each applicable Initial Designated Claim shall proceed in [...] such other non-bankruptcy forum selected by the Designated Claimant

6. Each Stay Modification Notice emphasized:

Note that, if you do not promptly proceed with the prosecution of the Claim(s) in the applicable non-bankruptcy forum, the City reserves its right to seek appropriate relief from the non-bankruptcy forum or the Bankruptcy Court, including, without limitation, the disallowance and expungement of the Claim(s).

E.g., Stay Modification Notice, Doc. No. 9716, p. 4.

7. The ADR Procedures contain a procedure for their enforcement:

If a Designated Claimant fails to comply with the ADR Procedures, negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures, the Bankruptcy Court may, after notice and a hearing, find such conduct to be in violation of the ADR Order or an abandonment of or failure to prosecute the Designated Claim, or both. Upon such findings, the Bankruptcy Court may, among other things, disallow and expunge the Designated Claim, in whole or part, or grant such other or further remedy deemed just and appropriate under the circumstances, including, without limitation, awarding attorneys' fees, other fees and costs to the City.

ADR Procedures, Section II.G.

8. On November 21, 2013, this Court issued its *Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501, and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving*

Form and Manner of Notice Thereof [Doc. No. 1782] ("Bar Date Order"), establishing deadlines to file certain proofs of claim in this case. The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file proofs of claim as February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time.

- 9. On July 9, 2014, this Court entered its *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.* § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 Approving Claim Objection Procedures [Doc. No. 5872] ("Claims Procedures Order"), allowing the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. Claim Procedures Order at 2.
- 10. On October 22, 2014, the City filed the *Eighth Amended Plan of the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (October 22, 2014)* [Doc. No. 8045] ("Plan"). The Plan provides that the City will continue using the ADR Procedures to resolve claims after the Effective Date. Plan, Art. VI.A.2, page 68. The Court retained jurisdiction to allow or disallow claims and to enforce orders it had previously entered. Plan, Art. VII. A, O, pages 69-70
- 11. On November 12, 2014, this Court entered an Order confirming the Plan [Doc. No. 8272] ("Confirmation Order").
 - 12. The Plan became effective on December 10, 2014 ("<u>Effective Date</u>").

RELIEF REQUESTED

13. The City files this Objection pursuant to the Bar Date Order, Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,¹ Rule 3007(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures ("Bankruptcy Rules"), and the Claims Procedures Order, seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each claimant has violated ADR Order. Under the ADR Order, each claim should be disallowed and expunged because each claimant has abandoned and failed to prosecute his or her respective claim.

14. The City filed a Stay Modification Notice against each claimant no less than eight months ago. Once a claimant has been served with a Stay Modification Notice, the ADR Order mandates that the claimant must proceed to liquidate his or her claim. ADR Order, ¶10 (noting that liquidation "shall proceed"). None of the claimants took the necessary steps to liquidate the claim. After more than eight months (and, in many instances, years) of inaction, the claimants "[have] fail[ed] to comply with the ADR Procedures, negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures" ADR Procedures, Section II.G. As such, the Court "may, among other things, disallow and expunge the [Claimants'] Claim[s] or grant such

¹ Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code applies to Chapter 9 proceedings pursuant to Section 901(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

other or further remedy deemed just and appropriate under the circumstances, including, without limitation, awarding attorneys' fees, other fees and costs to the City." *Id*.

- 15. The Stay Modification Notices expressly warned claimants that failure to prosecute their Claims could result in disallowance and expungement of the Claims. *E.g.*, Stay Modification Notice, Doc. No. 9716, p. 4. The City thus objects to each claim and ask the Court asks the Court to disallow and expunge each claim pursuant to Section II.G. of the ADR Procedures.
- 16. To the extent the Court does not expunge one or more of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 on that basis, the City reserves all of its rights to object, on the merits and on any other basis, including without limitation, failure to comply with any applicable statute of limitations, to any of the claims identified on Exhibit 2.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

- 17. The City has reviewed the claims identified on Exhibit 2 and submits that in each case the claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability under the ADR Order.
- 18. The Declaration of Charles Raimi, Deputy Corporation Counsel, ("Declaration") explains the process undertaken by the City and confirms that the

claims identified on Exhibit 2 do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. *See* Exhibit 3, *Declaration*.

- 19. The Claims Procedures Order and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) allow the City to file this Objection as an omnibus objection. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) authorizes the Court to allow for omnibus objections beyond those circumstances itemized in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d), and the Claims Procedures Order expressly permits the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. Claims Procedures Order at 2.
- 20. This Court has the authority to enter an order approving this Objection. Moreover, the streamlined process afforded by an omnibus objection (in lieu of individual objections to each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2) will result in material costs savings that will inure to the benefit of the City. Accordingly, the City believes that the relief sought by this Objection is in the best interests of the City and its creditors.
- 21. Based upon the foregoing, the City seeks entry of an order, substantially in the form annexed as Exhibit 1, expunging and disallowing each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2. Accordingly, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c), the Court should grant the relief requested.

SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS

- 22. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any claim listed in this Objection and the City is unable to resolve the response, each one of those claims, and the objection by the City to each one of those claims asserted, should constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection should be deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, should constitute a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court should expressly determine that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim.
- 23. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

NOTICE

24. The City has provided notice of this Objection to each of the claimants identified on Exhibit 2 at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim, and all other parties who have requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the relief requested, the City respectfully submits that no other or further notice of this Objection need be given.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that this Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, granting the relief requested herein and granting the City such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: April 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Jonathan S. Green (P33140)
Marc N. Swanson (P71149)
MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 963-6420
Facsimile: (313) 496-8451
green@millercanfield.com

and

raimic@detroitmi.gov

swansonm@millercanfield.com

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)
Deputy Corporation Counsel
City of Detroit Law Department
2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500
Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313)-237-0470
Facsimile: (313) 224-5505

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Judge Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

NOTICE OF DEBTOR'S FIFTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

PLEASE CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND THE ATTACHMENTS HERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS YOUR CLAIMS(S).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>") has filed an objection to your claim because it does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City ("<u>Fifty-Seventh Omnibus Objection</u>") and, therefore, your claim should be disallowed and expunged.

YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED, MODIFIED OR ELIMINATED PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(e)(1) AND PRIOR ORDERS OF THIS COURT. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW EXHIBIT 2 OF THE FIFTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO FIND YOUR NAME AND CLAIM. YOU SHOULD READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, IF YOU HAVE ONE.

If you do not want the Court to eliminate or change your claim, or grant the relief requested in the Fifty-Seventh Omnibus Objection, then on or before <u>May</u> <u>16, 2018</u>, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the Court, at the address below, a written response to the objection. Unless a written response is filed and served by the date stated above, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim.

Clerk of the Court United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100 Detroit, MI 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will <u>receive</u> it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

2. A copy of your response must also be mailed to counsel for the City:

Marc N. Swanson Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226

3. You must also attend the hearing on the objection scheduled to be held on May 23, 2018, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1925, 211 W. Fort Street, Detroit, MI 48226 unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and the objector's attorney.

If you or your attorney does not take these steps, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be cancelled and the objection sustained.

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson (P71149) 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 963-6420 Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com

Dated: April 18, 2018

EXHIBIT 1: PROPOSED ORDER

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In	ra	•
111	10	

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S FIFTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

Upon review of the Fifty-Seventh objection to claims (the "Objection"), of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 to the Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held before the Court; and any objections or other responses to the Objection having been overruled or withdrawn; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Objection is sustained.
- 2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety, pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
- 4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.
- 5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed and constitute a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, constitutes a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just

reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and for which such stay has been granted or may be in effect, and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right, but not the need, to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

- 6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on the merits or any other basis, to any of the Claims identified on Exhibit 2.
- 7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.
- 8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

EXHIBIT 2: CLAIMS

The grounds for objection to each claim listed below is that it contains no valid basis for any liability of the City pursuant to the ADR Order. The claimant has failed to prosecute its claim and abandoned its claim. Each of the pages in the omnibus objection is pertinent to the stated grounds for objection.

Claim				Stay Modification
Number	Name	Claim Amount	Nature	Notice Doc. No.
1332	Jefferson Chevrolet Company	Unliquidated	Secured	12113
2169	Jerry Harris	\$400,000.00	General Unsecured	9015
673	Jiddou, Firas	\$202,755.00	General Unsecured	8548
2114	Joann Ledbetter	\$500,000.00	General Unsecured	8594
2546	John Woody	\$125,473.00	General Unsecured	12198
2196	Kevin Rollins	\$150,000.00	General Unsecured	8068
1580	King, Quentin	\$120,000.00	General Unsecured	8358
1644	Knight, Roosevelt	\$90,000.00	General Unsecured	12151
2370	Kyva Garrison	\$6,335.00	General Unsecured	12192
3230	Larry Lundy	\$10,000,000.00	General Unsecured	8791, 5645
1581	Lee, April	\$80,000.00	General Unsecured	8690
647	Leighton White	\$51,944.00	General Unsecured	12007
3220	Leonard Dawkins	\$1,360,000.00	General Unsecured	9007
1150	Lewis, Antoine	\$100,000.00	General Unsecured	8624
1551	Lewis, Deontae	\$150,000.00	General Unsecured	12144
2124	Lillian Grantling	\$2,000,000.00	General Unsecured	12177
	Luxury Medical			
1098	Transportation, Inc.	\$3,301.71	General Unsecured	8802
1032	M Dujon Johnson	\$5,300.00	General Unsecured	8671
	Maple Millennium Medical			
2121	Center, PLLC (Lester Johnson)	\$10,188.86	General Unsecured	12176
1689	Mark Williams	\$50,000.00	General Unsecured	6299

1808	Mcclenic, John	\$200,000.00	General Unsecured	8553
1766	Mendelson Orthopedics, PC	\$1,443.19	General Unsecured	9753
2844	Michael Jones	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
1132	Miller, Dwight	\$300,000.00	General Unsecured	12070
644	Moore, Edward R. Jr.	\$0.00 (blank)	General Unsecured	12006
2706	Moore,jr., David	\$0.00 (blank)	General Unsecured	12206
1607	Morman, Quintez	\$100,000.00	General Unsecured	8360
1346	Newell, Renee	\$1,000,000.00	General Unsecured	9305, 9304
290	Ojimadu, Christian	\$8,128.91	General Unsecured	8169
2155	Paris Dowdy	\$20,980.61	General Unsecured	5024
1255	Patricia Pharr	\$35,000.00	General Unsecured	8203
1125	Payne, Derrez	\$300,000.00	General Unsecured	9383
2015	Peterson, Jeffrey	\$400,000.00	General Unsecured	7363
2221	Physiomatrix, Inc.	\$28,535.00	General Unsecured	12185
2223	Physiomatrix, Inc.	\$11,020.00	General Unsecured	8431
2224	Physiomatrix, Inc.	\$13,280.00	General Unsecured	12186
2225	Physiomatrix, Inc.	\$41,105.00	General Unsecured	12187
1559	Porter, Hondra	\$150,000.00	General Unsecured	8359
2947	Prime Care Chiropractic P.C.	\$1,465.00	General Unsecured	12218
2955	Prime Care Chiropractic P.C.	\$6,775.00	General Unsecured	12219
2914	Randolph Skillman	\$500,000.00	General Unsecured	8612
3004	Reginald Edward Reid	\$250,000.00	General Unsecured	9004
3578	Renee Andrews	\$40,000.00	General Unsecured	9444
2191	Renyece Ellis	\$150,000.00	General Unsecured	9431
1602	Ridley, Louise	\$100,000.00	General Unsecured	9202
3579	Sean Derrick Andrews	\$40,000.00	General Unsecured	9503
916	Seven-tel Gasoline Inc	Unliquidated	General Unsecured	12033

EXHIBIT 3: DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S FIFTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

I, Charles Raimi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

- I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>").
 Unless otherwise stated in this Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
- 2. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team works together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City.

- 3. In connection with the preparation of the Fifty-Seventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City) (the "Objection"), the City reviewed the claims at issue, as described on Exhibit 2 of the Objection.
- 4. Each claimant has abandoned and failed to prosecute his or her claim. Consequently, each claim on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged pursuant to the ADR Order (as defined in the Objection).
- 5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: 4/17/18, 2018

Charles Raim

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 18, 2018 he electronically filed the foregoing Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the "Omnibus Objection") with the Clerk of the Court which sends notice by operation of the Court's electronic filing service to all ECF participants registered to receive notice in this case. The City has engaged a Noticing Agent, which will serve the Omnibus Objection on the Claimants listed therein at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim and on all parties requesting notices listed on the Master Service List, and file a subsequent Proof of Service after it has performed the service.

DATED: April 18, 2018

By: <u>/s/ Marc N.</u> Swanson

Marc N. Swanson 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

<u>DEBTOR'S SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN</u> <u>CLAIMS</u>

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO MODIFY, DISALLOW AND/OR EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED TO THIS OBJECTION.

The Debtor, the City of Detroit ("City"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this objection ("Objection") requesting that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each such claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII, Section A of the Plan (defined below). This is a

core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 2. On July 18, 2013 ("<u>Petition Date</u>"), the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest Chapter 9 bankruptcy case in history.
- 3. On November 12, 2013, the City filed its *Motion of Debtor Pursuant* to Sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, for Entry of an Order Approving Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures to Promote the Liquidation of Certain Prepetition Claims [Doc. No. 1665] ("ADR Procedures Motion"). On December 24, 2013, this Court entered an order approving the ADR Procedures Motion [Doc. No. 2302] ("ADR Order").
- 4. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures ("<u>ADR Procedures</u>") attached as Annex 1 to the ADR Order permitted the City to serve on claimants a

notice that the Stay/Injunction is lifted to permit the underlying claim to be liquidated in a non-bankruptcy forum consistent with the terms, conditions and limitations of Section II.E. below (a "Stay Modification Notice"). In that event, immediately upon the filing of the Stay Modification Notice, the Stay/Injunction shall be deemed modified with respect to the applicable Initial Designated Claim solely to permit the liquidation of the claim in a non-bankruptcy forum...

ADR Procedures, Section I.B, p. 4.

5. Paragraph 10 of the ADR Order states

If the Stay/Injunction is modified as a result of a Stay Modification Notice, the liquidation of each applicable Initial Designated Claim shall proceed in [...] such other non-bankruptcy forum selected by the Designated Claimant

6. Each Stay Modification Notice emphasized:

Note that, if you do not promptly proceed with the prosecution of the Claim(s) in the applicable non-bankruptcy forum, the City reserves its right to seek appropriate relief from the non-bankruptcy forum or the Bankruptcy Court, including, without limitation, the disallowance and expungement of the Claim(s).

E.g., Stay Modification Notice, Doc. No. 9716, p. 4.

7. The ADR Procedures contain a procedure for their enforcement:

If a Designated Claimant fails to comply with the ADR Procedures, negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures, the Bankruptcy Court may, after notice and a hearing, find such conduct to be in violation of the ADR Order or an abandonment of or failure to prosecute the Designated Claim, or both. Upon such findings, the Bankruptcy Court may, among other things, disallow and expunge the Designated Claim, in whole or part, or grant such other or further remedy deemed just and appropriate under the circumstances, including, without limitation, awarding attorneys' fees, other fees and costs to the City.

ADR Procedures, Section II.G.

8. On November 21, 2013, this Court issued its *Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501, and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving*

Form and Manner of Notice Thereof [Doc. No. 1782] ("Bar Date Order"), establishing deadlines to file certain proofs of claim in this case. The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file proofs of claim as February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time.

- 9. On July 9, 2014, this Court entered its *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.* § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 Approving Claim Objection Procedures [Doc. No. 5872] ("Claims Procedures Order"), allowing the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. Claim Procedures Order at 2.
- 10. On October 22, 2014, the City filed the *Eighth Amended Plan of the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (October 22, 2014)* [Doc. No. 8045] ("Plan"). The Plan provides that the City will continue using the ADR Procedures to resolve claims after the Effective Date. Plan, Art. VI.A.2, page 68. The Court retained jurisdiction to allow or disallow claims and to enforce orders it had previously entered. Plan, Art. VII. A, O, pages 69-70
- 11. On November 12, 2014, this Court entered an Order confirming the Plan [Doc. No. 8272] ("Confirmation Order").
 - 12. The Plan became effective on December 10, 2014 ("<u>Effective Date</u>").

RELIEF REQUESTED

13. The City files this Objection pursuant to the Bar Date Order, Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,¹ Rule 3007(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures ("Bankruptcy Rules"), and the Claims Procedures Order, seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each claimant has violated ADR Order. Under the ADR Order, each claim should be disallowed and expunged because each claimant has abandoned and failed to prosecute his or her respective claim.

14. The City filed a Stay Modification Notice against each claimant no less than eight months ago. Once a claimant has been served with a Stay Modification Notice, the ADR Order mandates that the claimant must proceed to liquidate his or her claim. ADR Order, ¶10 (noting that liquidation "shall proceed"). None of the claimants took the necessary steps to liquidate the claim. After more than eight months (and, in many instances, years) of inaction, the claimants "[have] fail[ed] to comply with the ADR Procedures, negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures " ADR Procedures, Section II.G. As such, the Court "may, among other things, disallow and expunge the [Claimants'] Claim[s] or grant such

¹ Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code applies to Chapter 9 proceedings pursuant to Section 901(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- 5 -

other or further remedy deemed just and appropriate under the circumstances, including, without limitation, awarding attorneys' fees, other fees and costs to the City." *Id*.

- 15. The Stay Modification Notices expressly warned claimants that failure to prosecute their Claims could result in disallowance and expungement of the Claims. *E.g.*, Stay Modification Notice, Doc. No. 9716, p. 4. The City thus objects to each claim and ask the Court asks the Court to disallow and expunge each claim pursuant to Section II.G. of the ADR Procedures.
- 16. To the extent the Court does not expunge one or more of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 on that basis, the City reserves all of its rights to object, on the merits and on any other basis, including without limitation, failure to comply with any applicable statute of limitations, to any of the claims identified on Exhibit 2.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

- 17. The City has reviewed the claims identified on Exhibit 2 and submits that in each case the claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability under the ADR Order.
- 18. The Declaration of Charles Raimi, Deputy Corporation Counsel, ("Declaration") explains the process undertaken by the City and confirms that the

claims identified on Exhibit 2 do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. *See* Exhibit 3, *Declaration*.

- 19. The Claims Procedures Order and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) allow the City to file this Objection as an omnibus objection. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) authorizes the Court to allow for omnibus objections beyond those circumstances itemized in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d), and the Claims Procedures Order expressly permits the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. Claims Procedures Order at 2.
- 20. This Court has the authority to enter an order approving this Objection. Moreover, the streamlined process afforded by an omnibus objection (in lieu of individual objections to each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2) will result in material costs savings that will inure to the benefit of the City. Accordingly, the City believes that the relief sought by this Objection is in the best interests of the City and its creditors.
- 21. Based upon the foregoing, the City seeks entry of an order, substantially in the form annexed as Exhibit 1, expunging and disallowing each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2. Accordingly, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c), the Court should grant the relief requested.

SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS

- 22. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any claim listed in this Objection and the City is unable to resolve the response, each one of those claims, and the objection by the City to each one of those claims asserted, should constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection should be deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, should constitute a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court should expressly determine that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim.
- 23. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

NOTICE

24. The City has provided notice of this Objection to each of the claimants identified on Exhibit 2 at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim, and all other parties who have requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the relief requested, the City respectfully submits that no other or further notice of this Objection need be given.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that this Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, granting the relief requested herein and granting the City such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: April 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Jonathan S. Green (P33140) Marc N. Swanson (P71149) MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 963-6420

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451 green@millercanfield.com

swansonm@millercanfield.com

and

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)
Deputy Corporation Counsel
City of Detroit Law Department
2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500
Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313)-237-0470

Facsimile: (313) 224-5505 raimic@detroitmi.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Judge Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

NOTICE OF DEBTOR'S SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

PLEASE CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND THE ATTACHMENTS HERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS YOUR CLAIMS(S).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>") has filed an objection to your claim because it does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City ("<u>Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection</u>") and, therefore, your claim should be disallowed and expunged.

YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED, MODIFIED OR ELIMINATED PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(e)(1) AND PRIOR ORDERS OF THIS COURT. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW EXHIBIT 2 OF THE SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO FIND YOUR NAME AND CLAIM. YOU SHOULD READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, IF YOU HAVE ONE.

If you do not want the Court to eliminate or change your claim, or grant the relief requested in the Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection, then on or before <u>May</u> <u>16, 2018</u>, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the Court, at the address below, a written response to the objection. Unless a written response is filed and served by the date stated above, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim.

Clerk of the Court United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100 Detroit, MI 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will <u>receive</u> it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

2. A copy of your response must also be mailed to counsel for the City:

Marc N. Swanson Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226

3. You must also attend the hearing on the objection scheduled to be held on May 23, 2018, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1925, 211 W. Fort Street, Detroit, MI 48226 unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and the objector's attorney.

If you or your attorney does not take these steps, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be cancelled and the objection sustained.

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson (P71149) 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 963-6420

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com

Dated: April 18, 2018

EXHIBIT 1: PROPOSED ORDER

In	re.
111	10.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

Upon review of the Sixty-Second objection to claims (the "Objection"), of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 to the Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held before the Court; and any objections or other responses to the Objection having been overruled or withdrawn; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Objection is sustained.
- 2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety, pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
- 4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.
- 5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed and constitute a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, constitutes a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just

reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and for which such stay has been granted or may be in effect, and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right, but not the need, to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

- 6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on the merits or any other basis, to any of the Claims identified on Exhibit 2.
- 7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.
- 8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

EXHIBIT 2: CLAIMS

The grounds for objection to each claim listed below is that it contains no valid basis for any liability of the City pursuant to the ADR Order. The claimant has failed to prosecute its claim and abandoned its claim. Each of the pages in the omnibus objection is pertinent to the stated grounds for objection.

Claim				Stay Modification
Number	Name	Claim Amount	Nature	Notice Doc. No.
2880	Happy Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
2883	LA Jeff Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10193
2888	Cavel Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
2889	Lavan Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
2900	Rhianna Turner	\$60,000.00	General Unsecured	7318
2902	Penny Mabin	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
2905	Cranston Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
2942	Michigan Head & Neck Institute	\$14,397.38	General Unsecured	12214
2944	Prime Care Chiropractic P.C.	\$8,790.00	General Unsecured	12215
2945	Elizabeth Hunter-Rosier	\$500,000.00	General Unsecured	12216
2946	Prime Care Chiropractic P.C.	\$7,875.00	General Unsecured	12217
2950	Pain And Rehabilitation Phys Pc	\$2,970.00	General Unsecured	9726
2953	Prime Care Chiropractic P.C.	\$3,245.00	General Unsecured	8431
2957	Genex Physical Therapy, Inc.	\$14,710.00	General Unsecured	12220
2960	Pain And Rehabilitation Phys Pc	\$8,392.01	General Unsecured	12221
2994	Lucinda J. Darrah	Unliquidated	General Unsecured	12228

3002	Luther Jones	\$250,000.00	General Unsecured	9228
3006	Garfield Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
3015	Doris B. Dandridge	\$250,000.00	General Unsecured	6378
3073	Laurie Walker	\$60,000.00	General Unsecured	9289
3074	Donald Herman Demoss	\$50,000.00	General Unsecured	8834
3169	James Ellison	\$100,000.00	General Unsecured	8680
	Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland,			
3180	LLC	\$14,850.00	General Unsecured	12232
3270	Jameal Hall	\$10,000,000.00	General Unsecured	5643
3271	Adam Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
3278	Phebe Woodberry	\$1,000,000.00	Secured	10192
3279	Keith Williams	\$10,000,000.00	General Unsecured	9235, 5644
3346	Stephanie Joe-Davis	\$42,200.00	General Unsecured	3907
3369	Michael Goodwin	\$40,000.00	General Unsecured	9160
3484	Copeland, Edward	\$75,000.00	General Unsecured	8827
3502	Talisa Harris	\$75,000.00	General Unsecured	9509
3632	Khalid Shaya	\$75,000.00	General Unsecured	12244
3644	Peete, Janet	\$20,000.00	General Unsecured	9505
3686	Porter, Cedric	\$25,000.00	General Unsecured	9506
3836	Pamela Booker	\$21,250.00	General Unsecured	10250

EXHIBIT 3: DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI

In re:

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S SIXTY-SECOND OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

I, Charles Raimi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

- I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>").
 Unless otherwise stated in this Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
- 2. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team works together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City.

- 3. In connection with the preparation of the Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City) (the "Objection"), the City reviewed the claims at issue, as described on Exhibit 2 of the Objection.
- 4. Each claimant has abandoned and failed to prosecute his or her claim. Consequently, each claim on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged pursuant to the ADR Order (as defined in the Objection).
- 5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated:	4/17	2019		
Dated	\ V /	, 2016	D /-/	1

Charles Raimi

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 18, 2018 he electronically filed the foregoing Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the "Omnibus Objection") with the Clerk of the Court which sends notice by operation of the Court's electronic filing service to all ECF participants registered to receive notice in this case. The City has engaged a Noticing Agent, which will serve the Omnibus Objection on the Claimants listed therein at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim and on all parties requesting notices listed on the Master Service List, and file a subsequent Proof of Service after it has performed the service.

DATED: April 18, 2018

By: <u>/s/ Marc N.</u> Swanson

Marc N. Swanson 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com

Judge Thomas J. Tucker	
Chapter 9	

EDITH WOODBERRY'S RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S FIFTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

Claimant Edith Woodberry in pro per, (Edith) RESPONSE; SHE filed her CLAIM against Debtor City of Detroit (City), in Bankruptcy Court; Case Number 2846 Bankruptcy Court's Hon. Judge Rhodes is assigned judge. Edith has not been assigned or been before any of the other Bankruptcy Judges.

Within eight years the City has used its Eminent Domain Power; in at least four different Court Actions to seize Edith's property without paying her just compensation. Durning this period the City refused to issue her requested PERMITS to correct the many VIOLATIONS the City is required by law to issue permits to correct.

After refusing to grant permits, the City demolished Edith's property as unsafe. Said property was an 18 unit Apartment Building with 9, two (2) bedroom units; 9 three (3) bedroom units; three (3) commercial units and a subbasement. It stood on a prime corner. Its address was 2457 Beaubien St /. 445 Fisher Freeway. Edith has not been paid just compensation to date for the City's "taking." Payment of just compensation is mandated by both Federal and State Constitutions.

Between times, Edith filed a \$2,000,000, lien later raising it to \$3.000,000 lien on her property. The City's last Case against Edith in the Third Judicial Circuit Court; her Case is still

It power for

open. This Case was assigned to Hon. Judge Isidore S Torres, who later reassigned it to the Hon. Judge Daphne Curtis Means, who has retired.

City's attorney, Charles Raimi, (pursuant to 28 U.S.C 1746,) declared under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my (his) knowledge and belief, I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit ("City"). Unless otherwise stated in his Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team worked together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City. (the "Objection"), the City reviewed the claims at issue as described on EXHIBIT 2 of the Objection. Each claimant has abandoned and failed to prosecute his or her claim.

Edith Woodberry is named in Exhibit 2.] Edith has not abandoned her Claim. Raimi's Declaration is dolug Consequently, each claim on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged pursuant to the ADR Order (as defined in the Objection).

A city attorney (name I do not recall) met with me at the of City's attorney 's office located in the City Country Building to Settle my Case at his request. He became upset when it became apparent to him that the City had wronged me. Faced with the truth, his integrity and the Code of Ethics would not let him continue after he saw what he saw in the City's file.

He was openly distraught. He left the conference in a huff, saying that he was going on vacation – and to "Let Dave handle it." Dave, whomever he is, has not contacted me to date.

Wherefore, Edith prays that the Bankruptcy Court will ORDER the City (DEBTOR) to provide her with a complete copy of the documentation in her Case File, at no cost to her. or no

Edith also pray that this Court will deny City's request for an Order Sustaining Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to in its Exhibit 2 as it relates to her; Claimant Edith Woodberry.

Respectfully submitted,

Edith Woodberry, In pro per

Affi Davit

I, Edith Woodberry, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

- I am the owner of the property that the City took by eminent domain and is now attempting to have the City's obligation to pay just compensation discharged in bankruptcy.
- Shortly after this court upheld my objection to the discharge of the City's
 obligation to pay just compensation, an attorney representing the City of Detroit approached me
 regarding negotiations to settle this claim.
- I met with the City's attorney in person at his office for preliminary negotiation and document exchange.
- 4. During this meeting, the City attorney informed me that in approximately one week he would no longer be working for the City and that his successor would contact me regarding further negotiation and settlement.
- To date, no one from the City of Detroit Law Department has contacted me regarding further negotiation and settlement.
 - 6. No one has been identified as the successor attornev.
 - I have not abandoned my claim or refused to negotiate with the City.
- 8. I attempted to review the bankruptcy file to provide further proof. The bankruptcy clerk told me that the file could not be accessed because the file was under the exclusive control of the City of Detroit's attorney.
- 9. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Editt Of violence

Subscribed and sworn to

before me on May 16, 2018

Notary Public, State of Mi County of Wayne

My Commission Expires 12/27/2022

133-55338446-tjjt Door 1128990-6 FFEILE OD 59/60/88 EFINENENE OD 59/60/88.625-3050 PROGES 6f 198

Respectfully submitted,

Edith Woodberry, In pro per

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of Response to Debtor's Sixty-Second

Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims, Edith Woodberry's Response to Debtor's Fifty-Sixth

omnibus Objection to Certain Claims and Declaration /Affidavit of Edith Woodberry were hand delivered to Charles N. Rami, Esq. and filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division on May 16, 2018.

Latte Woodlery

In re:

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

DEBTOR'S OMNIBUS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS FIFTY-SIXTH AND SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

The City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>"), by its undersigned counsel, files this reply in support of its *Fifty-Sixth and Sixty-Second Omnibus Objections to Certain Claims* (collectively, the "<u>Omnibus Objections</u>," Doc. Nos. 12763 and 12769), stating as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. On April 18, 2018, the City filed its Omnibus Objections. The following parties filed responses to the Omnibus Objections:

Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Objection

(a) Response filed by Edith Woodberry ("<u>Edith Woodberry</u>") [Doc.
 No. 12791]. Edith Woodberry's response is attached as Exhibit 1.

Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection

(b) Response filed by Penny Mabin, Kenny Mabin, Roger Mabin, Cavel Woodberry, Cavel Woodberry, Jr., Cranston Woodberry,

Garfield Woodberry , Happy Woodberry , LaJeff Woodberry , Lavan Woodberry , Phebe Lee Woodberry ("Mabin/Woodberry Group", and together with Edith Woodberry, the "Woodberry Claimants")) [Doc. No. 12794]. The Mabin/Woodberry response is attached as **Exhibit 2**.

BACKGROUND

2. On February 21, 2014, the Woodberry Claimants filed the following proofs of claim, each of which is related to an eminent domain proceeding (collectively, the "Woodberry Claims"):

Claim No.	Claimant	Exhibit #
2846	Edith Woodberry	3-1
2880	Happy Woodberry	3-2
2883	LaJeff Woodberry	3-3
2888	Cavel Woodberry	3-4
2889	Lavan Woodberry	3-5
2902	Penny Mabin	3-6
2905	Cranston Woodberry	3-7
3006	Garfield Woodberry	3-8
3271	Adam Woodberry ¹	3-9
3278	Phebe Woodberry	3-10

¹ Claim 3271 of Adam Woodberry is subject to the Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims; however Adam Woodberry is not listed among the respondents in the Mabin/Woodberry Group response to the Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims. As such, no timely response was filed and the Court should expunge the claim of Adam Woodberry.

- 3. On May 15, 2014, the City filed objections to the Woodberry Claims, asserting that the Woodberry Claims as filed, did not meet the requirements of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001 (the "<u>Initial Objections</u>") [Doc. Nos. 4843, 4841, 4840, 4839, 4838, 4837, 4836, 4835, 4834, and 4859]. Among other things, the City argued that the Woodberry Claimants had not identified the property at issue nor provided any evidence to support the valuation of the Woodberry Claims.
- 4. On June 18, 2014, the Woodberry Claimants filed responses to the Initial Objections. [Doc. Nos. 5410, 5415, 5414, 5418, 5413, 5411, 5417, 5416, 5419, 5420]. The responses identified the property as 2457 Beaubien, Detroit, Michigan ("Property") and asserted that the City owes the Woodberry Claimants money for the taking of the Property by eminent domain. On June 20, 2014, the City filed its omnibus reply to the Woodberry Claimants' responses to the Initial Objections [Doc. No. 5505].
- 5. The Property was the subject of a condemnation action filed in 2005 in the Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 05-522129-CC ("State Court Case"). Each of the Woodberry Claimants was a named defendant. On April 28, 2009, the Wayne County Circuit Court entered a judgment in favor of the City, confirming that the title passed to the City effective July 27, 2005, and ordering the payment of just compensation to the defendants (the "2009 Judgment"). The 2009 Judgment is attached as **Exhibit 4**. The State Court Case docket is attached as **Exhibit 8**.

- 6. The 2009 Judgment provides that the Court granted the City's motion for summary disposition, dismissed the Woodberry Claimants counterclaims in their entirety, and denied Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration. 2009 Judgment, pp. 1-2.
- 7. In the 2009 Judgment, the Wayne County Circuit Court ordered that the just compensation for the Property was \$240,000, minus amounts owed by the defendants to the City for rent and water services, as well as previously paid compensation amounts. 2009 Judgment, p. 2.
- 8. The 2009 Judgment also provides that "this Judgment shall be with prejudice to any further assertion of claims by Defendants against the City arising directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, from the taking of the Subject Property." 2009 Judgment, p. 3 (emphasis added).
- 9. None of the Defendants in the State Court Case appealed the 2009 Judgment.
- 10. Edith Woodberry received \$83,294.72 in compensation prior to the 2009 Order and signed an acknowledgement to that effect (the "Acknowledgement"). The Acknowledgement is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**.
- 11. Certain other defendants also received compensation totaling \$9,000.00. These defendants included Happy Woodberry, Phebe Woodberry,

Adam Woodberry, Cavel Woodberry, Penny Mabin, and Cranston Woodberry, as well as other defendants who have not filed claims in the bankruptcy.

- 12. Edith Woodberry filed a proof of claim in the amount of \$3 million, and each of the other Woodberry Claimants filed their claims for \$1 million, totaling \$12 million.
- 13. On June 25, 2014, the Court held a hearing on the Initial Objections. See Transcript Excerpt, Exhibit 6. At that hearing, the Court focused on the following language in the 2009 Judgment: "Pursuant to Rule 2.602(A)(3), this judgment does not resolve the last of any claims, and it does not close this case." Ex. 4, p. 4. The Court asked the parties what was left to be done in the State Court Case. Ex. 6, p. 26. The City stated that it was unsure whether there was anything further to be done and would consult with the Law Department. *Id.* The City has since consulted with the Law Department and there is nothing further to be done in the State Court Case. As set forth on Exhibit 8, no action has been taken in the State Court Case in over six years and there are no pending appeals.
- 14. The Court thus abstained from making a final decision on the Woodberry Claims. Following the hearing, the Court entered the *Order Regarding Objections to Claims Numbers 2846*, *2880*, *2883*, *2888*, *2889*, *2902*, *2905*, *3006*, *3271 and 3278* [Doc. No. 5586], overruling the City's objections to the claims, without prejudice. See *Order*, **Exhibit 7**.

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

- As noted above, on April 18, 2018, the City filed the Omnibus 15. Objections. On May 16, 2018, Edith Woodberry and the Mabin/Woodberry Group each filed their responses to the Omnibus Objections.
- As set forth in the Omnibus Objections, the Alternative Dispute 16. Resolution Procedures ("ADR Procedures") attached as Annex 1 to the ADR Order permitted the City to serve on claimants a

notice that the Stay/Injunction is lifted to permit the underlying claim to be liquidated in a non-bankruptcy forum consistent with the terms, conditions and limitations of Section II.E. below (a "Stay Modification Notice"). In that event, immediately upon the filing of the Stay Modification Notice, the Stay/Injunction shall be deemed modified with respect to the applicable Initial Designated Claim solely to permit the liquidation of the claim in a non-bankruptcy forum...

ADR Procedures, Section I.B, p. 4.

17. Paragraph 10 of the ADR Order states

> If the Stay/Injunction is modified as a result of a Stay Modification Notice, the liquidation of each applicable Initial Designated Claim shall proceed in [...] such other non-bankruptcy forum selected by the Designated Claimant

18. The ADR Procedures contain a procedure for their enforcement:

If a Designated Claimant fails to comply with the ADR Procedures, negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures, the Bankruptcy Court may, after notice and a hearing, find such conduct to be in violation of the ADR

64

Order or an abandonment of or failure to prosecute the Designated Claim, or both. Upon such findings, the Bankruptcy Court may, among other things, disallow and expunge the Designated Claim, in whole or part, or grant such other or further remedy deemed just and appropriate under the circumstances, including, without limitation, awarding attorneys' fees, other fees and costs to the City.

ADR Procedures, Section II.G.

- 19. The City filed stay modification notices on the Woodberry Claimants. *See* Omnibus Objections, Ex. 2.
- 20. None of the Woodberry Claimants present a legally cognizable response to the Omnibus Objection. They have had 9 years since the 2009 Judgment was entered to take whatever actions they deem necessary in the State Court Case. Yet, they have done nothing and do not offer any explanation for why they have not taken any action. Nor, do they explain what remains left in the State Court Case. The City has investigated the State Court Case and there is nothing further to be done. The Woodberry Claims should be expunged.
- 21. Finally, the Mabin/Woodberry Group's assertion that their claims are not subject to the discharge provisions in the City's Eighth Amended Plan of Adjustment [Doc. No. 8045] ("Plan") fails. The deadline to object to the Plan was approximately five years ago. In addition to being untimely, the Woodberry Claimants cannot object to the Plan in a response to a claim objection, as that would be an impermissible collateral attack on the Plan and the order confirming

it. See DeLorean v. Gully, 118 B.R. 932, 935 n.1 (E.D. Mich. 1990) (noting that a collateral attack is a request for relief, which, if granted, "must in some fashion

overrule a previous judgment.")

22. This Court should expunge the Woodberry Claims.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the City asks the Court to overrule the responses of Edith

Woodberry and the Mabin/Woodberry Group and sustain the City's Objection to

these claims pursuant to the terms of the Plan and the ADR Procedures.

Dated: May 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson (P71149)

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND

STONE, P.L.C.

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

green@millercanfield.com

swansonm@millercanfield.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

- 8 -

EXHIBIT 1

EDITH WOODBERRY RESPONSE

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846	
City of Detroit Michigan	Judge Thomas J. Tucker	
Debtor	Chapter 9	
	및	

EDITH WOODBERRY'S RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S FIFTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

Claimant Edith Woodberry in pro per, (Edith) RESPONSE; SHE filed her CLAIM against Debtor City of Detroit (City), in Bankruptcy Court; Case Number 2846 Bankruptcy Court's Hon. Judge Rhodes is assigned judge. Edith has not been assigned or been before any of the other Bankruptcy Judges.

Within eight years the City has used its Eminent Domain Power; in at least four different

Court Actions to seize Edith's property without paying her just compensation. Durning this

period the City refused to issue her requested PERMITS to correct the many VIOLATIONS the

City is required by law to issue permits to correct.

After refusing to grant permits, the City demolished Edith's property as unsafe. Said property was an 18 unit Apartment Building with 9, two (2) bedroom units; 9 three (3) bedroom units; three (3) commercial units and a subbasement. It stood on a prime corner. Its address was 2457 Beaubien St /. 445 Fisher Freeway. Edith has not been paid just compensation to date for the City's "taking." Payment of just compensation is mandated by both Federal and State Constitutions.

Between times, Edith filed a \$2,000,000, lien later raising it to \$3.000,000 lien on her property. The City's last Case against Edith in the Third Judicial Circuit Court; her Case is still

open. This Case was assigned to Hon. Judge Isidore S Torres, who later reassigned it to the Hon. Judge Daphne Curtis Means, who has retired.

City's attorney, Charles Raimi, (pursuant to 28 U.S.C 1746,) declared under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my (his) knowledge and belief, I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit ("City"). Unless otherwise stated in his Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team worked together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City. (the "Objection"), the City reviewed the claims at issue as described on EXHIBIT 2 of the Objection. Each claimant has abandoned and failed to prosecute his or her claim.

Edith Woodberry is named in Exhibit 2.] Edith has not abandoned her Claim. Raimi's Declaration is dolug Consequently, each claim on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged pursuant to the ADR Order (as defined in the Objection).

A city attorney (name I do not recall) met with me at the of City's attorney 's office located in the City Country Building to Settle my Case at his request. He became upset when it became apparent to him that the City had wronged me. Faced with the truth, his integrity and the Code of Ethics would not let him continue after he saw what he saw in the City's file.

He was openly distraught. He left the conference in a huff, saying that he was going on vacation – and to "Let Dave handle it." Dave, whomever he is, has not contacted me to date.

Wherefore, Edith prays that the Bankruptcy Court will ORDER the City (DEBTOR) to provide her with a complete copy of the documentation in her Case File, at no cost to her. or no

Edith also pray that this Court will deny City's request for an Order Sustaining Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to in its Exhibit 2 as it relates to her; Claimant Edith Woodberry.

Respectfully submitted,

Edith Woodberry, In pro per

Affi Davit

I, Edith Woodberry, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

- I am the owner of the property that the City took by eminent domain and is now attempting to have the City's obligation to pay just compensation discharged in bankruptcy.
- Shortly after this court upheld my objection to the discharge of the City's
 obligation to pay just compensation, an attorney representing the City of Detroit approached me
 regarding negotiations to settle this claim.
- I met with the City's attorney in person at his office for preliminary negotiation and document exchange.
- 4. During this meeting, the City attorney informed me that in approximately one week he would no longer be working for the City and that his successor would contact me regarding further negotiation and settlement.
- To date, no one from the City of Detroit Law Department has contacted me regarding further negotiation and settlement.
 - 6. No one has been identified as the successor attornev.
 - 7. I have not abandoned my claim or refused to negotiate with the City.
- 8. I attempted to review the bankruptcy file to provide further proof. The bankruptcy clerk told me that the file could not be accessed because the file was under the exclusive control of the City of Detroit's attorney.
- 9. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Editt Of violence

Subscribed and sworn to

before me on May 16, 2018

Notary Public, State of Mi County of Wayne

My Commission Expires 12/27/2022

Acting in County of Wayne 133453118666jjjt Dibou:12282901-6FH46de0b503/603/3:8Ena Fambere0b503/603/3136622545560PRBggd:370168 198

Respectfully submitted,

Edith Woodberry, In pro per

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of Response to Debtor's Sixty-Second
Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims, Edith Woodberry's Response to Debtor's Fifty-Sixth

omnibus Objection to Certain Claims and Declaration /Affidavit of Edith Woodberry were hand delivered to Charles N. Rami, Esq. and filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division on May 16, 2018.

Latte Woodlery

EXHIBIT 2

MABIN/WOODBERRY RESPONSE

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor

Bankruptcy Case No: 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

Debtor alleges that Designated Claimant abandoned the claim or failed to negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City. Designated Claimant asks this court to deny Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims for the following reasons.

- The ADR Procedures enforcement procedures require that Claimant "negotiate in good faith or cooperate with the City as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures.
 Designated Claimant has met this requirement.
- 2. The City of Detroit failed to negotiate in good faith. Shortly after this court upheld my objection to the discharge of the City's obligation to pay just compensation, an attorney representing the City of Detroit approached me regarding negotiations to settle this claim. Designated Claimant engaged in good faith negotiation with the City of Detroit attorney regarding the resolution of this claim.
- 3. During the course of negotiation, the City of Detroit attorney left the City for other employment and advised Designated Claimant that his successor would contact Designated Claimant regarding further negotiation.

- 4. To date, the City of Detroit has not identified to Designated Claimant who the successor attorney is and no one else from the City of Detroit has contacted Designated Claimant for further negotiation.
- 5. There has been no abandonment of the claim or failure to prosecute the claim by Designated Claimant. Rather, the City of Detroit has failed to negotiate in good faith or cooperate with Designated Claimant as may be necessary to effectuate the ADR Procedures.
- 6. In addition, this claim presents the question of whether the Bankruptcy Court can lawfully discharge in bankruptcy the U.S. Constitutional requirement that the City pay just compensation for the Designated Claimant's property that the City took via eminent domain.
- 7. The Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution in pertinent part states no person shall be "deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law"..."nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation."
- 8. The City of Detroit's obligation to pay just compensation cannot be discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding. The payment of just compensation is mandated by the United States Constitution.
- 9. The Bankruptcy Court has wide latitude with regard to this claim. The Bankruptcy Court, per ADR Procedure, may among other things, grant such other or further remedy deemed just and appropriate. A possible just resolution is to require the City to return to the Designated Claimant property taken. The return of the property is a more equitable and lawful resolution than discharging the debt.

WHEREFORE, Designated Claimant respectfully requests that this Court deny the City's request for the Order Sustaining Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims, attached as Exhibit 1.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Designated Claimants, In pro per
GAZFIELD WOODBURY	CEADSTON WOODD BURY
	Hura Malla
Pan (Mc) 1 L	The be Wedley
On re Denny material	CON E TODON BURY
CANEL WOODS WELL	S E HUMD WOODSCRY
LFOGER MADIN	EAUCE WOODSORY JC
LASEFE WOODBURY	

DECLARATION/AFFIDAVIT OF EDITH WOODBERRY

I, Edith Woodberry, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

- 1. I am the owner of the property that the City took by eminent domain and is now attempting to have the City's obligation to pay just compensation discharged in bankruptcy.
- 2. Shortly after this court upheld my objection to the discharge of the City's obligation to pay just compensation, an attorney representing the City of Detroit approached me regarding negotiations to settle this claim.
- 3. I met with the City's attorney in person at his office for preliminary negotiation and document exchange.
- 4. During this meeting, the City attorney informed me that in approximately one week he would no longer be working for the City and that his successor would contact me regarding further negotiation and settlement.
- To date, no one from the City of Detroit Law Department has contacted me regarding further negotiation and settlement.
 - 6. No one has been identified as the successor attorney.
 - 7. I have not abandoned my claim or refused to negotiate with the City.
- 8. I attempted to review the bankruptcy file to provide further proof. The bankruptcy clerk told me that the file could not be accessed because the file was under the exclusive control of the City of Detroit's attorney.
- 9. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on May 16, 2018

Candy Foster Notary Public, State of MI County of Wayne Respectfully submitted,

Edith Woodberry, In pro per

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of Response to Debtor's Sixty-Second
Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims, Edith Woodberry's Response to Debtor's Fifty-Sixth

omnibus Objection to Certain Claims and Declaration /Affidavit of Edith Woodberry were hand delivered to Charles N. Rami, Esq. and filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division on May 16, 2018.

Edith Woodbarry

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

IN RE:	CITY	OF	DETROIF		CASE NO: _	13-5389 9	46
		Debtor	,		CHAPTER:		
		ABA147					
			CERTIFICAT	TE OF S	ERVICE		
Į I	hereby certify	that on	may 16, 201	8	(date	of mailing), I serv	ed
copies as	follows:		V			. 🧨	l de con
1. D	ocument(s) s Certiin	erved: 1 Alae	Response to,	Lebto	ro Acpty-	Saxond Umr	
		,					
	marc	N 5.	d address of each per LUMAON LENOM GLUL DE		O Harles	N Raint Setrat Law ward are 500	Krept
3. B	y First Class	Mail. p	Gerand Germ	متوا			
Dated: 7	Nay 16, 6	2 <i>018</i>		<u> (Signa</u>	th Wood ture)	berry.	
				Print N	Name:		

EXHIBIT 3-1 EDITH WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2846

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTER	N DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CHAPTER 9
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846	
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense	that arises after the bankruptcy filing.	
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money o	r property):	FEB 2 1 2014
EDITH WOODBERRY		COURT USE ONLY
Name and address where notices should be sent:		
EDITH WOODBERRY 203 GlADSTONE		Checkshis box if this claim amends a previously file in the previous
		Court Claim Number:
DET M1 48202		(If known)
Telephone number: 313 963 8677 email: NA		Filed on:
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):		☐ Check this box if you are aware that
		anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of
		statement giving particulars.
Telephone number: email:		RFCEIVED
·	- 14	B D Britis Chi. Comp. p. p
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$\\\\3,\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		FEB 2 4 2014
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5.		TRATHCHIA CONTRACTO
If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	e principal amount of the claim. Attach a	statement that the statement of the stat
2. Basis for Claim: CITY TOOK REAL PROPERTY WITHOUT (See instruction #2)	of PAying Just Compens.	4 По Л
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled accou	ent as: N4
NA	(See instruction #3a)	
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4)		other charges, as of the time case was filed,
Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information.	t of included in secured claim on.	, it any:
Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Ot Describe:	her Basis for perfection: <u>EM</u>	
Value of Property: \$\frac{3,000,000.}{}	Amount of Secured Claim:	\$ 3,000,000.00
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) % □Fixed or □Variab	le Amount Unsecured:	s <u>-0-</u>
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense und	ler 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).	s 3,000,000.00 s - 0 - s NA
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable S	ection of 11 U.S.C. § <u>VS (6.0.5</u>) 5+4	Am \$ 3,000,000.00
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (So	ee instruction #6)
7. Documents: Attached are reflected copies of any documents that support the	e claim such as promissory notes purchas	e orders invoices itemized statements of
running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in t statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the cla evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, an ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	he case of a claim based on an open-end of im is secured, box 4 has been completed,	or revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.		
I am the creditor. [1] I am the creditor's authorized agent. ☐ I am the	trustee, or the debtor,	arantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor.
or their		ptcy Rule 3005.)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is	true and correct to the best of my knowled	ge, information, and reasonable belief.
Print Name: EDITH WOODBERRY Title:	Q141 Q11	CAROLA.
Company:	Journ NO	TUWE WELL
Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	(Signature)	(Date)
		•
Talanhana number		
Telephone number: email:	ALSE DE LA COLLEGIO D	
ᡏ᠊ᡘᠵᠵᡴᡠᢣᢙ᠈ᡭᠯᡟᡕ <i>᠁ᡵᡘᡊᠻ</i> ᢣ᠄ᠷᠽᡘᡊᡘᡒᡙᢛ᠘ᡰ <i>ᡊᡢᡪᡢ</i> ᠘ᡑᢋᢙᠾᡯᡘ	198	######################################
	135384	614022100000000159

EXHIBIT 3-2 HAPPY WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2880

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTER	N DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CHAPTER 9 PROOF OF CLAIM
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846	
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense	that arises after the bankruptcy filing.	
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money of	r property):	FEB 2 1 2014
Htppy Woodbergey Name and address where notices should be sent:		COURT USE ONLY
Name and address where notices should be sent:		Checkethis box if this claim amends a previously filed claim uptcy Court MI Eastern District
HAPPY Woodberry		MI Eastern District
803 GLADSTONE DET MI 48202		Court Claim Number:
`		
Telephone number: 313 515 9774 email: Tages and egan Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):	911, COM	Filed on: Check this box if you are aware that
(0.00000).		anyone else has filed a proof of claim
		relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.
		RECEIVED
Telephone number: email:		BITOFIATE
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$\int_1 \textit{\textit{DOD}}_1 \textit{DOD}_1 \textit		FEB 2 4 2014
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5.		
Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	e principal amount of the claim. Attach a s	statemen Prattien Carson Consultants
2. Basis for Claim: CITY TOOK Property Witness Parin		
(See instruction #2)		
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled accou (See instruction #3a)	ent as: NY
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4)	Amount of arrearage and	other charges, as of the time case was filed,
Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a righ setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested informati	t of included in secured claim, on.	if any:
Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Ot Describe:	her Basis for perfection: EMI	next DOMAIN
Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000.00	Amount of Secured Claim:	\$ 1, 000, 000. 00
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) % □Fixed or □Variab	le Amount Unsecured:	s <u>-o-</u>
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense und	ler 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).	s_ <i>NA</i>
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable S	ection of 11 U.S.C. § <u>US const</u> 5th	tn \$ 1,000,000.00
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (Se	ee instruction #6)
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the	claim, such as promissory notes, purchase	e orders invoices itemized statements of
running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in t statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the cla evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, an ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	he case of a claim based on an open-end or im is secured, box 4 has been completed, a	r revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.		
·		rantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor.
(See B	ankruptcy Rule 3004.)	ptcy Rule 3005.)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is	rue and correct to the best of my knowled	ge, information, and reasonable belief.
Print Name: CAANSTON Woodberf Title: Astronyced Assist	04/1	2-3-11
Company: Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	(Signotium)	(Day)
reactors and telephone number (if unferent from notice address above):	(Signature)	(Date)
Therese	\bigcirc	
Telephone number: email:	18 PIC OF HAIRING	NB.
13-58846 Appleres 1000 1028 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	198	
	***************************************	384614022100000000154

EXHIBIT 3-3

LAJEFF WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2883

amount of \$94.88. To determine if you need to file a claim, please refer to the enclosed Information About Deadlines to File Claims.

210 (Citibal Form 10) (Citibal Modified)	Deadines to Fite Claim	13%	
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EAST	ERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CHAPTER 9 PRO 1 DE CLAIM	
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846		
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expe	ense that arises after the bankruptcy filing.		
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes mor	ney or property):	FEB 2 1 200	
Resident LA JEFF WOODBERRY		COURT USE ONLY	
Name and address where notices should be sent: NameID: 11708986		Check Dispex if this claim amends a previous Medical Claim Picty Court Claim Number:	
-Resident LAJEST Woodberry		previously	
18283 Muirland St		Court Claim Number: District	
Detroit, MI 48221-2756		(If known)	
Telephone number: 313 575 9774 email: July Esugrale	@ Gungil, com	Filed on:	
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):	:	☐ Check this box if you are aware that	
		anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of	
		statement giving particulars.	
Telephone number: email:		RECEIVED	
	00	FFD 0 1 004	
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$\frac{1}{\text{0.00}}\text{0.00}\$		FEB 2 4 2014	
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4.			
If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition	to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a	KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS statement that itemizes interest of charges.	
2. Basis for Claim: City Failed to Pay Jost Compe (See instruction #2)		_	
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled accord (See instruction #3a)	unt as: NA	
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4)		other charges, as of the time case was filed,	
Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. \$\textstyle{\textstyle{100}} \text{ included in secured claim, if any:} \tex			
Nature of property or right of setoff: A Real Estate Motor Vehicle Describe:	Other Basis for perfection: E	ALAGAT DOMAIN	
Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000,	Amount of Secured Claim	\$ 1,000,000,00	
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% ☐Fixed or ☐V	ariable Amount Unsecured:	s <u>-0-</u>	
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense	e under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2)	. <u>\$ NA</u>	
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applica	ble Section of 11 U.S.C. § US Co.75f 5th	AA \$ 1,000,000, 00	
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited fo	or the purpose of making this proof of claim. (S	ee instruction #6)	
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of			
running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing			
evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction # ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNIN	н, ana tne aejinition o j ¬reaactea ".) DO NOT NG.	SEIND URIGINAL DUCUMENTS.	
If the documents are not available, please explain:		<u> </u>	
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.			
or	•	arantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. aptcy Rule 3005.)	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this clai		ige, information, and reasonable belief.	
Print Name: CRANSTON WOOD beary			
Title: AUTHERIZED AGENT	(ct / h	2-21-14	
Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	(Signature)	(Date)	
		\mathcal{J}	
	_	<u>.</u>	
Telephone number: email:			

EXHIBIT 3-4 CAVEL WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2888

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified) UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN Case Number: 13-53846 Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense that arises after the bankruptcy filing. Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property): US Bankflotcy Court
COURT USE CHOICE COURT
Check this box in this grand in the property a Name and address where notices should be sent:

CAUCH WOOD LERY previously filed claim. 803 GLADSTONE DET MI 48202 Court Claim Number:_ (If known) Telephone number: 313 5759774 email: This essage gman). Com Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above): Filed on:_ ☐ Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars. Telephone number: email: \$ 1,000,000,00 1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: FEB 2 4 2014 If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a statement than temperature of the claim includes interest or other charges. 2. Basis for Claim: City tock property Without Paying Just Compensation (See instruction #2) 3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor: 3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as: (See instruction #3a) 4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of included in secured claim, if any: setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. Basis for perfection: Eminent Domain Nature of property or right of setoff Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other Value of Property: \$ 1, 000, 000. Amount of Secured Claim: Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)____ ___% ☐Fixed or ☐Variable Amount Unsecured: 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2). 5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § US CONST 5th Am 6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6) 7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain: 8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box. ☐ I am the creditor. ☐ I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the trustee, or the debtor, ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. or their authorized agent. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.) (See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.) I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable belief. Print Name: CRANISTON Woolhers Atherred Agent Company: Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above): (Signature)

EXHIBIT 3-5 LAVAN WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2889

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTER	N DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CLEPTE 9 PROGFOLGAT
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846	
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense		FEB 2 1 2014
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money of LAVAN WOOD BERLAND Name and address where notices should be sent:	r property):	colls Bankrupicy Court
		CHECK HIP DOVERED Shipping a
LAVAN WOODBERRY 803 GLADSTONE		previously filed claim.
603 GIAUSTONE		Court Claim Number:(If known)
DET MI 48202	. 1 1 am	Filed on:
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):	111, 1674	☐ Check this box if you are aware that
		anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of
		statement giving a relate
Telephone number: email:		D // Second Section is 18 Minutes in 18 Minu
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$\(\frac{1}{2000}, \frac{000}{200}, \frac{00}{200}\))	FEB 2 4 2014
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4.		KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS
If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	ne principal amount of the claim. Attach a	
2. Basis for Claim: City Took Property Without Physics (See instruction #2)		
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled accou	int acc NA
NA	(See instruction #3a)	mt as
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a righ setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested informati	t of included in secured claim	other charges, as of the time case was filed, if any:
Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Ot Describe:	her Basis for perfection: <u>EM</u>	'
Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000,00	Amount of Secured Claim:	\$1,000,000.00
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% ☐Fixed or ☐Variab	ele Amount Unsecured:	s <u>-o-</u>
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense und	ler 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).	\$ NA
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable S	section of 11 U.S.C. § US Const. 5th A	M \$1,000,000,000
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (So	ee instruction #6)
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in t statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the cla evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, an ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	the case of a claim based on an open-end of tim is secured, box 4 has been completed,	r revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.		
or their	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	arantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. ptcy Rule 3005.)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is		ge, information, and reasonable belief.
Print Name: (PANSTON Woodbeach Title: AUTBORIZED ANZINT		2-21-41
Company: Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):		2-21-14 (Deta)
reactions and enophone number (it utilises from notice address above):	(Signature)	(Date)
Telephone number: email:		

EXHIBIT 3-6 PENNY MABIN CLAIM NO. 2902

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN	DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CHAPTER 9 PROSE OF CLAM
lame of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846	
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense to	hat arises after the bankruptcy filing.	FEB 2 1 2014
lame of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or	property):	1 20 2 1 2014
lame and address where notices should be sent:		COURT USE ONLY Check this Social and Check
PENNY MAGIN		previously file Milastern District
PENNY MABIN BOS GLADSTONE DET M(48702		Court Claim Number:(If known)
elephone number: 313 575 9774 email: Judg E sugar @gA lame and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):	ngil. Com	Filed on:
ame and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):		Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of
		statement giving particulars.
elephone number: email:		I the Arest A resta
. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$\frac{1,000,000.00}{2}	<u> </u>	FEB 2 4 2014
f all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. f all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	e principal amount of the claim. Attach a	KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS statement that itemizes interest or charges.
. Basis for Claim: CITY TOOK REAL Property Without (See instruction #2)	PAYING JUST COMPENSAT	1 ON
. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled according (See instruction #3a)	/
 Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right etoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. 	t of included in secured claim	other charges, as of the time case was filed, , if any:
Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Oth	her Basis for perfection: <u>Em</u>	INEST DOMAIN
Value of Property: \$ 1,000,600,00	Amount of Secured Claims	\$ 1,000,000.00
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% ☐Fixed or ☐Variable	de Amount Unsecured:	s <u>-o-</u>
i. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense und	ler 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2)	s NA
b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable S	ection of 11 U.S.C. § US Const 5th	AM \$ 1,000,000.00
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (S	ee instruction #6)
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the unning accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in tatement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the classical exidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	he case of a claim based on an open-end of im is secured, box 4 has been completed,	or revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing
3. Signature: (See instruction # 8)		
Check the appropriate box.		anantar auratic indorgar or other andehter
I am the creditor. I am the creditor's authorized agent.		arantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. uptcy Rule 3005.)
I am the creditor. I am the creditor's authorized agent.	authorized agent. (See Bankri ankruptcy Rule 3004.)	aptcy Rule 3005.) lge, information, and reasonable belief.
I am the creditor. If I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the or their (See B) declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is the creditor's authorized agent. Print Name: CRANSTON DOOD CORN	authorized agent. (See Bankri ankruptcy Rule 3004.)	uptcy Rule 3005.)
I am the creditor. If I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the or their (See B declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is the critical or their than the creditor's authorized agent. It is the creditor of the creditor's authorized agent. I am the or their (See B declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is the creditor's authorized agent. I am the creditor of their creditor's authorized agent. I am the or their creditor's authorized agent. I am the creditor of their creditor's authorized agent. I am the or their credito	authorized agent. (See Bankriankruptcy Rule 3004.) true and correct to the best of my knowled	uptcy Rule 3005.) Ige, information, and reasonable belief. Z - 21 - 14

EXHIBIT 3-7 CRANSTON WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2905

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTE	ERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CHAPTER 9 PROCE OF CLA	
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846		
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expen		FEB 2 1 2014	
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes mone CRANSTON WOODBERRY Name and address where notices should be sent: CRANSTON WOODBERRY 803 GIADSTONE	y or property):		
Name and address where notices should be sent:		COURT USE ONLY Check The Veril Detay crein amends a previously First them. District	
CRANSTON WOODBERRY		·	
DET MI 4820Z		Court Claim Number:(If known)	
Telephone number: 313, 575, 977 + email: 5 Mges 542 6 9 Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):	amail. con	Filed on:	
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above): Telephone number: email:		Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.	
£ 000 000	Ø&	FEB 2 4 2014	
·		1 mm 6 7 mV(T	
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to	o the principal amount of the claim. Attach a	KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS statement that itemizes interest or charges.	
2. Basis for Claim: City Took Property Without p (See instruction #2)	Aying Just Compensation	•	
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled according (See instruction #3a)	unt as: NA	
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, included in secured claim, if any: setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information.			
Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other Basis for perfection: Eminent Dangin			
Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000. Ca			
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% □Fixed or □Var	riable Amount Unsecured:	s <u>-o-</u>	
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).			
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § US Const. 5th Am \$ 1,000,000.00			
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for	the purpose of making this proof of claim. (S	ee instruction #6)	
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7 ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING If the documents are not available, please explain:	in the case of a claim based on an open-end a claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT	or revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing	
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.			
or t (Se	heir authorized agent. (See Bankree Bankruptcy Rule 3004.)	narantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. uptcy Rule 3005.)	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim Print Name: CRANSTON WOOD BEARY	n is true and correct to the best of my knowled	dge, information, and reasonable belief.	
Title:	at Ilmu	7-71-14	
Company: /U/ Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	(Signature)	2-21-14 (Date)	
		10.80	
Telephone number: email:	#1 (1 m x1 m m 1 1 2)		

EXHIBIT 3-8 GARFIELD WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 3006

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)			
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN	N DISTRICT of MICHIGAN	CHAPTER 9 PLOOF GET I	
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846		
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense	that arises after the bankruptcy filing.	FED 0 4 004	
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or	r property):	FEB 2 1 2014	
CARFIELD Woodberky Name and address where notices should be sent:		COURT USE ONLY	
GARFIELD WOODDERRY		Cus pinkrijtisconmends a previoum Eastern District	
803 CHADSTONE		Court Claim Number:	
DET MI 48202			
Telephone number: 3135759774 email: 5-dges AAE Mame and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):	3Mail, Coal	Filed on: Check this box if you are aware that	
reame and address where payment should be sent (if different from above).		anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.	
Telephone number: email:		RECEIVED.	
1 440	00	U U Many Town Roma U 17 Beach Strict	
• •		FEB 2 4 2014	
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	e principal amount of the claim. Attach a	statemen KlibRTZMANGARGONGONGUETA NTS	
2. Basis for Claim: City took Poperty Without (See instruction #2)			
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled accou	int as: NA	
NA	(See instruction #3a)		
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, included in secured claim, if any: Secured Claim (See instruction #4)			
Nature of property or right of setoff; Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other Basis for perfection: EMINENT (DMGIN			
Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000.00	Amount of Secured Claim:	\$1,000,000.00	
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) % DFixed or DVariab	ole Amount Unsecured:	\$ -0-	
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).			
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § USCOND SHAM \$ 1,000,000.			
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (S	ee instruction #6)	
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claevidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	the case of a claim based on an open-end on the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of the ca	or revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing	
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.			
or their		arantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. uptcy Rule 3005.)	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is Print Name: CRANSTON WOODERE Title: Ask of the second se	true and correct to the best of my knowled	2 24 40	
Company: Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	(Signature)	(Date)	
		•	
The base with the same of the s			

1353846140221000000000157

EXHIBIT 3-9

ADAM WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 3271

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified) UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan Case Number: 13-53846 FEB 2 1 2014 NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense that arises after the bankruptcy filing. Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property): ADAM WOODBERRY BANKEY Court Name and address where notices should be sent: Check this book a teles choic trice de a ADAM Woodberry 803 GlADSTONE DET MI 48202 previously filed claim. Court Claim Number:_ (If known) Filed on: Telephone number: email Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above): Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars. Telephone number: email: 1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: FEB 2 4 2014 If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a state KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS 2. Basis for Claim: CITY TOOK REAL Property Without paying Just Compensation (See instruction #2) 3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor: 3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as: (See instruction #3a) 4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of included in secured claim, if any: setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. Basis for perfection: EMINENT DOMAIN Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other Describe: Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000, co Amount of Secured Claim: Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) % Fixed or Variable **Amount Unsecured:** 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2). 5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § US Const 5th An 6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6) 7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain: 8. Signature: (See instruction #8) Check the appropriate box. ☐ I am the creditor. ☐ I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the trustee, or the debtor, I am a guarantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. or their authorized agent. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.) (See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.) I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable belief. Print Name: (RANSTON WOODBERRY Authorized Company: Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):

EXHIBIT 3-10

PHEBE WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 3278

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified) UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan Case Number: 13-53846 FEB 2 1 2014 NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense that arises after the bankruptcy filing. Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property): US Bankruptcy Court
MOBELUSE BAILTICE

Check this box if this claim amends a PHEBE WOODBERRY Name and address where notices should be sent: Phese Woodberry 803 GLADSTONE previously filed claim. Court Claim Number:_ (If known) DET MI 48202 Telephone number: Z13 5759174 email: Todges Ustra @ gnail, Com Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above): Filed on: ☐ Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving the statement gi Telephone number: email: 1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a statement that itemizes interest or charges. 2. Basis for Claim: City Took Property Without Paying Just Compensation (See instruction #2) 3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor: 3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as:___ (See instruction #3a) 4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of included in secured claim, if any: setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information. Basis for perfection: EMINENT DOMAIN Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other Value of Property: \$ 1,000,000,00 Amount of Secured Claim: Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)_ ___% □Fixed or □Variable Amount Unsecured: 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2). 5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § US COST. St. AM 6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6) 7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain: 8. Signature: (See instruction #8) Check the appropriate box. ☐ I am the creditor. I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the trustee, or the debtor, ☐ I am a guarantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. or their authorized agent. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.) (See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.) I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable belief. Print Name: CRANSTON AVIHORIZE Company: Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above): · bern secure Telephone number: email:

EXHIBIT 4

2009 JUDGMENT

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

CITY OF DETROIT, a Michigan municipal corporation,

v.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 05-522129-CC HON. DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS

JONATHAN SOBELOFF, Trustee under the Will of Benjamin Rich, deceased, EDITH WOODBERRY; the ESTATE OF JAMES FULLER, deceased, DELORES WILLIAMS, WILLIE FULLER and wife, if any, PATRICIA LOVE a/k/a AUDREY LOVE, FRANKLIN FULLER and wife, if any, WAYNE FULLER and wife, if any, DOUGLAS FULLER and wife, if any, HAPPY WOODBERRY, CRAIG JONES and wife, if any, MICHAEL JONES and wife, if any, PHEBE WOODBERRY, ADAM WOODBERRY and wife, if any, CAVEL WOODBERRY and wife, if any, PENNY MABIN, CRANSTON WOODBERRY, Esq CRANSTON WOODBERRY and wife, if any, ROZLYN HARRISON, WARREN GAITHER and wife, if any, DOROTHY ROBINSON, ROGER WOODBERRY and wife, if any, LAVAN WOODBERRY and wife, if any or the JOHN DOE or JANE DOE unknown,

05 522129-CC 7/27/2005 JDG DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS DETROIT CITY OF ٧٤ the two means are a mark in all must 30BELO' F JONATHAN

Defendants.

WILLIAMS ACOSTA, PLLC Avery K. Williams (P34731) Krystal N. Lyons (P61982) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 535 Griswold Street, Suite 1000 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 963-3873

JOHN M. NADER (P41610) City of Detroit Law Department Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 660 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1650 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 237-3034

EDITH WOODBERRY In Pro Per Defendant 445 E. Fisher Freeway Detroit, Michigan 48201

CRANSTON WOODBERRY (P44755) Attorney for Defendants 2457 Beaubien Street Detroit, Michigan 48201 (313) 575-9774

CATHY M. GARRETT WAYNE COUNTY CLERK

BY Chayl Basara

JUDGMENT

At a session of said Court held in the City of Detroit, County of Wayne, State of Michigan on APR 28 2009

HONORABLE DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS PRESENT: HON.

Circuit Court Judge

This matter having come before the Court upon the City of Detroit's ("the City")

Motion for Summary Disposition; oral argument having been heard; the Court being fully 13-58846-4 Proc 128805-6 FFEU 05/1/0/6/18 1 FEU 05/1/0/6/18 103 advised in the premises and having granted the City's Motion for Summary Disposition and having dismissed all of Defendants Edith Woodberry's, Craig Jones', Michael Jones', Happy Woodberry's, Phoebe Woodberry's, Adam Woodberry's, Cavel Woodberry's, Penny Mabin's, Cranston Woodberry's, Rozlyn Harrison's, Roger Woodberry's and Lavan Woodberry's ("Defendants") counterclaims in their entirety (see Exhibit 1 - Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion for Summary Disposition) and having denied Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration (see Exhibit 2);

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the City shall pay to Defendants just compensation for the property located at 2457 Beaubien Street, in Detroit, Michigan 48201 ("Subject Property") in the amount of Two Hundred Forty Thousand (\$240,000.00) Dollars (the "Judgment Amount"), less the following amounts which shall be deducted from the Judgment Amount:

- (1) just compensation previously paid to Defendant Edith Woodberry in the amount of \$83,294.72 (see Exhibit 3);
- just compensation previously paid to Defendants Craig Jones, Michael Jones, Happy Woodberry, Phoebe Woodberry, Adam Woodberry, Cavel Woodberry, Penny Mabin, Cranston Woodberry, Rozlyn Harrison's and Roger Woodberry in the amount of \$9,000.00 (see Exhibit 4);
- (3) rent due the City from Defendants in the amount of \$21,600.00 plus an additional \$1,350.00 per month for each month after February 28, 2009 that Defendants hold over and retain possession of and part of the Subject Property, in accordance with the Court's October 2, 2008 Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion to Deduct Rent, Water Bill and Costs Associated with Eviction from Defendants' Estimated Just Compensation (see Exhibit 5);
- (4) \$19,688.69 for water and sewer services provided to Defendants by the City's Water and Sewerage Department, in accordance with the Court's October 2, 2008 Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion to

Deduct Rent, Water Bill and Costs Associated with Eviction from Defendants' Estimated Just Compensation (see Exhibit 5);

- (5) any and all costs incurred by the City in connection with its having to engage the Wayne County Sheriff and/or its agents to forcibly remove and/or evict Defendants and their possessions from the Subject Property in accordance with the Court's October 2, 2008 Order of Eviction and the Court's October 2, 2008 Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion to Deduct Rent, Water Bill and Costs Associated with Eviction from Defendants' Estimated Just Compensation (see Exhibits 5 and 6); and
- (6) any taxes, assessments or tax liens that are due and/or delinquent, subject only to the appropriate proration based upon the date of entry of this Order and Defendants' final surrender of physical possession of the Subject Property to the City;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that title to the Subject Property, which is more fully described in the attached Exhibit 7, passed to the City by operation of law effective July 27, 2005, the date the City filed its Complaint to acquire the Subject Property by eminent domain.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that a copy of this Judgment may be recorded with the Wayne County Register of Deeds and recording said Judgment shall be in lieu of a Deed of Conveyance;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendants shall immediately surrender possession of the Subject Property to the City;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this Judgment shall be with prejudice to any further assertion of claims by Defendants against the City arising directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, from the taking of the Subject Property; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Judgment Amount includes any and all payments due to Defendants for real estate, fixtures, business

No. A 46926

STATE OF MICHIGAN, County of Wayne



E-314 BK.

CERTIFIED COPY —"LAW"

I, CATHY M. GARRETT, Clerk of Wayne County, and Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Wayner do hereby certify that the above and the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the county of the

CAPHY M GARRETT Clerk

_ , Deputy Clerk

interruption, going concerns, loss of rents, just compensation, leasehold interests, relocation expenses and any other costs, expenses, compensation or damages, attorneys' fees, expert fees, witness fees, and appraisal costs, if any, real or imagined, that are attributable, in whole or in part, to this taking.

Pursuant to MCR 2.602(A)(3), this Judgment does not resolve the last of any claims and it does not close this case.

HONORABLE DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS

CIRCUIT JUDGE

CATHY M. GARRETT WAYNE COUNTY CLERK DERIFF OLEM

WILLIAMS ACOSTA, PLI ATTORNEYS AND COUNSE 535 GRISWOLD STREET, SUI DETROIT, IMI 45226-31

S:\Brush Park - 2410\123 - Sobeloff\pleadings\Judgment 1-27-09.doc

EXHIBIT 5

EDITH WOODBERRY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

CITY OF DETROIT, a Michigan Municipal Corporation,

Plaintiff.

C. A. No. 00-001737-CC

Hon. Isidore B. Torres

VS.

JONATHAN SOBELOFF, Trustee under the will of Benjamin Rich, deceased; EDITH WOODBERRY; and JAMES FULLER, and wife, if any;

Parcel 123


Defendants.

JAMES C. COBB, JR., P.C. JAMES C. COBB, JR., (P23139) Attorney for Plaintiff 615 Griswold, Suite 1415 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 961-3433

EDITH WOODBERRY Defendant in Propia Persona 445 E. Fisher Freeway Detroit, Michigan 48201 (313) 963-8677

ORLANDO AVANT (P56341) ORLANDO AVANT & ASSOCIATES Altorney for Defendant Fuller 24361 Greenfield, Suite 206 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 552-0757

> ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF CASH PAYMENT OF ESTIMATED JUST COMPENSATION



I, EDITH WOODBERRY, hereby acknowledge receipt of the sum of EIGHTY SEVEN THOUSAND, TWO HUNDRED AND NINETY FOUR AND 72/100 (\$87,294.72) DOLLARS in cash paid to me by the City of Detroit in the courtroom of Judge Michael F. Sapala, 1701 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center, Detroit, Michigan, on August 14, 2003.

I further acknowledge that the above sum is being paid to me by the City of Detroit in cash upon order of the Court as payment in full of my share of the estimated Plaintifficity of Detroit's just compensation (as amended) for the taking of the subject property in this case, above-captioned.

Woodber

Dated:

August 14, 2003

EXHIBIT 6

TRANSCRIPT EXCERPT

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: CITY OF DETROIT, Docket No. 13-53846

MICHIGAN,

Detroit, Michigan

June 25, 2014

Debtor. 10:00 a.m.

HEARING RE. (#4792) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATE CLAIMS) FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4794) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (AMENDED AND SUPERSEDED) FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4834) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT EDITH WOODBERRY CLAIM NO. 2846. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4835) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 3278 BY PHEBE WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4836) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 3883 BY LA JEFF WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4837) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 2889 BY LAVAN WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4838) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 2880 BY HAPPY WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4839) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 2905 BY CRANSTON WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4840) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 3006 BY GARFIELD WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4841) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 2888 BY CAVEL WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4842) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT DR. BRIAN GREENE, AS NEXT FRIEND OF INDIA BOND, A MINOR/ OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 1399 FILED BY DR. BRIAN GREENE, AS NEXT FRIEND OF INDIA BOND, A MINOR, FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4843) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 3271 BY ADAM WOODBERRY. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4844) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT TARIS JACKSON, AS NEXT FRIEND OF ASHLY JACKSON, A MINOR/ OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE

3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 1401 FILED BY TARIS JACKSON, AS NEXT FRIEND OF ASHLY JACKSON, A MINOR, FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4854) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT ERNEST FLAGG, AS NEXT FRIEND OF JONATHON BOND, A MINOR/OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 1404 FILED BY ERNEST FLAGG, AS NEXT FRIEND OF JONATHON BOND, A MINOR, FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4855) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 3236 BY LUCINDA DARRAH. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4857) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NOS. 1330 AND 1853 FILED BY RICKIE ALLEN HOLT ON BEHALF OF THE ABORIGINAL INDIGENOUS PEOPLE. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4859) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 2902 ON BEHALF OF PENNY FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MABIN. MICHIGAN; (#4863) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 2021 BY EDWARD L. GILDYARD. DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4872) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NO. 458 BY ALBERT OTTO O'ROURKE. FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4873) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NOS. 1329 AND 1859 BY RICKIE HOLT FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4881) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT/FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, SEEKING THE DISALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN DUPLICATE CLAIMS FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4886) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT HYDE PARK CO-OPERATIVE/OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2651 FILED BY HYDE PARK CO-OPERATIVE FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4954) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CLAIM NUMBER 3683 FILED BY MACOMB. (CORRECTED OBJECTION RE. DOCKET 4880) FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#4955) OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER OF CLAIMANT CORRECTED OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBERS 1302 AND 3500 FILED BY INLAND WATERS POLLUTION CONTROL, INC. (RE. DOCKET 4875) FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; STATUS HEARING RE. (#5155) MOTION TO ALLOW CLAIM(S)/NOTICE OF AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY ALLOWANCE OF CLAIM OF THE MACOMB INTERCEPTOR DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO RULE 3018(a) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE FOR PURPOSES OF ACCEPTING OR REJECTING THE DEBTOR'S FOURTH AMENDED PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT FILED BY CREDITOR

COUNTY OF MACOMB, MICHIGAN; (STATUS HEARING RE. (#5354) MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION OF PROOF OF CLAIMS #2638, 2651, 2654, 2659, 2676, 2683, 2689 AND 2692 FILED BY CREDITOR HYDE PARK CO-OPERATIVE, ET AL. BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN W. RHODES UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Jones Day

> JEFFREY G. ELLMAN By:

1420 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 800

Atlanta, GA 30309-3053

(404) 581-8309

Foley & Lardner, LLP

JOHN SIMON By:

TAMAR N. DOLCOURT

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700

Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 234-7161

Miller Canfield Paddock & Stone PLC

Bv: TIMOTHY A. FUSCO

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 496-8435

For the Official

Committee of Retirees:

Dentons US, LLP

By: CLAUDE D. MONTGOMERY

1221 Avenue of the Americas, 25th Floor

New York, NY 10020-1089

(212) 632-8390

For County of Macomb, Michigan: Dechert LLP

By: ALLAN BRILLIANT

1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

(212) 698-3600

For Family of Tamara Greene: Norman Yatooma & Associates, PC

By: HOWARD LEDERMAN 1900 S. Telegraph Road

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

(248) 481-2000

APPEARANCES (continued):

For Hyde Park Thornbladh Legal Group PLLC

By: KURT THORNBLADH Co-Operative:

7301 Schaefer

Dearborn, MI 48126

(313) 943-2678

Becker & Wasvery, PLLC

By: CARL BECKER

2401 W. Big Beaver Road, Ste. 100

Troy, MI 48074 (248) 649-5660

Court Recorder: Kristel Trionfi

United States Bankruptcy Court

211 West Fort Street

21st Floor

Detroit, MI 48226-3211

(313) 234-0068

Transcribed By: Lois Garrett

1290 West Barnes Road

Leslie, MI 49251 (517) 676-5092

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

MS. DARRAH: No. That's a five-year contract. I'm sorry. So that's approximately what they spent, but what I wanted was that we have some way that the citizens can become involved in their own survival, and right now we don't have that.

THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that, but that's all we can do here today. That's all we can do here today.

MS. DARRAH: That's not enough.

THE COURT: That's all we can do here today.

MS. DARRAH: All right. Okay.

THE COURT: Please take your seat now, ma'am.

MS. DARRAH: It goes out in the suburbs, too, if you live out there. It goes everywhere, Great Lakes, everything.

THE COURT: Mr. Simon.

2.1

MR. SIMON: Yes, your Honor. Moving on to the next set of objections, the Woodberry claimants' objections is Claim Numbers 3278, 3271, 3006, 2905, 2902, 2889, 2888, 2883, 2880, and 2846. Those are ten claims filed for a total of \$12 million by members of the Woodberry family. They filed these claims initially, your Honor, just listing eminent domain as a reason on one page of the proof of claim without any backup saying the city took -- quote, "The city took real property without paying just compensation." We objected to the claims because we couldn't tell at all anything about them. We couldn't tell what real property this was or what

the situation was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the Woodberrys did file responses. responses identified the property -- the subject property as 2457 Beaubien. We did some digging on the city's end, your Honor, and determined that property was the subject of a condemnation proceeding that started back in 2005. claimants in this case were parties to that litigation over condemnation, and in April 2009 after years of that litigation, the Wayne County Circuit Court entered an order confirming that title to the property had vested in the city, and they ordered payment of \$240,000, which the city paid, and so the claimants had totally omitted that from their claim, but we did determine what -- you know, some background There is no basis for any further claims because the April 2009 order also says that it is with prejudice to any other claims against the city with respect to the property. It says, quote, "This judgment shall be with prejudice to any further assertion of claims by defendants against the city arising directly or indirectly in whole or in part from the taking of the subject property." I would note that we had an acknowledgement which we filed as well by Ms. Edith Woodberry, who filed the biggest one of the claims for \$3 million, that acknowledged that she received full payment. So, your Honor, we would object to this claim. It's baseless in that there's no basis for any further liability or claim

```
against the city as evidenced by the April order from 2009.
1
2
              THE COURT: Thank you. Are any members of the
 3
    Woodberry family here or anyone representing them?
 4
              MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: Good morning, your Honor.
 5
    I'm Cranston Woodberry.
 6
              MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: Good morning. I'm Edith
7
    Woodberry.
              MR. LA JEFF WOODBERRY: Excuse me, your Honor.
8
                                                              Good
 9
    morning, your Honor. I'm LA Jeff Woodberry.
10
              THE COURT: All right. So the city contends that
11
     you already got paid for this property through the
12
    condemnation proceeding in court.
              MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: Your Honor, I had received
13
14
     from the city by express mail I think the day before
15
    yesterday his statements, and I filed a -- prepared a
16
     response, but I don't know how to give it to the city, Judge.
17
              THE COURT: I'll have a --
18
              MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: Can you give a copy to him or
    the -- but the answer is that --
19
20
              THE COURT: If you want me to, I'll have a look at
2.1
     it, ma'am.
22
              MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: I would appreciate it.
23
              THE COURT: All right.
24
              MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: And there's one for the city's
25
     attorney.
```

THE COURT: Mr. Simon, Ms. Woodberry has one for you, too.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, your Honor.

MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: I wasn't seeking oral argument because I know I'm not a -- I'm in here in pro per. I'm not any way capable of matching what was said here today, but I will say that the purpose of me filing that claim was to put Bankruptcy Court on notice that we had an action in a lower court, in the state court, and that I wanted -- I don't know the rules of the Bankruptcy Court, so, therefore, I didn't want to have this rejected in the state court because the action should have been brought to you. My suggestion or hope would be that you would dismiss or accept, receive for Bankruptcy Court's information the fact that we do have something and maybe let it go back to state court or dismiss it for lack of --

THE COURT: What is there left for the state court to do?

MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: Well, now, the state court in its -- the state court has not issued a final order, so, therefore, we cannot appeal the --

MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: The judgment.

MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: -- the judgment. We can't appeal the judgment because she has not issued a final -- she says that that judgment is not a final order. If you look at

the bottom of plaintiff's -- the city's --

2 MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: Exhibit 1, the April 28th, 3 2009, judgment.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.1

MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: It's a citizen's --

THE COURT: I will look at that. Give me one second, please.

MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: Okay. Well, actually, I'm not capable of maybe presenting an oral argument against what he was saying here because I couldn't hear him in the back, and what he wrote, I responded to that.

THE COURT: I do see the language you are referring to. It says, "Pursuant to Rule 2.602(a)(3), this judgment does not resolve the last of any claims, and it does not close the case." That's the language you're talking about?

MS. EDITH WOODBERRY: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask Mr. Simon about that. Mr. Simon. Ms. Woodberry, let me just ask you to step a little bit to the side so Mr. Simon can use the microphone there. Thank you very much.

MR. SIMON: Your Honor, actually the city law department is right now looking at the status of that case. I had interpreted that language to be separate from the condemnation and separate from any payment related to the eminent domain, which is clearly set forth in the order as

being exclusively handled, and the order was entered on a 1 2 final basis. It calls for the resolution of all the claims by the payment of \$240,000, and so I don't think that the --3 4 THE COURT: You don't know what's left to be done? MR. SIMON: I don't know of anything left to be 5 done. 6 7 MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: Well, your Honor, the problem is --8 9 THE COURT: No. One second. So you don't know that 10 there isn't anything left to be done? 11 MR. SIMON: I cannot say that, your Honor. Based on 12 that language, I have a -- yes. That's correct. 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry to have interrupted you, sir. 14 What were you going to say? MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: Well, he just answered the 15 16 question. We do have an appeal of right. 17 THE COURT: Stand right by that microphone. 18 MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: I'm sorry. We do have an 19 appeal of right, and the other issue is that there were 20 certain people who had an interest in that property that were 2.1 not brought into the action by the City of Detroit, and --22 THE COURT: And who were those people? 23 MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: This is the one person 24 right there, Jeff Woodberry. 25 MR. LA JEFF WOODBERRY: LA Jeff Woodberry. And I

never was brought into the action.

THE COURT: Um-hmm.

MR. LA JEFF WOODBERRY: (Inaudible) for the property.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Simon, in the circumstances, subject to further development of our record here, I think I have to overrule your objection and abstain from any further action by this Court in the matter to allow the state court to do whatever is left to be done in the case. And if there is ever a final judgment in the sense that all appeals have been exhausted, then we can sustain the objection assuming the judgment is in the city's favor.

MR. SIMON: Your Honor, there's been no appeal. The order was entered in 2009.

THE COURT: Right, but there's a question about whether this is a final appealable judgment because it says the judgment does not resolve the last of any claims, and it doesn't close the case.

MR. SIMON: And, your Honor, would it be possible to set a briefing schedule on substantive response to the claim objection, you know, based on --

THE COURT: No. I'm going to abstain --

MR. SIMON: Okay.

THE COURT: -- and allow the state court to make a final determination on the issues.

Thank you, your Honor. 1 MR. SIMON: 2 I can't tell, based on this record, THE COURT: 3 what's left let alone decide it. All right. I'll prepare an 4 appropriate order. So you should go back to state court and try to work with the judge there on resolving whatever is 5 6 left to be resolved so you can get on with your appeal. 7 MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: Thank you, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you have an attorney in that case? 9 MR. CRANSTON WOODBERRY: I was appearing as the 10 attorney in that case, your Honor, yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 12 MR. SIMON: Your Honor, if I may, just one further 13 note, is it -- the claim really should be contingent, though, 14 at best, and unliquidated rather than have a certain dollar 15 figure. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. SIMON: Okay. 18 At this point it's not fixed at all. THE COURT: 19 MR. SIMON: Exactly. I just wanted to be clear 20 about that. Thank you. 2.1 THE COURT: All right. I'll make sure the order 22 says that. 23 And, your Honor, the final matters that MR. SIMON: 24 we have, your Honor, are in respect of Macomb Interceptor 25 Drain District. This is probably the thorniest area. We are

EXHIBIT 7

ORDER ON INITIAL OBJECTIONS

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:	Chapter 9
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Case No. 13-53846
Debtor.	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
/	
Order Regarding Ob	bjections to Claims Numbers
2846, 2880, 2883, 2888, 288	89, 2902, 2905, 3006, 3271 and 3278
For the reasons stated on the record	in open Court on June 25, 2014, it is hereby ordered
that the City's objections to claims number	rs 2846, 2880, 2883, 2888, 2889, 2902, 2905, 3006,
2274 12270	. (71, 37, 4024, 4020, 4024, 4041, 4027, 4070
32/1 and 32/8 are overruled without prejud	ice. (Dkt. Nos. 4834, 4838, 4836, 4841, 4837, 4859,
4920 4940 4942 and 4925)	
4839, 4840, 4843, and 4835)	
It is further ordered that until the f	Further order of the Court, these claims are deemed
it is further ordered that until the r	diffici order of the court, these claims are decined
contingent and unliquidated, and for ballotin	ng purposes are estimated at \$0.
1	
It is further ordered that the Court a	bstains from fixing these claims in favor of the state
court proceeding in which these claims are p	pending.
Cional on Irun 25 2014	
Signed on June 25, 2014	lal Starrage Dh. dag
	<u>/s/ Steven Rhodes</u> Steven Rhodes
	United States Rankruntey Judge

EXHIBIT 8 STATE COURT DOCKET

<u>Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New Case Search Refine Search Back</u>

Location : Non-Criminal Cases

Web Access Instruction Manual

REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE NO. 05-522129-CC

RELATED CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases

99-915749-CC (Prior Action)

-	PARTY INFORMATION	
		Lead Attorneys
Defendant	DOE JANE	No Atty Required
Defendant	DOE JOHN	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JAMES EST OF	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Douglas	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Franklin	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Wayne	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Willie	No Atty Required
Defendant	GAITHER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Gaither, Warren	No Atty Required
Defendant	Harrison, Rozlyn	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	JONES JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	JONES JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Jones, Craig	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Jones, Michael	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Love, Patricia	No Atty Required
Defendant	Mabin, Penny	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Robinson, Dorothy	No Atty Required
Defendant	Sobeloff, Jonathan	No Atty Required

Defendant	Williams, Delores	No Atty Required
Defendant	WOODBERRY EDITH PERS REP	In Pro Per Or Out Of State
Defendant	WOODBERRY JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	WOODBERRY JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	WOODBERRY JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	WOODBERRY JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	WOODBERRY JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Woodberry, Adam	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Woodberry, Cavel	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Woodberry, Cranston	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Woodberry, Happy	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Woodberry, Lavan	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Woodberry, Phebe	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Woodberry, Roger	Cranston Woodberry
Plaintiff	DETROIT CITY OF	Avery K. Williams

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

```
OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS
01/27/2005
             Status Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Not Held
             Complaint, Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
07/27/2005
07/27/2005 Status Conference Scheduled
07/27/2005
             Service Review Scheduled
07/27/2005
             Service Review Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/27/2005
             Case Filing Fee - Paid (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/27/2005
             Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
07/29/2005
             Order to Show Cause, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/29/2005
              Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
09/01/2005
             Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Adjourned
09/02/2005
             Objection, Filed
09/02/2005
             Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Not Held
09/06/2005
             Notice of Filing, Filed
09/07/2005
             Order Amending, File Supplemental Pleading, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
             Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
09/07/2005
              Result: Held
09/12/2005
             Notice of Hearing, Filed
09/12/2005
             Proof of Service, Filed
09/30/2005
             Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Not Held
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
10/04/2005 Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
```

10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/0 1/2000	Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
	Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2003	Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
	Result: Reviewed by Court
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court
10/07/2005	Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
	Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
	Review of Extension of Summons (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
10/11/2005	Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Adjourned
10/11/2005	Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/11/2005	Result: Held
10/11/2005	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Adjourned
10/25/2005	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
10/27/2005	Result: Adjourned Objection, Filed
	Service of Complaint, filed
	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
	Result: Not Held
10/28/2005	Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Not Held
11/10/2005	Motion for Entry of Order, Filed
	Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
	Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore) Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
11/11/2000	Result: Adjourned
	Order for Special Service, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
11/16/2003	Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Held
11/18/2005	Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
11/22/2005	Result: Not Held Certificate, Filed
	Proof of Publication of Complaint, Filed
	Proof of Publication of Complaint, Filed
	Answer to Complaint, filed
	Jury Demand Filed & Fee Paid Counter Complaint, Filed
	Affirmative Defenses, Filed
01/10/2006	Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
01/10/2006	Answer to Complaint, filed
01/10/2006	Affirmative Defenses, Filed Brief, Filed
	Proof of Service, Filed
	Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
01/11/2006	Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
	Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
	Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore) Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
01/11/2000	Result: Adjourned
	Notice of Hearing, Filed
	Proof of Service, Filed Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
01/13/2006	Result: Not Held
	Status Conference Scheduling Order, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
01/19/2006	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Held
01/27/2006	Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/27/2006	Case Scheduled for Evaluation (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
	Reason (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/27/2006	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Result: Scheduled
01/27/2006	Status Conference (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
02/03/2006	Result: Scheduled Certificate, Filed
02/03/2000	ocianoato, i neu

```
02/06/2006 Answer to Affirmative Defenses, Filed
02/06/2006 Certificate, Filed
02/06/2006 Reply to Answer, Filed
02/06/2006 Counter Complaint, Filed
02/08/2006 Answer to Motion, Filed
02/08/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/10/2006
            Heard (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
02/10/2006
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
02/10/2006
02/10/2006
            Witness List, Filed
02/10/2006
            Certificate, Filed
02/10/2006
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
02/10/2006
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
02/10/2006
            Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
02/13/2006
            Reply to Answer, Filed
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
02/17/2006
02/17/2006
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/17/2006
02/17/2006
            Miscellaneous Response, Filed
02/17/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Reply to Answer, Filed
02/22/2006
02/24/2006
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
02/27/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
02/27/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006 Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
02/27/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Witness List, Filed
02/27/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/27/2006
            Motion to Amend, Filed
02/27/2006
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Reviewed by Court
            Miscellaneous Party Update
02/28/2006
02/28/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
03/01/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
            Miscellaneous Party Update
03/01/2006
03/01/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
03/06/2006
            Motion to Strike
03/06/2006 Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
03/07/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/07/2006 Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
03/07/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/07/2006 Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/08/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/14/2006
03/14/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/15/2006
            Answer to Motion, Filed
            Certificate, Filed
03/15/2006
03/15/2006
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/17/2006
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/17/2006
03/17/2006
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
03/17/2006
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
03/20/2006
            Received 7-Day Order for Entry
03/20/2006 Received 7-Day Order for Entry
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
03/24/2006
            Reply to Answer, Filed
03/24/2006
            Certificate, Filed
03/28/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/28/2006
03/28/2006
            Motion for Default Judgment, Filed
03/28/2006
            Motion for Default Judgment, Filed
            Motion for Default Judgment, Filed
03/28/2006
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/29/2006
03/29/2006 7-Day Order Not Enter
03/29/2006
            Interrogatories, Filed
03/29/2006 Request for Production of Documents, Filed
03/29/2006
            Interrogatories, Filed
03/29/2006 Request for Production of Documents, Filed
03/29/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Certificate, Filed
03/29/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/29/2006
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/29/2006 Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/31/2006 Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore ) 03/31/2006 Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore ) 03/31/2006 Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
```

```
03/31/2006 Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/31/2006 Certificate, Filed
03/31/2006
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Reviewed by Court
04/03/2006
             Case Evaluation (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Adjourned
04/04/2006
            Settlement Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Adjourned
04/07/2006
            Miscellaneous Party Update
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/17/2006
04/17/2006 Proof of Service, Filed
04/20/2006
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/20/2006 Proof of Service, Filed
04/21/2006
             Default Judgment S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Default Judgment S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
Default Judgment S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
04/21/2006
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
             Result: Motion and/or Praecipe Dismissed
04/21/2006
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
             Result: Held
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
             Result: Held
04/21/2006
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
04/27/2006
             Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
04/27/2006 Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
04/27/2006
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
04/27/2006 Review Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
            Certificate, Filed
04/28/2006
05/09/2006
             Case Evaluation - Commercial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
05/12/2006
            Witness List, Filed
05/12/2006
             Proof of Service, Filed
05/19/2006
             Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
05/19/2006
             Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
             Certificate, Filed
05/23/2006
05/30/2006
             Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
05/30/2006
             Review Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
             Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
05/31/2006
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
05/31/2006
            Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
05/31/2006
             Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
06/05/2006
             Opinion of Court, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
06/05/2006
            Result: Motion and/or Praecipe Dismissed
Settlement Conference (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
06/19/2006
             Result: Not Held
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
06/21/2006
             Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/13/2006
07/13/2006
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Motion for Stay of Proceedings, filed
07/13/2006
07/18/2006
             Final - Order for Stay of Proceedings, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/18/2006
             Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
08/11/2006
             Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
08/15/2006
            Case Evaluation - Commercial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/28/2006
            Settlement Conference (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
10/19/2006
            Case Reassigned (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Reassignment - Disqualification, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/19/2006
04/13/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/17/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
04/17/2007
04/17/2007
04/17/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/25/2007
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/25/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
04/25/2007
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/25/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
05/04/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Adjourned
05/04/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Not Held
05/17/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/17/2007
            Motion for Order
05/17/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
05/18/2007
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
```

```
Result: Held
05/31/2007 Proof of Service, Filed
05/31/2007
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
06/08/2007 Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
06/14/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/14/2007
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
06/21/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/21/2007 Miscellaneous Response, Filed
06/21/2007
           Objection, Filed
           Notice of Hearing, Filed
06/21/2007
06/21/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/21/2007
           Objection, Filed
06/21/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/21/2007
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
06/22/2007
            Hearing Not Held (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/22/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
06/27/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/28/2007
           Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/28/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
06/28/2007
            Objection, Filed
06/28/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/28/2007
            Result: Reviewed by Court
06/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
06/29/2007
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/29/2007
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Case Returned to Open Status (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/29/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/29/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
06/29/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
07/13/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/13/2007
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
07/13/2007
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/27/2007
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/27/2007
07/27/2007
            Continued Comments (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/27/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
08/24/2007
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
08/24/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
08/24/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
           Witness List, Filed
08/28/2007
08/28/2007
            Certificate, Filed
            Proof of Service, Filed
09/05/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
09/05/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
09/05/2007
09/07/2007
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
09/21/2007
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/21/2007
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
10/04/2007
           Answer to Motion, Filed
10/04/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/04/2007
10/04/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
10/05/2007
            Motion to Disqualify Judge, Filed
10/09/2007
           Motion to Disqualify Judge, Filed
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/17/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/17/2007
            Result: Held
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Kelly, Mary Beth )
10/24/2007
10/24/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
10/24/2007
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Kelly, Mary Beth)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
11/06/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
11/06/2007 Proof of Service, Filed
11/07/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Kelly, Mary Beth)
11/09/2007
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Kelly, Mary Beth )
11/09/2007
            DeNovo Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Kelly, Mary Beth)
            Result: Held
11/09/2007
            DeNovo Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Kelly, Mary Beth)
            Result: Held
11/20/2007
           Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
```

```
11/21/2007 Dismiss Hearing or Injunction (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Settlement Conference (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Case Scheduled for Evaluation (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/29/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/29/2007
            Result: Reviewed by Court
11/30/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/30/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
12/04/2007
            Motion To Show Cause
12/05/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/05/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/06/2007
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
12/06/2007
            Certificate, Filed
12/06/2007 Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
12/07/2007
           Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Not Held
12/12/2007
           Answer to Motion, Filed
12/12/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
12/14/2007
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/14/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
12/14/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/14/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/14/2007
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
12/17/2007
            Miscellaneous Request, Filed
12/17/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
12/19/2007
            Brief in Support of Motion, Filed
           Answer to Motion, Filed
12/19/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
12/21/2007
           Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/21/2007
            Result: Held
           Motion for Stay of Proceedings, filed
01/09/2008
           Answer to Motion, Filed
01/10/2008
01/10/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
01/11/2008
            Transcript - Filed
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/11/2008
01/11/2008
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/11/2008
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
01/11/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
           Case Evaluation - Commercial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/28/2008
           Notice of Filing, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
01/28/2008
01/28/2008
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
           Transcript - Filed
01/30/2008
01/31/2008
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
01/31/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
           Objection, Filed
Notice of Hearing, Filed
01/31/2008
01/31/2008
01/31/2008 Proof of Service, Filed
02/01/2008
            Motion to Extend Time, Filed
02/01/2008
           Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/01/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/07/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
02/07/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/08/2008
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/08/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
02/15/2008
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/15/2008
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Result: Reviewed by Court

Motion to Compel Taking of Deposition, Filed
            Motion to Compel Taking of Deposition, Filed
02/20/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
02/25/2008
02/25/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/27/2008
            Settlement Conference (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Not Held
02/29/2008
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/29/2008
           Answer to Motion, Filed
02/29/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/29/2008
           Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
03/03/2008
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/05/2008
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
03/06/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/07/2008
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/07/2008
03/12/2008 Case Evaluation Envelope, filed
```

```
03/13/2008 Objection, Filed
03/13/2008
           Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/13/2008
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/13/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
03/14/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
03/18/2008
           Settlement Conference (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
03/19/2008
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/19/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/27/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/27/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/28/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/28/2008
           Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Not Held
03/31/2008
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
04/03/2008
            Transcript - Filed
            Transcript - Filed
04/07/2008
04/11/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/11/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
05/01/2008
            Case Scheduled for Trial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
05/01/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
05/06/2008
           Transcript - Filed
05/06/2008
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/15/2008 Proof of Service, Filed
05/15/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
           Answer to Motion, Filed
05/19/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
05/29/2008 Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
           Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
Result: Adjourned
07/28/2008
08/28/2008
            Motion to Amend. Filed
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
09/04/2008
09/04/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
09/04/2008
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
09/09/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/09/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Case Scheduled for Trial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/10/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/10/2008
            Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Scheduled
09/10/2008
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
Granted (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/12/2008
            Granted (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/12/2008
            Granted (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/12/2008
09/12/2008
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/12/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/12/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/12/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/12/2008
           Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/15/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
09/15/2008
09/19/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Received 7-Day Order for Entry
09/19/2008
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
09/19/2008
            Motion for Summary Judgment/Disposition, Filed
09/23/2008
09/23/2008
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
09/23/2008
09/23/2008
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/23/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
09/24/2008
            Objection, Filed
           Objection, Filed
09/24/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/26/2008
09/26/2008
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/01/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
10/01/2008
           Miscellaneous Response, Filed
10/01/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
           Order Amending, File Supplemental Pleading, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
10/02/2008
10/02/2008 Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
```

```
10/02/2008 Motion Hearing (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
10/02/2008
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
10/15/2008
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
10/21/2008
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/21/2008
            Result: Held
10/24/2008
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
11/17/2008
11/17/2008
           Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/05/2008
           Affidavit, Filed
12/08/2008 Affidavit, Filed
12/09/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
12/09/2008
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
12/09/2008
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
12/09/2008 Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
           Miscellaneous Response, Filed
12/10/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
12/10/2008
            CANCELED Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/12/2008
              Not Held
            Result: Not Held
12/12/2008 Closed/Final -Ord Summary Jdmnt/Disp Grntd, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
            Order, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
12/12/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
12/12/2008
            Order, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/17/2008
12/22/2008
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
12/22/2008
           General Brief, Filed
12/22/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
12/22/2008 Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
12/22/2008
            General Brief, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
12/22/2008
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
12/22/2008
           Motion Received for Scheduling
           Notice of Hearing, Filed
Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/29/2008
01/13/2009
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )

CANCELED Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/13/2009
01/26/2009
              Case Disposed/Order Previously Entered
02/02/2009
            Received 7-Day Order for Entry
02/06/2009
            Objection to 7-Day Order, Filed
            Objection to 7-Day Order, Filed
02/06/2009
02/09/2009
            Objection, Filed
02/09/2009
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/09/2009
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
02/09/2009
            Objection, Filed
02/09/2009
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/09/2009
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/10/2009
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/10/2009
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/12/2009
           Motion Hearing (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
               02/27/2009 Reset by Court to 03/12/2009
04/14/2009
            CANCELED Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
              Scheduling Error
            Motion to Amend, Filed
04/14/2009
04/14/2009
           Brief in Support of Motion, Filed
           Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/14/2009
04/14/2009
           Proof of Service, Filed
04/27/2009
           Motion to Dismiss, Filed
            General Brief, Filed
04/27/2009
04/27/2009
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
            Proof of Service, Filed
04/27/2009
            Motion Received for Scheduling
04/27/2009
            Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/28/2009
            Result: Held
04/28/2009
            Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/28/2009
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
            Order Amending, File Supplemental Pleading, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
           Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/29/2009
            Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means
            Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
04/29/2009
            Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
Motion Received for Scheduling
04/29/2009
05/04/2009
           Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means ) Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/04/2009
05/04/2009
05/04/2009
            Affidavit, Filed
05/06/2009
            Motion to Quash, Filed
05/07/2009
           Miscellaneous Request, Filed
05/28/2009
           Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/28/2009 Motion Received for Scheduling
06/04/2009 Miscellaneous Hearing (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
```

05/14/2009 Reset by Court to 06/04/2009 06/04/2009 Motion Hearing (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Result: Held 06/04/2009 Affidavit, Filed 06/04/2009 Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) 06/04/2009 Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) 07/07/2009 CANCELED Miscellaneous Hearing (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Dismiss Hearing or Injunction 07/17/2009 Proof of Service, Filed 07/17/2009 Notice of Hearing, Filed 08/06/2009 Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Result: Held 08/06/2009 **Administrative Correction** Order Denying, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) 08/06/2009 08/12/2009 CANCELED Review Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Scheduling Error 08/27/2009 Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed 03/13/2012 Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Plaintiff DETROIT CITY OF Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 05/17/2018	60.00 0.00 60.00
12/17/2008	Transaction Assessment Transaction Assessment Transaction Assessment	20.00 20.00 20.00

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	Case No. 13-53846
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,	Chapter 9
Debtor.	Judge Thomas J. Tucker

ORDER SETTING DEADLINE BY WHICH THE WOODBERRY AND MABIN CLAIMANTS MUST TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS, REGARDING THEIR CLAIMS, AND REQUIRING THE CITY OF DETROIT TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER ON ALL SUCH CLAIMANTS

This case came before the Court for a hearing on May 23, 2018, on the Debtor's Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Docket # 12763, the "56th Omnibus Claim Objection"), and on the Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Docket # 12769, the "62nd Omnibus Claim Objection"). Claimants Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry, and Cranston Woodberry appeared at the hearing, as did counsel for the Debtor. Confirming certain action taken during the hearing, and for the reasons stated by the Court on the record during the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The 56th Omnibus Claim Objection is sustained, with respect to the claim of Edith Woodberry (Claim No. 2846), to the extent of the relief provided by this Order, and otherwise is overruled.
- 2. The 62nd Omnibus Claim Objection is sustained, with respect to the claims of each of the following individuals (who, with Edith Woodberry, collectively, are referred to in this Order below as the "Woodberry/Mabin Claimants"), to the extent of the relief provided by this Order, and otherwise is overruled:

Claimant:	Claim No
Happy Woodberry	2880
La Jeff Woodberry	2883
Cavel Woodberry	2888
Lavan Woodberry	2889
·	

¹ This Order concerns only the City's objection to the claims of the claimants named in this Order. The remainder of the City's 56th Omnibus Claim Objection and the City's 62nd Omnibus Claim Objection will be decided by other, separate orders to be entered.

Penny Mabin	2902
Cranston Woodberry	2905
Garfield Woodberry	3006
Adam Woodberry	3271
Phebe Woodberry	3278.

- 3. No later than June 22, 2018, in order to avoid the entry of an Order by this Court disallowing their claims, the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants must take appropriate action to reactivate the lawsuit in the Wayne County Circuit Court (Case No. 05-522129-CC, City of Detroit v. Jonathan Sobeloff, etc., et al.), and to seek the entry of a final order in that lawsuit, so that the Woodberry/Mabin claimants may seek to appeal that court's Judgment filed April 28, 2009.
- 4. The Woodberry/Mabin Claimants must promptly serve the City's counsel in this bankruptcy case, Marc N. Swanson, by mail, with a copy of all items they file in the Wayne County Circuit Court in order to comply with this Order.
- 5. If the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants fail to timely comply with paragraph 3 of this Order, the City may file an affidavit of non-compliance and submit a proposed order disallowing the claims of the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants in this bankruptcy case, and the Court may enter such order, without further notice or hearing.
- 6. The City must promptly serve a copy of this Order upon each of the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants, and file proof of such service.

Signed on May 23, 2018



/s/ Thomas J. Tucker

Thomas J. Tucker **United States Bankruptcy Judge**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:		Chapter 9
City of Detroit, Michigan,		Case No. 13-53846
Debtor.		Hon. Thomas J. Tucker
	/	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lydia Do, certify and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC (KCC), the claims and noticing agent for the Debtor in the above-captioned case.

On May 24, 2018, at my direction and under my supervision, employees of KCC caused to be served the following document via First Class mail on the service list attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Furthermore, on or before May 25, 2018, the following document was to be served via Email on the service list attached hereto as **Exhibit B**; and via First Class mail on the service list attached hereto as **Exhibit C**:

• Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a Copy of This Order on All Such Claimants [Docket No. 12818]

Dated: May 25, 2018

/s/ L<u>ydia</u> <u>Do</u> Lydia Do KCC 2335 Alaska Ave El Segundo, CA 90245

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A Served via First Class Mail

CreditorName	CreditorNoticeName	Address1	City	State	Zip
Adam Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Cavel Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Cranston Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Edith Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Garfield Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Happy Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
LA Jeff Woodberry	LA Jeff Woodberry	18283 Muirland St	Detroit	MI	48221-2756
Lavan Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Penny Mabin		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202
Phebe Woodberry		803 Gladstone	Detroit	MI	48202

EXHIBIT B

Exhibit B Served via Email

Party Description	Company	Contact	Email
Union Representative	AFSCME Council #25	Attn: Catherine Phillips	cphillips@miafscme.org
Union Representative	AFSCME Council #25	Attn: DeAngelo Malcolm	dmalcolm@miafscme.org
Union Representative	AFSCME Local # 6087	Attn: Clarence Sanders	clrncsndrs@yahoo.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0062	Attn: Lacydia Moore-Reese	Reesel@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0207	Attn: James Williams	afscme207@sbcglobal.net
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0214	Attn: June Nickleberry	missnick64@hotmail.com
	AFSCME Local #0214 AFSCME Local #0229		
Union Representative		Attn: Zachary Carr	afscmelocal229@ymail.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0273	Attn: Scecilla Hunt	anurses@att.net
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0542	Attn: Phyllis McMillon	philphil48238@yahoo.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0836	Attn: Robert Donald	union836@yahoo.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #1023	Attn: Delia Enright	;deliaenright@hotmail.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #1206	Attn: Arlene Kirby	arlene.kirby@yahoo.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #2394	Attn: Yalonda King	KingY687@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #2799	Attn: Yvonne Ross	Yvonners2001@yahoo.com
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #2920	Attn: Thomas Johnson II	local2920@sbcglobal.net
Counsel for Dexia Crédit Local, Dexia Holdings, Inc.,	AI SOME LOCAL #2920	Atti. Hiomas somison ii	local2920@Sbcglobal.flet
Norddeutsche Landesbank Luxembourg, S.A., on behalf			
of Norddeutsche Landesbank Covered Finance Bank			
S.A. (collectively "Dexia") and Ad Hoc COPs Holders;			
and Panning Capital Management, LP, Monarch			
Alternative Capital LP, Bronze Gable, L.L.C. Aurelius			
			dial @ allandial as assay
Capital Management, LP, Stone Lion Capital Partners			dfish@allardfishpc.com;
L.P.,	Allard & Fish PC	Deborah L Fish and Timothy R. Graves	tgraves@allardfishpc.com
Union Representative	Amalgamated Transit Union, Division 26	Attn: Henry Gaffney	atulocal26pba@aol.com
Counsel for AFSCME and the Detroit, Michigan, Retiree			BLurye@afscme.org;
Sub-Chapter 98 of the American Federation of State,	American Federation of State, County &	William Lurye Matthew Stark Blumin & Michael	martz@afscme.org;
County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO	Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO	Artz	mblumin@afscme.org
Counsel for Ambac Assurance Corporation	Arent Fox LLP	David L Dubrow	David.Dubrow@arentfox.com
Counsel for Ambac Assurance Corporation	Arent Fox LLP	Emily Baver	emily.baver@arentfox.com
	Assistant Attorney General Solicitor General	Michael R Bell John J Bursch and B Eric	
Counsel for Attorney General Bill Schuette	and Deputy Solicitor General	Restuccia	BellM1@michigan.gov
,	Assistant Supervisors of Street Maintenance &		
Union Representative	Construction Association	Attn: Herbert Jenkins	JenkinsH@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	Association of City of Detroit Supervisors	Attn: Richard King	KingR@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	Association of Detroit Engineers	Attn: Sanjay M. Patel	patel@dwsd.org
Union Representative	Association of Municipal Engineers	Attn: Partho Ghosh	pghosh@dwsd.org
Union Representative	Association of Municipal Inspectors	Attn: Michael Neil	m.neil@sbcglobal.net
	Association of Professional & Technical		
Union Representative	Employees	Attn: Dempsey Addison	theda3t@yahoo.com
The Office of the Attorney General of the State of	Employees	Auti. Dempsey Addison	tricuast@yarioo.com
	A 11 O 1 P:11 O - 1 11 -		
Michigan	Attorney General Bill Schuette		miag@michigan.gov
Counsel for Detroit Branch NAACP, Michigan State			
Conference NAACP, Donnell White, individually and on			
behalf of Detroit Branch NAACP and Michigan State			
Conference NAACP, Thomas Stallworth III, individually,			
Rashida Tlaib, individually, and Maureen Taylor,			
individually, interested parties in this bankruptcy matter			
as it pertains to their civil suit in the Federal Eastern			
District Court of Michigan (Case Number 13-CV-12098)	Ayad Law PLLC	Nabih H Ayad	nayad@ayadlaw.com
	. , ,		,
Councel for Ereta Europäische Dfoodbrief und			
Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und			1
Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg			
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank			
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank			
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft	Rallard Snahr I I P	Vincent I Marriott	marrintt@hallardsnahr.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK").	Ballard Spahr LLP	Vincent J Marriott	marriott@ballardspahr.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG,	Ballard Spahr LLP	Vincent J Marriott	·
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste	Ballard Spahr LLP		marriott@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP		marriott@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A.		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische		Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt,	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectivel) "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK").	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK").	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK").	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Milchigan	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel to Hyde Park Cooperative and Plymouth	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark Patrick E. Mears	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com markwasvary@hotmail.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel to Hyde Park Cooperative and Plymouth Square Limited Housing Association	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Becker & Wasvary PLLC	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark Patrick E. Mears Carl G Becker	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com markwasvary@hotmail.com; mark@wasvarylaw.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel to Hyde Park Cooperative and Plymouth	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark Patrick E. Mears	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com markwasvary@hotmail.com;
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel to Hyde Park Cooperative and Plymouth Square Limited Housing Association	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Becker & Wasvary PLLC	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark Patrick E. Mears Carl G Becker	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com markwasvary@hotmail.com; mark@wasvarylaw.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel to Hyde Park Cooperative and Plymouth Square Limited Housing Association Counsel for Jerome Collins Counsel for Assured Guaranty Corporation and Assured	Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Becker & Wasvary PLLC Benjamin Whitfield, Jr. & Associates, P.C.	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark Patrick E. Mears Carl G Becker Benjamin Whitfield, Jr. Attn: Kara Raiguel, Sunil Khanna and Thomas	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com markwasvary@hotmail.com; mark@wasvarylaw.com benwlaw123@aol.com
S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Attorneys for Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. Counsel for Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A., Hypothekenbank Frankfurt AG, Hypothekenbank Frankfurt International S.A., and Erste Europäische Pfandbrief- und Kommunalkreditbank Aktiengesellschaft in Luxemburg S.A. (collectively "EEPK"). Counsel for Genuine Parts Company Counsel for the 36th District Court for the State of Michigan Counsel to Hyde Park Cooperative and Plymouth Square Limited Housing Association Counsel for Jerome Collins	Ballard Spahr LLP Ballard Spahr LLP Barack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Barnes & Thornburg LLP Becker & Wasvary PLLC	Vincent J. Marriott, III, Benjamin M. Schmidt, and Matthew A. White Matthew G Summers Kimberly J Robinson Peter A Clark Patrick E. Mears Carl G Becker Benjamin Whitfield, Jr.	marriott@ballardspahr.com; whitema@ballardspahr.com; schmidtb@ballardspahr.com summersm@ballardspahr.com Kim.robinson@bfkn.com pclark@btlaw.com pmears@btlaw.com markwasvary@hotmail.com; mark@wasvarylaw.com

Exhibit B Served via Email

Party Description	Company	Contact	Email
Tarty Description	Company	Edwin E Smith Jared Clark Steven Wilamowsky	edwin.smith@bingham.com;;marcus.ma
Counsel for UBS AG (COP Swap Counterparties)	Bingham McCutchen LLP	& E Marcus Marsh	rsh@bingham.com
Counsel for U.S. Bank National Association (Top 20	2 mgmam modatorism 22.	a 2 marco march	ion congression
Creditor)	Bodman PLC	Attn: Barbara A. Bowman, Esq.	bbowman@bodmanlaw.com
Counsel for Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan and			
Blue Care Network of Michigan	Bodman PLC	Brian R Trumbauer	btrumbauer@bodmanlaw.com
Counsel for Siemens Industry Inc.	Bowman and Brooke LLP		tom.branigan@bowmanandbrooke.com
	_ ,, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Andrew D Roth Jeffrey R Freund & Douglas L	aroth@bredhoff.com;jfreund@bredhoff.c
Counsel for Amalgamated Transit Union Local 26	Bredhoff & Kaiser PLLC	Greenfield	om;dgreenfield@bredhoff.com
Counsel for the Official Committee of Retirees	Brooks Wilkins Sharkey & Turco PLLC	Matthew E Wilkins & Paula A Hall	wilkins@bwst-law.com; hall@bwst- law.com
Attorneys for Creditors, Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle		Matthew E Wikins & Faula A Flaii	law.com
Credit Corporation	Buchalter Nemer, A Professional Corporation	Shawn M Christianson	schristianson@buchalter.com
Union Representative	Building & Construction Trades Council	Attn: John Wallace	express33@aol.com
Attorneys for Defendants Detroit General Retirement	g		
System Service Corporation and Detroit Police and Fire			
Retirement System Service Corporation	Butzel Long, PC	Cynthia J Haffey	Haffey@butzel.com
Attorneys for Defendants, Detroit General			
Retirement System Service Corporation and			
Detroit Police and Fire Retirement System			Haffey@butzel.com;
Service Corporation	Butzel Long, PC	Bruce L Sendek and Cynthia J Haffey	Sendek@butzel.com
Attorneys for Defendants, Detroit General			
Retirement System Service Corporation and			Hoffey@butzel.com
Detroit Police and Fire Retirement System	Rutzel Long PC	Bruce I Sendek and Cunthin I Hoffer	Haffey@butzel.com; Sendek@butzel.com
Service Corporation Attorneys for Defendants Detroit General Retirement	Butzel Long, PC	Bruce L Sendek and Cynthia J Haffey	Jenuer @ Dulzel.COIII
System Service Corporation and Detroit Police and Fire			
Retirement System Service Corporation	Butzel Long, PC	Brian E McGinty	McGinty@butzel.com
Attorneys for Defendants Detroit General Retirement	241261 2011g; 1 0	Dian's moonly	meeming obactoneem
System Service Corporation and Detroit Police and Fire			
Retirement System Service Corporation	Butzel Long, PC	Brian E McGinty	McGinty@butzel.com
Attorneys for Defendants Detroit General Retirement		·	•
System Service Corporation and Detroit Police and Fire			
Retirement System Service Corporation	Butzel Long, PC	Bruce L Sendek	Sendek@butzel.com
Attorneys for Defendants Detroit General Retirement			
System Service Corporation and Detroit Police and Fire	B. dealles on BO	Daniel Cardal	0 - 1-1 6 - 1-1
Retirement System Service Corporation	Butzel Long, PC Caralyce M Lassner JD PC	Bruce L Sendek	Sendek@butzel.com
Interested Party	Caralyce M Lassner JD PC	Caralyce M Lassner	ecf@lassnerlaw.com jfischer@carsonfischer.com;;rweisberg
		Attn Joseph M Fischer Robert Weisberg &	@carsonfischer.com;;cgrosman@carson
Counsel for Oakland County	Carson Fischer PLC	Christopher Grossman	fischer.com
Council of California County	Carcon Floorion F Eo	Officiophor Grossman	Ischapira@chadbourne.com;
			edaucher@chadbourne.com;
Counsel for Assured Guaranty	Chadbourne & Parke LLP	Lisa Schapira Marc D. Ashley Marc B. Roitman	mashley@chadbourne.com
,		,	
Interested Party	Chase Paymentech LLC	Attn Lazonia Clark Business Analyst	lazonia.clark@chasepaymentech.com
Claims and Noticing Agent	City of Detroit Processing Center	c/o KCC	Detroitinfo@kccllc.com
Counsel for Treasurer, City of Detroit	City of Detroit, Law Department	Mary Beth Cobbs	cobbm@detroitmi.gov
Counsel for the Police and Fire Retirement System of			
the City of Detroit (the "PFRS") and the General	Olest LINERIO	Observat Basks	
Retirement System of the City of Detroit (the "GRS")	Clark Hill PLC	Shannon L Deeby	sdeeby@clarkhill.com pdechiara@cwsny.com;
		Thomas N. Ciantra & Peter D. DeChiara and	tciantra@cwsny.com;
Counsel for International Union, UAW ("UAW")	Cohen Weiss and Simon LLP	Joshua J. Ellison	jellison@cwsny.com
Counsel for HP Enterprise Services LLC	Cole Schotz Meisel Forman & Leonard PA	Michael D Warner	mwarner@coleschotz.com
		INICHAELD Warner	
		Michael D Warrier	a.iiiii gaalaa ia Liaaiii
Counsel for Waste Management Inc.	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC	Attn Jerry M Ellis	jerry.ellis@couzens.com
Counsel for Waste Management Inc. Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC		
	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar		
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc.	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan,	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com;
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com;
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Counsel for Dentonss US LLP and Salans FMC SNR	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com;
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Counsel for Dentonss US LLP and Salans FMC SNR Dentons Europe LLP; and Counsel to the Official	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp Dechert LLP	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz Allan S Brilliant & Stephen M Wolpert	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com; stephen.wolpert@dechert.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Counsel for Dentonss US LLP and Salans FMC SNR Dentons Europe LLP; and Counsel to the Official	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp Dechert LLP	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz Allan S Brilliant & Stephen M Wolpert	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com; stephen.wolpert@dechert.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Counsel for Dentonss US LLP and Salans FMC SNR Dentons Europe LLP; and Counsel to the Official Retiree Committee Union Representative	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp Dechert LLP Dentons US LLP Detroit Fire Fighters Association Local 344	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz Allan S Brilliant & Stephen M Wolpert Carole Neville Attn: Daniel McNamara	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com; stephen.wolpert@dechert.com carole.neville@Dentons.com dmcnamara344@aol.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Counsel for Dentonss US LLP and Salans FMC SNR Dentons Europe LLP; and Counsel to the Official Retiree Committee	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp Dechert LLP Dentons US LLP	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz Allan S Brilliant & Stephen M Wolpert Carole Neville	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com; stephen.wolpert@dechert.com
Counsel for Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority Counsel for Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc. Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc Counsel to National Industrial Maintenance – Michigan, Inc Counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation Attorneys for County of Macomb, Michigan, a Michigan Constitutional corporation, by and through its County Agency, the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Counsel for Dentonss US LLP and Salans FMC SNR Dentons Europe LLP; and Counsel to the Official Retiree Committee Union Representative	Couzens Lansky Fealk Ellis Roeder & Lazar PC Davis Burket Savage Listman Brennan Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Dawda, Mann, Mulcahy & Sadler, PLC Dean & Fulkerson Debevoise & Plimpton LLp Dechert LLP Dentons US LLP Detroit Fire Fighters Association Local 344	Attn Jerry M Ellis William N Listman Marshall S Huebner Attn Jessica B Allmand Attn Kevin N Summers My Chi To & M Natasha Labovitz Allan S Brilliant & Stephen M Wolpert Carole Neville Attn: Daniel McNamara	jerry.ellis@couzens.com rdavis@dbsattorneys.com detroit.chapter9.service@davispolk.com jallmand@dmms.com Ksummers@dflaw.com mcto@debevoise.com;nlabovitz@debev oise.com allan.brilliant@dechert.com; stephen.wolpert@dechert.com carole.neville@Dentons.com dmcnamara344@aol.com

Party Description	Company	Contact	Email
- Tury Door pro-	Jonnpun,		youngM604@detroitmi.gov;;Polo4491@
Union Representative	Detroit Police Lieut. & Sergeants Association	Attn: Mark Young	aol.com
Union Representative	Detroit Police Officers Association	Attn: Mark Diaz	DiazM3329@gmail.com
Counsel for Chapter 7 Trustee, Charles Taunt	Dib and Fagan PC	Barry S Fagan	bfagan@dibandfagan.com
State of Michigan, Department of Attorney General	Dickinson Wright PLLC	Dawn R Copley	dcopley@dickinsonwright.com
Counsel for Sky Group Grand, LLC	Dickinson Wright PLLC	Doron Yitzchaki	dyitzchaki@dickinsonwright.com
Counsel for Defendat MGM Grand Detriot, LLC	Dickinson Wright PLLC	Jeffery V Stuckey	jstuckey@dickinsonwright.com
Counsel for Defendat MGM Grand Detriot, LLC	Dickinson Wright PLLC	Peter H Ellsworth	pellsworth@dickinsonwright.com
Courser for Deferidat WGW Grand Detriot, ELC	DICKINSON WIIGHT LEC	I etel II Eliswortii	showell@dickinsonwright.com;
State of Michigan, Department of Attorney General	Dickinson Wright PLLC DOT Foremen's Association of America Local	Steven G Howell and Allison R Bach	abach@dickinsonwright.com
Union Representative	337	Attn: Nicholas Duncan	NicDun@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	DOT Foreperson's Association of America	Attn: Pamela King	Pamkin@detroitmi.gov
Onion Representative	DOT Forepersor's Association of America	Attii. Fameia King	Faitikiii@detroitiii.gov
Top 20 Creditor Counsel for Wilmington Trust Company, National	Downtown Development Authority	Athanasios Papapanos Glen W Long Jr and Rebecca Navin	Artp1@degc.org; gwlong@degc.org;
Association	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Attn: Heath D. Rosenblat, Esq.	Heath.Rosenblat@dbr.com
Counsel for Wilmington Trust Company, National	Drinker Biddle & Beeth LLD	Attac Kaiatia K. Caina E.	Krietin Caina@dha
Association	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Attn: Kristin K. Going, Esq.	Kristin.Going@dbr.com
Counsel for the City of Detroit	Dykema Gossett PLLC	Robert J. Franzinger and Jong-Ju Chang	jchang@dykema.com;
Union Representative	EMS Officers Association	Attn: James Gatteno	jgatteno@comcast.net
Counsel for Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344; Detroit Police Officers Association; Detroit Police Lieutenants and Sergeants Association; and Detroit Police Command Officers Association	Erman Teicher Miller Zucker & Freedman PC	David M Eisenberg	deisenberg@ermanteicher.com
Counsel for Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344; Detroit Police Officers Association; Detroit Police Lieutenants and Sergeants Association; and Detroit Police Command Officers Association	Erman Teicher Miller Zucker & Freedman PC	Earle I Erman & Julie Beth Teicher	eerman@ermanteicher.com; jteicher@ermanteicher.com
Counsel to City of Detroit, Michigan	Foley & Lardner LLP	John A Simon	jsimon@foley.com
Counsel for US Bank National Association, as	Foley & Laturer LLF	JOHN A SIMON	JSIITIOIT@TOIEy.COITI
Custodian	Footor Swift Colling & Smith DC	Dirls H. Bookwith	dha alswith @factorowift as m
Counsel for U.S. Bank National Association (Top 20	Foster Swift Collins & Smith PC	Dirk H Beckwith	dbeckwith@fosterswift.com
Creditor)	Foster Swift Collins & Smith PC	Attn: John M. Kamins, Esq.	jkamins@fosterswift.com david.rosenzweig@nortonrosefulbright.c
Counsel for the Bank of New York Mellon	Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	David A Rosenzweig	om
Couriser for the Bank of New York Mellon	Fulbright & Jaworski LLF	David A Roselizweig	OIII
Counsel for the Bank of New York Mellon Counsel for Hathaway Berskhire Assurance	Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	Melanie M Kotler	melanie.kotler@nortonrosefulbright.com
Corporation; Berskhire Hathaway Assurance		Courtney A. Krause Christopher P Jelinek &	
Corporation	Garan Lucow Miller PC	Robert D Goldstein	ckrause@garanlucow.com
	General Retirement System of the City of		
Top 20 Creditor - City's Pension Trusts	Detroit	Attn: Michael J. VanOverbeke, Esq.	mvanoverbeke@vmtlaw.com
Counsel for the Detroit Public Library	Gold Lange & Majoros PC	Stuart A Gold & Hannah Mufson McCollum	sgold@glmpc.com;
Counsel for US Health & Life Insurance Company	Gold Lange & Majoros PC Gold Lange & Majoros PC		soconnor@glmpc.com
Couriser for US Health & Life Insurance Company	Goid Lange & Majoros PC	Sandra L Oconnor	
Occupation Patrick Program 10 days 1 PURE	0	Mark and the Control of the Control	mail@goodmanhurwitz.com;;bgoodman
Counsel for Deborah Ryan and Catherine Phillips, et al	Goodman & Hurwitz PC	William H Goodman	@goodmanhurwitz.com
Counsel to Brooks, Danean	Green Law Group PLC	Anthony Green	greenelawgroup@gmail.com
Counsel for Defendants Greektown Casino and Detroit			
Entertainment LLC dba Motorcity Casino Hotel	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Andrea L Hanse	ahansen@honigman.com
Counsel for Detroit Institute of Arts	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Judy B Calton	jcalton@honigman.com
Counsel for Defendants Greektown Casino and Detroit			
Entertainment LLC dba Motorcity Casino Hotel	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Judy B Calton	jcalton@honigman.com
Counsel for General Motors LLC	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Joseph R Sgroi	jsgroi@honigman.com
Union Representative	I.U.O.E. Local 324	Attn: William Miller	william.miller@iuoe324.org
Counsel for International Union, UAW ("UAW")	International Union, UAW	Michael Nicholson	mnicholson@uaw.net
. , ,			
Counsel for Iron Mountain Information Management LLC	Iron Mountain Information Management, LLC	Joseph Corrigan	Bankruptcy2@ironmountain.com

Party Description	Company	Contact	Email
Faity Description	Company	Contact	Elliali
Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police Members			
Association; William Ochadleus, Shelton Hayes, Shirley			
Berger, Raymond Yee, Frederick McClure Jr.,			
John Clark, Jim Benci, Janice Butler, Morris Wells,			
Melvin Williams Sr., Kimberly Ann Sanders,			
Sarah E. Giddens, Deborah Ward, Jackie Fulbright,			
Catherine Tuttle, Rita Serra, Martin Treadwell,			
Ed Gaines, Barbara Triplett-Decrease, John J. O'Neill,			
Roy Mccalister, Polly Mccalister,			
Gail Wilson-Turner, Loletha Porter-Coleman, Afford			
Coleman, Jessie Banks, Lester Coleman,			
Deborah Lark, Moses Lark, Sharon Cowling, Michael			
Cowling, Robert Jackson, Rashelle Pettway,			
Michael A. Adams, John Hawkins, Laura Isom, Duane			
McKissic, Herbert Moreland,			
Cynthian Diane Moreland, Henry Elis, Keith Jackson			
Sr., Deborah Robinson, James Alexander Jr.,			
Debra J. Fair, Brenda Goss-Andrews, Ricardo C.			
Jenkins, Jaqueline Jackson, Tommie Carodine,			
Lawrence V. Porter, Robbin Rivers, ,James R. Younger,			
Roscoe Mayfield, Charles Barbieri,			
Craig Schwartz, Glenda Cole-Dixon, Walter Long Jr.,			
George Graves, Terrance Anderson,			
David Anderson, Nancy Fowler, George Chester,			
Anthony Klukowski Jr, Todd Klukowski,			
Roger Klukowski, Lois Klukowski-Hogen, Patricia			
Mcabe, Daniel P. Root, Jeannetta Washington, Mike			
Foley, Deborah McCreary			
("Ochadleus Objectors")	Jamie S Fields		jeansartre@msn.com
Counsel for ODM LLC	Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP	David M Poitras	dpoitras@jmbm.com
Counsel for International Outdoor Inc	Jeffery R Sieving		jeff@iobillboard.com
Counsel for David Sole	Jerome D Goldberg PLLC	Jerome D Goldberg	apclawyer@sbcglobal.net
Pro Se	John P Quinn		quinjohn@umich.edu
Counsel to the City	Jones Day	Brad B Erens	bberens@jonesday.com
			dgheiman@jonesday.com;;hlennox@jon
		David G. Heiman, Esq. Heather Lennox, Esq.,	esday.com;;tawilson@jonesday.com;
Counsel to the City	Jones Day	Robert W. Hamilton	rwhamilton@jonesday.com
Counsel to the City	Jones Day	Jeffrey B. Ellman, Esq.	jbellman@jonesday.com
Counsel to the City	Jones Day	Bruce Bennett, Esq.	bbennett@jonesday.com
Co-Counsel for Attorneys for Health Alliance Plan of			
Michigan	K&L Gates LLP	Michael J Gearin	mike.gearin@klgates.com
Counsel for Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a			
AT&T Michigan	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	Joseph P Sieger	john.sieger@kattenlaw.com
Counsel for Michigan Bell Telephone Company dba			
AT&T Michigan	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	Paige E Barr	paige.barr@kattenlaw.com
Counsel for New England Fertilizer Company and			
Wade Trim Associates Inc	Kerr Russell and Weber PLC	Jason W Bank	jbank@kerr-russell.com
Counsel for the City of Detroit Water and Sewerage			
Department and its Board of Water Commissioners	Kilpatrick & Associates PC	Richardo I Kilpatrick	ecf@kaalaw.com
Counsel for Syncora Capital Assurance Inc., Syncora		·	
Holdings Ltd Syncora Guarantee Inc and Syncora		James HM Sprayregen PC Ryan Blaine Bennett	james.sprayregen@kirkland.com;
Capital Assurance Inc	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	& Noah J. Ornstein	ryan.bennett@kirkland.com;
Counsel for Nuveen Asset Management and BlackRock			
Financial Management, Inc.	Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Att Amy Caton	acaton@kramerlevin.com
Counsel to Certain Significant Holders of the COPs	Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	tmayer@kramerlevin.com
		·	•
Detroit Police Lieutenants and Sergeants Association:			
and Detroit Police Officers Association; Detroit Fire			
Fighters Association, I.A.F.F. Local 344; Sanitary			
Chemists and Technicians Association; Association of			
	1	D 1 A D 1 1	pateklaw@gmail.com
Detroit Engineers; John Runyan	Law Office of Barbara A. Patek, PLC	Barbara A Patek	
Detroit Engineers; John Runyan	Law Office of Barbara A. Patek, PLC	Barbara A Patek	1 - 3 3
			lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com
Detroit Engineers; John Runyan Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates	Law Office of Barbara A. Patek, PLC Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan	Elizabeth A Ferguson	
Counsel for Kim Spicer	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC		lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com;
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com;
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com;
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Detroit Retired City	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan John P Dillman	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com; john.dillman@lgbs.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com;
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Detroit Retired City Employees Association; and Shirley V Lightsey	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan John P Dillman	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com; john.dillman@lgbs.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Detroit Retired City Employees Association; and Shirley V Lightsey Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan John P Dillman	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com; john.dillman@lgbs.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Detroit Retired City Employees Association; and Shirley V Lightsey Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Shirley V Lightsey; and	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP Lippitt O Keefe PLLC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan John P Dillman Attn Brian O Keefe	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com; john.dillman@lgbs.com bokeefe@lippittokeefe.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Detroit Retired City Employees Association; and Shirley V Lightsey Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP Lippitt O Keefe PLLC Lippitt O Keefe PLLC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan John P Dillman	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com; john.dillman@lgbs.com
Counsel for Kim Spicer Counsel for JE Associates Counsel for the Detroit Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 344 Interested Party Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Detroit Retired City Employees Association; and Shirley V Lightsey Counsel for the Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association; Donald Taylor; Shirley V Lightsey; and	Law Offices of Elizabeth A Ferguson PLLC LeClair Ryan Legghio & Israel PC Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP Lippitt O Keefe PLLC	Elizabeth A Ferguson Evan A Burkholder Christopher P. Legghio & Alidz Oshagan John P Dillman Attn Brian O Keefe	lizferguson@lawofficeseaferguson.com evan.burkholder@leclairryan.com CPL@legghioisrael.com; john.dillman@lgbs.com bokeefe@lippittokeefe.com

	•	•	
Party Description	Company Mark H Courses	Contact	Email
Counsel for Amalgamated Transit Union Local 26	Mark H Cousens	John E. Eaton, Esq.	jeaton@cousenslaw.com
Counsel for the Detroit Police Lieutenants and			
Sergeants Association	Matheson Parr Osmer & Stevens PLC	John A Stevens	jstevens@mathesonparr.com
Interested Party	McAlpine PC	Mark L McAlpine	mlmcalpine@mcalpinepc.com
Counsel for Syncora Holdings Ltd Syncora Guarantee			
Inc and Syncora Capital Assurance Inc	McDonald Hopkins PLC	Joshua A Gadharf	jgadharf@mcdonaldhopkins.com
Counsel for CSX Transportation Inc	McGuireWoods LLP	John H Maddock	jmaddock@mcguirewoods.com
Counsel for Michigan Council 25 of the American			
Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	McKnight McClow Canzano Smith & Radtke		
(AFSCME), AFL-CIO	PC	John R Canzano	jcanzano@michworklaw.com
Counsel to Michael J. Karwoski	Michael J. Karwoski, Esq.	oom it canzane	mjkarwoski@alumni.nd.edu
Counsel to City of Detroit Michigan	Miller Canfield Paddock & Stone PLC	Stephen S LaPlante	laplante@millercanfield.com
Counsel for Meijer, Inc	Miller Canfield Paddock & Stone, PLC	Timothy A Fusco	fusco@millercanfield.com
Counsel for Michigan American Federation of State,	Willer Carmeia Faddock & Storie, FLC	Timothy A Fusco	lusco@millercamelu.com
,			
County and Municipal Employees Local 3308 and Local	1477	5 1 15 5 11 15 11 15 11	rfetter@millercohen.com;
917	Miller Cohen PLC	Robert D Fetter & Richard G Mack Jr	richardmack@millercohen.com
			green@millercanfield.com;
			swansonm@millercanfield.com;laplante
		Jonathan S. Green, Esq. Stephen S. LaPlante	@millercanfield.com;
Counsel to the City	Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Marc N. Swanson & Eric D Carlson	carlson@millercanfield.com
Counsel for Fidelity Management & Research Company			wwkannel@mintz.com;
and Eaton Vance Management	P.C.	William W Kannel & Adrienne K Walker	awalker@mintz.com
	1 .0.	vviiiiaiii vv Naiiiiei a Aullellile N vvaikei	awaint willing.com
Counsel for Patricia Ramirez; Denise Gardner; Sheila	Manua	December 7 Keed	111.0
Johnson; Kimberly James	Morgan & Meyers PLC	Brian T Keck	bkeck@morganmeyers.com
Proposed Counsel for Committee of Unsecured			brettmiller@mofo.com;
Creditors [motion denied]	Morrison & Foerster, LLP	Brett H. Miller and Lorenzo Marinuzzi	Imarinuzzi@mofo.com
Office of the United States Trustee	Office of the United States Trustee	Maria D Giannirakis	Maria.D.Giannirakis@usdoj.gov
Office of the United States Trustee	Office of the United States Trustee	Sean Cowley	Sean.Cowley@usdoj.gov
Counsel for Federal National Mortgage Association,		,	, ,,
creditor c/o Seterus, Inc., in the entitled action; and			
Everhome Mortgage Company as servicing agent for		Caleb J. Shureb, Heather D. McGivern, and	
	Orlana Associates D.C.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hmagiyarı @arlana aamı
Everbank	Orlans Associates, P.C	Heather M. Dickow	hmcgivern@orlans.com;
Counsel for Michigan Property Tax Relief LLC; Gary			
Segatti and P.P.T.A., Inc., or Harold Hoyt; Jackie's			
Transport, Inc.	Osipov Bigelman PC	Yuliy Osipov	yo@osbig.com
			kcornish@paulweiss.com;
Counsel for UBS AG (COP Swap Counterparties)	Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison LLP	Daniel J Kramer & Kelley a Cornish	dkramer@paulweiss.com
Counsel for Fountain Park Court Consumer Housing			·
Cooperative St. James Cooperative, Individually and on			
Behalf of all Similarly Entities	Pentiuk Couvreur & Kobiljak PC	Randall A Pentiuk & Michael A Karman	rpentiuk@pck-law.com
Counsel for Debtor City of Detroit Michigan; Michigan,	r chilak Godvicar a Robijak i G	Trandali A i Cittar a Wichael A Iraman	Tpermak@pok law.com
Kevyn D. Orr, John Naglick, Michael Jamison, and			
Cheryl Johnson	Pepper Hamilton LLP	Robert S Hertzberg	hertzbergr@pepperlaw.com
Counsel for Debtor City of Detroit Michigan	Pepper Hamilton LLP	Deborah Kovsky-Apap	kovskyd@pepperlaw.com
Counsel for Debtor City of Detroit Michigan	Pepper Hamilton LLP	Kay Standridge Kress	kressk@pepperlaw.com
Counsel for Community Foundation for Southeast			
Michigan; William Davidson Foundation; The Fred A.			
and Barbara M. Erb Family Foundation; Max M. and			
Marjorie S. Fisher Foundation; The Ford Foundation;			
Hudson-Webber Foundation; W.K. Kellogg Foundation;			
McGregor Fund; Charles Stewart Mott Foundation; and			
,			
A. Paul and Carol C. Schaap Foundation (Collectively,	BL 1 # 0	5 · 05 · · ·	
the "Foundations")	Plunkett Cooney	Douglas C Bernstein	dbernstein@plunkettcooney.com
			dlerner@plunkettcooney.com;
Counsel for Waste Management, Inc.	Plunkett Cooney	David A. Lerner & Patrick C Lannen	plannen@plunkettcooney.com
	Police and Fire Retirement System of the City		
Top 20 Creditor - City's Pension Trusts	of Detroit	Attn: Joseph E. Turner, Esq.	jturner@clarkhill.com
Union Representative	Police Officers Association of Michigan	Attn: John Barr	poam@poam.net
Union Representative	Police Officers Labor Council	Attn: Chet Kulesza	ck445polc@yahoo.com
Union Representative	Police Officers Labor Council	Attn: Marvin Hansberry	HansberryM@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	Police Officers Labor Council	Attn: Jan Zaleski	presidentjan@aol.com
Counsel for CitiMortgage, Inc.	Potestivo & Associates PC	Mary Atallah	matallah@potestivolaw.com
			jd@primeshares.com;
Interested Party	Primeshares World Markets, LLC		transfer@primeshares.com
Counsel for Michael Beydoun	Raymond Guzall III PC	Raymond Guzall III	rayguzall@attorneyguzall.com
Attorneys for Creditors: Carlton Carter, Bobby	Taymond Guzan III I G	raymona Gazan III	hnresnick@resnicklaw.net;
	Descript 9 Mass DC	I I Nother Descript	*
Jones, Roderick Holley and Richard T. Weatherly	Resnick & Moss PC	H Nathan Resnick	hnresnick@resnicklaw.com
	Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters		
Retiree Representative	Association	Attn: Donald Taylor	rdpffa@hotmail.com
			

5.5.10			
Party Description	Company	Contact	Email
Counsel to Eric Kimbrough, Leinathian Jelks, Brandon			
Brooks, Phyllis Tharpe, Rodney Heard, Clenette Harris, Gregory Brazell, Jennifer Harris-Barnes, Henry Hassan,			
Melvin Miller, Terry Hardison, Velma, Denson,			
Raymond Thompson, Lucy Flowers, Brandon Gilbert,			
Brady Johnson, Quentin King, Sharon Pettway, Taralyn			
Smith, Donna Weatherspoon, Tarita Wilburn, Joseph			
Wright, Laverne Covington, James Matson, Kevin			
McGillivary, Rhonda Craig, Orlando Marion, John			
Collins, Terry Hardison, Carolyn Harp, Jeffrey Peterson,			
Clementine Stephens, Ezekiel Davis, Michael McKay,			
David Both, Raymond Thompson, Jr., Doug Taylor,			
Shumithia Baker, Floyd Brunson, Jerry Ashley, Anthony			
Harmon, Shelton Bell, Jr., Jeremiah Duren, Otis Evans, Wendy Jefferson, Gary Musser, Mario Littlejohn, Angela			
Davis, Jeffrey Theriot, Bernard White, Eddie Moore,			
Robert Mcgowen, Curtis Morris, Hondra Porter, Kevin			
Mcdonald, Jay Woods, Taesean Parnell,			
YvetteSpencer, Viena Lowe, Landon Banks, Darchella			
Lattner, Micholas Martin, Marily Cloyd, Robert Hall,			
Victoria Wilson, Theresa Chalch, Angela Davis, Jamie			
Jackson, Donald Harris, Winter Owens, Samiya Speed,			
Teran Brown, Antonio Brooks, Jermaine Gleen, Ray			
Lizzamore, Daniel Latanzio, Woodrow Roberson, Kevin			
Ivie, Darnell Fields, Daniel Soto, Kevin McGillivary, and	Romano Law PLLC	Attn Daniel G Romano & Trevor J. Zamborsky	dromano@romanolawpllc.com
Bradley Schick, Counsel for Robbie Flowers, Michael Wells, Janet	INGINATIO LAW I LLC	Aut Daniel G Nordano & Frevol J. Zamborsky	атотпановтоппановажрис.сопт
Whitson, Mary Washington and Bruce Goldman			
("Flowers plaintiffs"); and Detroit Police Command			
Officers Association ("DPCOA"); Detroit Fire Fighters			
Association, I.A.F.F. Local 344	Sachs Waldman PC	Mami Kato & Mary Ellen Gurewitz	mkato@sachswaldman.com;
Counsel for Official Retiree Committee	Salans FMC SNR Dentons Europe LLP	Claude Montgomery	claude.montgomery@Dentons.com
Contract Counterparty	SBS Financial Products Company, LLC	Attn. John Carter	jcarter@sbsco.com
Counsel for Ambac Assurance Corporation	Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Brendan G Best	bbest@schaferandweiner.com
Counsel for FMS Wertmanagement AöR	Schiff Hardin LLP	Frederick J. Sperling & Paul E. Greenwalt	fsperling@schiffhardin.com; pgreenwalt@schiffhardin.com
Counsel for DEPFA Bank PLC	Schiff Hardin LLP	Rick L Frimmer & Matthew W Ott	mott@schiffhardin.com
Counsel for DEPFA Bank PLC	Schiff Hardin LLP	Suzanne L Wahl	swahl@schiffhardin.com
Counsel for Schneiderman and Sherman PC; Attorney			
for U.S. Bank National Association as servicer for			
Michigan State Housing Development Authority;			
Flagstar Bank, FSB; Counsel for Kondaur Capital			
Corporation	Schneiderman & Sherman PC	Neil Sherman	NSherman@sspclegal.com
Union Representative	SEIU Local 517M	Attn: Yolanda Langston	langstony@gmail.com
Union Representative	Senior Accountants, Analysts & Appraisers Association	Attn: Audrey Bellamy	avoungE96@compact not
Official Representative	ASSOCIATION	Attil. Addrey beliamy	ayoung586@comcast.net
Union Representative	Senior Water Systems Chemist Association	Attn: Andrew Ross	aross@dwsd.org
Counsel for The Kales Grand Circus Park LLC	Seyburn Kahn	David T Lin	dlin@seyburn.com
			ibodenstein@shawfishman.com;
		Ira Bodenstein Gordon Gouveia David Doyle	ggouveia@shawfishman.com;
Fee Examiner	Shaw Fishman Glantz & Towbin LLC	and Marc Reiser	ddoyle@shawfishman.com
Counsel for Detroit Winsor Tunnell LLC	Sheldon S Toll PLLC	Sheldon S Toll	lawtoll@comcast.net
Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation	Sidley Austin LLP	Attn: Jeffrey Bjork, Esq. & Eric D. Tashman, Esq.	etashman@sidley.com;;jbjork@sidley.com
Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee	Giuley Austill LLF	Loq.	OIII
Corporation	Sidley Austin LLP	Attn Guy S Neal	gneal@sidley.com
Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee			5
Corporation	Sidley Austin LLP	Attn James F Bendernagel	jbendernagel@sidley.com
Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee			
Corporation	Sidley Austin LLP	Attn Jeffrey E Bjork	jbjork@sidley.com
Counsel for Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters			
Association ("RDPFFA"); 2) Donald Taylor, President of			
RDPFFA; 3) Detroit Retired City Employees Association ("DRCEA"); and 4) Shirley V. Lightsey, President of			morris@silvermanmorris.com;
DRCEA); and 4) Shirley V. Lightsey, President of DRCEA	Silverman & Morris PLLC	Thomas R Morris and Karin F. Avery	avery@silvermanmorris.com
Counsel for Unisys Corporation	Sirlin Lesser & Benson PC	Dana S Plon	dplon@sirlinlaw.com
Counsel for Airgas USA LLC	Smith Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP	Kathleen M Miller	kmiller@skjlaw.com
State of Michigan Chief Legal Counsel	State of Michigan Chief Legal Counsel	Matthew Schneider	Schneiderm7@michigan.gov
State of Michigan Assistant Attorney General, Counsel	State of Michigan Revenue & Collections		flanchers@michigan.gov;
to State of Michigan	Division	Steven B Flancher & Matther Schneider	schneiderm7@michigan.gov
The Office of the Treasurer for the State of Michigan	State Treasurer		MIStateTreasurer@michigan.gov
Coursel for Number Association			
Counsel for Nuveen Asset Management and BlackRock	Stainbarg Shapira & Clark	Mark H Shapira	chapira@atainharaahaaira aam
Financial Management, Inc.; and Greenhill & Co LLC Counsel for Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company; Clark	Steinberg Shapiro & Clark	Mark H Shapiro Charles D Bullock Elliot G Crowder &Sean M	shapiro@steinbergshapiro.com cbullock@sbplclaw.com;
Associates, Inc.	Stevenson & Bullock PLC	Walsh	ecrowder@sbplclaw.com
	S110011 & D41100N 1 E0	*******	

Party Description	Company	Contact	Email
Counsel for the Detroit Police Lieutenants and			
Sergeants Association	Sudnick Law PC	Peter P Sudnick	psudnick@sudnicklaw.com
	Susheel Kirpalani Quinn Emanuel Urquhart &		
Counsel for Syncora Guarantee Inc	Sullivan, LLP		susheelkirpalani@quinnemanuel.com
Sylvia Jean Brown Jones, Pro Se	Sylvia Jean Brown Jones		bjdelta55@gmail.com
Union Representative	Teamsters Local #214	Attn: Joseph Valenti	tl214teams@teamsters214.org
'	The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company,	·	
City's Secured & Unsecured Bonds	National Association, as trustee	Attn: Eduardo Rodriguez	eduardo.rodriguez@bnymellon.com
Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit	The City of Detroit	Attn: Corporation Counsel	Johnsoncu@detroitmi.gov
Counsel for Kevin Lewis & Jeremy Morris	The Markowitz Law Office	Carolyn B Markowitz PC	bankruptcy@markowitzlegal.com
Counsel for Michigan Auto Recovery Service Inc; Wayne County Circuit Court, Hyde Park Cooperative, et al. v. City of Detroit, by and through its Buildings and Safety Engineering Department, Case No. 10-005687-CZ	Thornbladh Legal Group PLLC	Kurt Thombladh	kthornbladh@gmail.com; thornbladh.kurt3@gmail.com
Counsel for City of Detroit, Michigan	Togut Segal & Segal LLP	Attention: Albert Togut, Scott E. Ratner & Neil Berger	seratner@teamtogut.com; neilberger@teamtogut.com; dperson@teamtogut.com; adeering@teamtogut.com; dcahir@teamtogut.com
	U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee,		
Top 20 Creditor - City's Secured & Unsecured Bonds	bond registrar transfer agent, paying agent,		
(Including Sewer and Water)	custodian and/or contract administrator	Attn: Susan E. Jacobsen VP	susan.jacobsen2@usbank.com
Union Representative	UAW - Local # 412	Attn: John Cunningham	jcunningham@uaw.net
Union Representative	UAW - Local #212	Attn: John Cunningham	jcunningham@uaw.net
Union Representative	UAW – PAA Local #2211	Attn: Robyn Brooks	BrooR@detroitmi.gov
Union Representative	UAW – WWTP Local #2200	Attn: Laurie Stuart	mimilaurie@yahoo.com;
Union Representative	United Auto Workers Union	Attn: Michael Nicholson	mnicholson@nichfeld.com
Union Representative	Utility Workers Union of America	Attn: James Harrison	jharrison@uwua.net
Union Representative Counsel for Center for Community Justice and	Utility Workers Union of America Local #531	Attn: Samuel Wilson	swilson@dwsd.org
Advocacy ("CCJA")	Vanessa G. Fluker, Esq., PLLC	Vanessa G Fluker	vgflawyer@sbcglobal.net
Interested Party	Vanquardians	Barry Allen	pra@vanguardians.org
Counsel for UBS AG and Merrill Lynch Capital Services		, ·	
Inc (COP Swap Counterparties)	Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Douglas A Dozeman & Charles N Ash Jr	ddozeman@wnj.com;;cash@wnj.com
Counsel for Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Alfredo R Perez	alfredo.perez@weil.com
Counsel for Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Attn: Gary T. Holtzer, Esq. & Alfredo R. Pérez, Esq.	gary.holtzer@weil.com;;alfredo.perez@ weil.com
Counsel for Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Kelly DiBlasi	kelly.diblasi@weil.com
Counsel for Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	Williams Williams Rattner & Plunkett PC	Ernest J Essad Jr	ejessad@wwrplaw.com
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Attn: Lawrence A. Larose Samuel S. Kohn	.,
Counsel for Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation	Winston & Strawn LLP	Carrie V. Hardman	chardman@winston.com
Counsel for The Bank of New York Mellon	Wolfson Bolton PLLC	Scott A Wolfson & Anthony J Kochis	swolfson@wolfsonbolton.com; akochis@wolfsonbolton.com
Counsel for International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO,			
CL	Woodley & McGillivary	Douglas L Steele	dls@wmlaborlaw.com

EXHIBIT C

Exhibit C Served via First Class Mail

Party Description	Company	Contact	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip	Country
Union Representative	AFSCME Council #25	Attn: Albert Garrett	1034 N. Washington		Lansing	MI	48906	
Union Representative	AFSCME Council #25	Attn: Albert Garrett	600 W. Lafayette, Ste. 500		Lansing	MI	48906	
Union Representative	AFSCME Council #25	Attn: Ed McNeil	600 W. Lafayette, Ste. 500		Detroit	MI	48226	
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0023	Attn: Robert Stokes	600 W. Lafayette, Ste. 134		Detroit	MI	48226	
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0312	Attn: Phillip Douglas	14022 Linwood		Detroit	MI	48238	
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #0457	Attn: Laurie Walker	600 W. Lafayette, Ste. L – 104		Detroit	MI	48226	
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #1220	Attn: Gerald Thompson	600 W. Lafayette, Ste. 136		Detroit	MI	48226	
					Grosse Point	е		
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #1227	Attn: Joseph Walter	P.O. Box 36314		Farms	MI	48236	
Union Representative	AFSCME Local #1642	Attn: Gina Thompson-Mitchell	600 W. Lafayette, Ste. L - 123		Detroit	MI	48226	
Counsel for Airgas USA LLC	Airgas USA LLC	Mr David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Rd Ste 100	PO Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675	
Ü	Association of Professional Construction	,						
Union Representative	Inspectors	Attn: Juanita Sanders	2727 Second Ave., Ste. 314B		Detroit	MI	48201	
Counsel for the Police and Fire Retirement System of								
the City of Detroit and the General Retirement System								
of the City of Detroit	Clark Hill PLC	Robert D Gordon	151 S Old Woodward Ave Ste 200		Birmingham	МІ	48009	
of the Oily of Betroit	Oldik Tilli T EO	Robert B Gordon	131 O Old Woodward Ave Sie 200		Bloomfield	IVII	40000	
Counsel for Kajy Development	David W Yaldo PC	David W. Yaldo	4036 Telegraph Road, Suite 204		Hills	МІ	48302	
						MI		
Retiree Representative	Detroit Firemen's Fund Association	Attn: Kim Fett	1301 Third St. Suite 329		Detroit	IVII	48226	
	Detroit Police Benefit and Protective							
Retiree Representative	Association	Attn: Delbert R. Jennings, Sr.	3031 W. Grand Boulevard, Suite 405		Detroit	MI	48202	
	Detroit Retired City Employees							
Retiree Representative	Association	Attn: Shirley V. Lightsey	P.O. Box 40713		Detroit	MI	48240	
Counsel for U.S. Bank National Association (Top 20								
Creditor)	Faegre Baker Daniels LLP	Attn: Abby E. Wilkinson, Esq.	2200 Wells Fargo Center	90 South Seventh Street	Minneapolis	MN	55402-3901	
					West			
Union Representative	Field Engineers Association	Attn Larry Hart	PO Box 252805		Bloomfield	MI	48325	
The Office of the Governor of the State of Michigan	Governor Rick Snyder	,	P.O. Box 30013		Lansing	MI	48909	
J.								
Counsel for The Detroit Institute of Arts	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	T. O'Reilly	600 Woodward Ave	2290 First National Bldg	Detroit	MI	48226	
	3	,						
Counsel for Defendants Greektown Casino and Detroit								
Entertainment LLC dba Motorcity Casino Hotel	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Jennifer Zhytowski Belyeal	2290 First National Bldg	660 Woodward Ave	Detroit	MI	48226-3506	
Counsel for HP Enterprise Services LLC	HP Enterprises Services LLC	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr	000 Woodward Ave	Plano	TX	75024	
Counsel for HP Enterprise Services LLC	HP Enterprises Services LLC		12610 Park Plz Dr Ste 100			CA	90703	
		Ken Higman			Cerritos	QC	H2X 3R7	Canada
Interested Party	IBM Corporation	Attn National Bankruptcy Coordinator	275 Viger East Ste 400	0000 D. C. LLD INE	Montreal			Canada
Counsel for IBM Credit LLC	IBM Credit LLC	Andy Gravina	Special Handling Group MD NC317	6303 Barfield Rd NE	Atlanta	GA	30328	
Counsel for St Martins Cooperative	Law Offices of Lee & Correll	Michael K Lee	24901 Northwestern Hwy Ste 113		Southfield	MI	48075	
Counsel for FK Park, LLC and FK South, LLC	Maddin, Hauser, Roth & Heller, P.C.	Ian S Bolton	28400 Northwestern Hwy 2nd Fl		Southfield	MI	48034	
	Mantese Honigman Rossman and							
Counsel for Syncora Guarantee Inc	Williamson PC	Brendan Frey	1361 E. Big Beaver Road		Troy	MI	48083	
					Bloomfield			
Counsel for Bishop Real Estate, L.L.C.	McDonald Hopkins PLC	Jason L Weiner	39533 Woodward Ave Ste 318		Hills	MI	48304	
Pro se	Nathaniel Brent		538 S Livernois		Detroit	MI	48209	
Office of the United States Trustee	Office of the United States Trustee	Daniel McDermott	211 West Fort Street Suite 700		Detroit	MI	48226	
Counsel enters an appearance for The Bank of New								
York Mellon (successor by								
operation of law to The Bank of New York), as								
custodian of the Police and Fire Retirement								
System of the City of Detroit Fund and as custodian of								
the General Retirement System of the								
	Reed Smith LLP	Amy M Tonti	225 Fifth Ave		Dittohurah	PA	15222	
City of Detroit Fund					Pittsburgh			
Interested Party	Ricoh USA Inc	Recovery & Bankruptcy Group	3920 Arkwright Rd Ste 400		Macon	GA	31210	
	Sanitary, Chemists & Technicians							
Union Representative	Association	Attn: Saulius Simoliunas	2727 Second Ave. Rm. 314 – D		Detroit	MI	48201	
Counsel for Parsons Brinckerhoff Michigan, Inc.	Schiff Hardin LLP	Andrew M. Minear	666 Fifth Ave	17th Fl	New York	NY	10103	
SEC	Securities & Exchange Commission	Bankruptcy Section	175 W Jackson Blvd	Suite 900	Chicago	IL	60604-2815	
Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee								
Corporation	Sidley Austin LLP	Attn: Guy S. Neal, Esq.	1501 K Street, N.W.		Washington	DC	20005	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	- L		1 -	1	1

In re City of Detroit, Michigan Case No. 13-53846

Exhibit C Served via First Class Mail

Party Description	Company	Contact	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip	Country
Counsel for Public Lighting Authority	The Allen Law Group, P.C.	Attn: Ron Liscombe, Esq.	3011 West Grand Boulevard	2500 Fisher Building	Detroit	MI	48202	
The City, c/o the Emergency Manager	The City of Detroit	Attn: Kevyn D. Orr, Emergency Manager	Coleman A. Young Municipal Center	2 Woodward Ave Suite 1126	Detroit	MI	48226	
Counsel for Michigan Council 25 of the American								
Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees								
(AFSCME), AFL-CIO	The Sanders Law Firm PC	Herbert A Sander	615 Griswold St Ste 913		Detroit	MI	48226	
	U.S. Bank National Association, as							
	trustee, bond registrar transfer agent,							
Top 20 Creditor - City's Secured & Unsecured Bonds	paying agent, custodian and/or contract							
(Including Sewer and Water)	administrator	Attn: Susan T. Brown	Senior Vice President and Manager	535 Griswold Ste 550	Detroit	MI	48226	
	U.S. Bank National Association, as							
Top 20 Creditor - City's Secured & Unsecured Bonds	trustee, for the Detroit Sewar and Water							
(Including Sewer and Water Bonds)	Bonds	Attn: Lawrence J. Bell	PD-OR-P6TD		Portland	OR	97204	
Counsel for United States of America	Unites States Attorney	Julia A. Caroff, Asssitant US Attorney	211 W Fort St Ste 2001		Detroit	MI	48226	
	Utility Workers Union of America Local							
Union Representative	#488	Attn: Carl Anderson	19315 Westmoreland		Detroit	MI	48219	
	Utility Workers Union of America Local				Shelby			
Union Representative	#504	Attn: Curlisa Jones	5405 Railview Ct Apt. 166		Township	MI	48316	
Counsel for U.S. Bank National Association (Top 20								
Creditor)	Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis LLP	Attn: Courtney Rogers	511 Union Street, Suite 2700		Nashville	TN	37219	
Counsel for Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation	Winston & Strawn LLP	Sarah T. Foss	1111 Louisiana 25th Fl		Houston	TX	77002-5242	

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of Michigan 211 West Fort Street Detroit, MI 48226

Case No.: 13-53846-tjt Chapter: 9

In Re: (NAME OF DEBTOR(S)) City of Detroit, Michigan 2 Woodward Avenue **Suite 1126** Detroit, MI 48226

Social Security No.:

Employer's Tax I.D. No.: 38-6004606

NOTICE OF DEFICIENT FILING

It has been determined that the following document(s) are Missing and/or Non-Compliant:

12837 – Edith Woodberry Response To Order Setting Deadline By which The Woodberry And Mabin Claimants (Fifty-Sixth And Sixty-Second) Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims (related document(s): 12818 Order (Generic)) Filed by Creditor Edith Woodberry (ckata)

Original Signature Missing and Proof of Service Non-Compliant. The Proof of Service requires a Case Caption. Please see ECF Procedure 12(h) A party serving a Paper shall file a certificate of service. The certificate shall state the Paper served, the manner in which service was accomplished, and the parties served. This certificate of service may not be included as part of the Paper that was served; it shall be a separate filing.

is defective as indicated:

Acceptable List of Creditors Missing
Acceptable List of Creditors Not Uploaded
Amended Document Missing or Non-Compliant (To be filed with Cover Sheet for Amendments in its entirety as one PDF)
Application to Have the Chapter 7 Filing Fee Waived Missing or Non-Compliant
Bankruptcy Petition Cover Sheet Missing or Non-Compliant
Bankruptcy Matter Civil Case Cover Sheet Missing
Brief Missing
Certificate of Exigent Circumstances-Credit Counseling Waiver Missing
Chapter 13 Plan is Non-Compliant
Cover Sheet for Amendments to Schedules and or Statements Missing or Non-Compliant (To be filed with corrected document in its entirety as one PDF)
Electronic Signature does not match login
Electronic Signature Missing or Incorrect Format ECF Procedure 11 (d)(1)
List of 20 Largest Unsecured Creditors Missing
Motion for Approval of Certificate of Exigent Circumstances (109)(h)(3)(A) Missing
Motion to Convert under 11 USC 706(a)(Rule 9013) Missing
Motion to Excuse Credit Counseling (109)(h)(4) Missing

☐ Notice of Objection to Claim Missing or Non-Compliant
□ Notice of Special Appearance (LBR 9010−1(c)) Missing or Non–Compliant
☐ Notice to Respondent Missing or Non-Compliant
☑ Original Signature Missing or Non–Compliant
☐ Petition Non-Compliant (To be filed in its entirety)
☐ Proposed Order Missing
☐ Statement of Attorney for Debtors 2016b LBR 9010−1c Missing or Non-Compliant
☐ Statement of Corporate Ownership Missing
☐ Statement of Petition Preparer Pursuant to F.R.Bankr.P.2016 (c) Missing
A corrected/missing document must be filed with the court within seven (7) days of this notice. If not corrected, the case may be dismissed or an order striking the document from the record may be entered by the Court. The new document filed should be identified as "CORRECTED".

Dated: 6/19/18

BY THE COURT

Katherine B. Gullo , Clerk of Court U.S. Bankruptcy Court

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

errin	
23	
Pro la	
35	۱
1000	
S-1-4	
STATES	į
- C.	
m. #2	
- N	
P	
图1	
اسا وا	
mico	
many Kin	

In re:	
City of Detroit, Michigan,	
Debtor	

Bankruptcy Case No: 13-53846 Judge Thomas J. Tucker Chapter 9

"CORRECTED"

[12837-EDITH WOODBERRY PRO PER (2846) RESPONSE TO ORDER SETTING DEADLINE BY WHICH THE WOODBERRY AND MABIN CLAIMANTS MUST TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS REGARDING THEIR CLAIMS, AND REQUIRING THE CITY OF DETROIT TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER ON ALL SUCH CLAIMANTS

- This case came before the Court for hearing on May 23, 2018, on the Debtor's 1. Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Docket # 12763, the "56th" Omnibus Claim Objection") and on the Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Docket # 12769, the "62nd Omnibus Claim Objection"). Claimants Edith Woodberry, LaJeff Woodberry, and Cranston Woodberry appeared at the hearing as did counsel for the Debtor Confirming certain action taken during the hearing and for the reasons stated by the Court on the record during the hearing.
- Edith Woodberry asks the Judge to be mindful of the fact that she told the Court 2. at the start of the hearing and later on that she does not be hear well. She affirms that she Never heard counsel for the debtor (Marc Swanson) confirming certain action taken by him during the hearing. She can not afford the cost of the transcript to find out the reasons stated by the court on the record during the hearing. Attorney Swanson's back was facing Edith Woodberry.

Edith Woodberry declares that she did not hear the action taken that he confirmed. Edith Woodberry only heard mumbling. Except for the questions that the Judge asked her in a loud voice she only heard bits of his Honor's response, which appeared to be directed to Attorney Swanson. Edith Woodberry cannot afford the cost of the transcript.

- 3. Wherefore, Edith Woodberry asks his Honor to please give her his reason in an opinion for sustaining Debtor City's Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Claim Objection as there is nothing on record that allows the Bankruptcy Court to sustain the City's Fifty-Sixth Objection that sneakingly disallows her just compensation for the City's taking her property, unless she mails Attorney Swanson a copy of all the items she filed in the Wayne County Circuit Court, seeking just compensation.
- 4. This Court further required that no later than June 22, 2018, in order to avoid entry of an Order by this Court disallowing their claims, the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants m take appropriate action to reactivate the lawsuit in the Wayne County Circuit (Case No. 05-522129-CC, City of Detroit v. Jonathan Sobeloff, et. al.) and to seek to appeal that court's Judgment filed April 28, 2009.
- 5. Edith Woodberry says that Paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Court's May 23, 2018 Order denies her equal access to the court and the right to defend her just compensation claim in accordance with Michigan Court Rules and Federal Court Rules with a legal strategy she deems best, for you
- 6. The Court further required that Woodberry/Mabin Claimants (Edith) must promptly serve the City's counsel in this bankruptcy case, Marc N. Swanson, by mail, a copy of all items they file in the Wayne County Circuit in order to comply with this order. [Edith cannot

comply with said directions because she does not have the money to pay for copies of the items she filed.]

The Court say further that If Edith Woodberry fails to timely comply with 7. paragraph 3 of this Order, the City may file an affidavit of non-compliance and submit a proposed order disallowing the claims of the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants in this bankruptcy case, and the Court may enter such order without further notice or hearing.

WHEREFORE, Edith Woodberry seeks equal protection of the law and access to the court. She begs Judge Tucker to be just and faithful to the law and court rules law and to require Marc N. Swanson, Esq., counsel for Debtor City of Detroit, instead of her, to glean from the City files the items that the Court asked Edith Woodberry to provide Marc N. Swanson. Debtor City's Exhibit 8 and attorney Charles Rami's declaration provides undisputed proof that the Debtor City is in the know and has in its possession the items that the court requires that Edith Woodberry give to them. In the alternative, permit Edith Woodberry to have a trial by jury in order to resolve the just compensation issue and to determine if the bankruptcy court has authority to allow Debtor City to take Edith Woodberry's property without paying just compensation, under the Bankruptcy Code.

Respectfully submitted,

Edith Woodberry, In Pro per

Editi Disochwary

"Corrected"

Certificate of Service

Edith Woodberry certifies that on June 28, 2018 she mailed [12837 EDITH WOODBERRY PRO PER (2846) RESPONSE TO ORDER SETTING DEADLINE BY WHICH THE WOODBERRY AND MABIN CLAIMANTS MUST TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS REGARDING THEIR CLAIMS, AND REQUIRING THE CITY OF DETROIT TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER ON ALL SUCH CLAIMANTS to Hon. Thomas J. Tucker, Bankruptcy Courtroom #19, 211 W. Fort, Detroit, MI, 48226, and mailed a copy of this Pro Per response to her motion to Debtor's attorney, Marc N. Swanson.

Edith Woodberry, In Pro Per

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

IN RE: Au of	Detroit Michigan Debtor.	CASE NO: 13 538 46 CHAPTER: 9	MZE-DET
	1	¥)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

18 (date of mailing), I served I hereby certify that on copies as follows:

- 1. Document(s) served: (ConnectED) 12837- EDITH WOODBERY ARD PER (28416) RESPONCE TO ORDER SETTING DEAD line By WHICH The WOODBERRY AND MADIN Claimants must take cretain Actions Regarding Thiersand Reourning The City of Detroit To seeve a copy of the order on All such Claimants
- Served upon [name and address of each person served]:

Attorney MARC N. SWAWSON 150 W Jefferson Octrust MI

HON. THOMAS J. TUCKER Courteoom #19 211 West Fort Detroit MI 48226

3. By First Class Mail.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:	Case No. 13-53846
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,	Chapter 9
Debtor.	Judge Thomas J. Tucker
/	

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED BY EDITH WOODBERRY (DOCKET # 12845)

This case is before the Court on a motion filed on July 2, 2018 by Edith Woodberry, entitled "Corrected [12837-Edith Woodberry Pro Per (2846) Response to Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions Regarding Their Claims . . ." (Docket # 12845, the "Motion"). The Court construes this Motion as a motion for reconsideration of, and for relief from, the Court's May 23, 2018 Order, entitled "Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a Copy of this Order On All Such Claimants" (Docket # 12818, "the May 23 Order").

The Court finds that the Motion fails to demonstrate a palpable defect by which the Court and the parties have been misled, and that a different disposition of the case must result from a correction thereof. See Local Rule 9024-1(a)(3).

The Court also finds that the allegations in the Motion do not establish excusable neglect under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1), Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024, or any other valid ground for relief from the May 23 Order.

The Court further notes the following. In the Motion, Edith Woodberry says, among other things, that she does not hear well and that she did not hear certain parts of the May 23, 2018 hearing in this Court that she attended, including certain statements made by the City's attorney Marc Swanson and, apparently, certain statements made by the Court. And Ms. Woodbery says in her Motion that she cannot afford the cost of a transcript of the hearing. The Court notes, however, that an alternative to ordering a transcript is that Ms. Woodberry may listen for free to the audio recording of the pertinent portions of the May 23, 2018 hearing, which recording was filed on May 23, 2018, at Docket # 12815. Ms. Woodberry can come to the office of the Clerk of this Court (17th Floor, 211 West Fort Street, Detroit) during the Clerk's regular business hours (Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.), and listen to the audio recording.¹ The specific portion of the audio recording of the May 23 hearing that pertains to

Ms. Woodberry will need to bring her own headphones/earphones to use in listening to the audio recording at the Clerk's office.

Ms. Woodberry's claims is filed at Docket # 12815, and begins at the 1:29:00 mark of that audio recording, and ends at the 2:18:52 mark of that audio recording.

NOW, THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion (Docket # 12845) is denied.

Signed on July 11, 2018



/s/ Thomas J. Tucker

Thomas J. Tucker United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

AFFIDAVIT OF MARC N. SWANSON REGARDING ORDER SETTING DEADLINE BY WHICH THE WOODBERRY AND MABIN CLAIMANTS MUST TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS, REGARDING THEIR CLAIMS, AND REQUIRING THE CITY OF DETROIT TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER ON ALL SUCH CLAIMANTS

Marc N. Swanson, after first being duly sworn, states as follows:

- On April 18, 2018, the City of Detroit ("City") filed Debtor's Fifty-1. Sixth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City) ("Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Objection") [Doc. No. 12769]. Among the claims subject to the Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Objection was Claim No. 2846 of Edith Woodberry.
- Also, on April 18, 2018, the City filed Debtor's Sixty-Second 2. Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City) ("Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection") [Doc. No. 12763]. Among the claims subject to the Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection were Claim No. 2880 of Happy Woodberry, Claim No. 2883 of La Jeff Woodberry, Claim No. 2888 of Cavel Woodberry, Claim No. 2889 of Lavan Woodberry, Claim No. 2902 of Penny

Mabin, Claim No. 2905 of Cranston Woodberry, Claim No. 3006 of Garfield Woodberry, Claim No. 3271 of Adam Woodberry and Claim No. 3278 of Phebe Woodberry.

- On May 16, 2018, Edith Woodberry filed Edith Woodberry's 3. Response to Debtor's Fifty-sixth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims [Doc. No. 12791].
- Also, on May 16, 2018 the following claimants filed their Response to Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims [Doc. No. 12794]: Penny Mabin, Kenny Mabin, Roger Mabin, Cavel Woodberry, Cavel Woodberry, Jr., Cranston Woodberry, Garfield Woodberry, Happy Woodberry, LaJeff Woodberry, Lavan Woodberry and Phebe Woodberry.
- 5. On May 23, 2018, the Court held a hearing on the Fifty-Sixth and Sixty-Second Omnibus Objections, at which Claimants Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry and Cranston Woodberry appeared.
- On May 23, 2018, the Court entered an order [Doc. No. 12818] 6. ("Order") setting a deadline of June 22, 2018 for the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants (as defined in the Order) to take appropriate action to reactivate the state court lawsuit in the Wayne County Circuit Court (Case No. 05-522129-CC, City of Detroit v. Jonathan Sobeloff, etc., et al.), and to seek the entry of a final order in

that lawsuit, so that the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants may seek to appeal that court's judgment filed April 28, 2009. The claimants identified in the Order were:

Claimant:	Claim No.
Edith Woodberry	2846
Happy Woodberry	2880
La Jeff Woodberry	2883
Cavel Woodberry	2888
Lavan Woodberry	2889
Penny Mabin	2902
Cranston Woodberry	2905
Garfield Woodberry	3006
Adam Woodberry	3271
Phebe Woodberry	3278

- 7. On June 18, 2018, the following individuals filed *Craig Jones* Defendants' A) Motion to Require the City to Submit a Detailed Accounting of all Money the City Paid in This Condemnation Action and B) Motion for Entry of a Final Order and Supporting Brief ("Motion") in Wayne County Circuit Court Case No. 05-522129-CC: Craig Jones, Michael Jones, Happy Woodberry, Phebe Woodberry, Adam Woodberry, Cavel Woodbery, Penny Mabin, Cranston Woodberry, Rozlyn Harrison, Warren Gaither, Dorothy Robinson, Roger Woodberry and Lavan Woodberry. See Motion, p. 2. The Motion is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.
- 8. The following individuals that were identified in the Order were not party to the Motion: Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry and Garfield Woodberry.

- 9. On June 19, 2018, Edith Woodberry filed *Edith Woodberry Response* to Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants (Fifty-Sixth and Sixty-Second) Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims [Doc. No. 12838]. The court issued a Notice of Deficient Pleading for this response [Doc. No. 12838] and the response was subsequently stricken from the record at docket entry 12844 due to the filer's failure to cure the deficiencies.
- 10. On July 2, 2018, Edith Woodberry filed her "Corrected" [12837-Edith Woodberry Pro Per (2846) Response to Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions Regarding Their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a Copy of This Order on All Such Claimants ("Corrected Response") [Doc. No. 12845]. The court issued a Notice of Deficient Pleading for this response [Doc. No. 12846] and on July 5, 2018, Edith Woodberry filed a Certificate of Service, curing the deficiency.
- 11. On July 11, 2018, the Court entered the *Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration Filed by Edith Woodberry (Docket #12845)*. [Doc. No. 12850].
- 12. The Corrected Response does not comply with the requirements set forth in the Order.
- 13. The Motion and a proof of service that were both filed on June 18, 2018, are the only documents that have been filed since 2012 in Wayne County

Circuit Court Case No. 05-522129-CC, as set forth in the register of actions accessed on July 11, 2018, and attached as Exhibit 2.

- Consequently, Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry and Garfield 14. Woodberry have failed to comply with the requirements set forth in the Order.
- The City thus respectfully requests that the court enter the order 15. attached hereto as Exhibit 3, expunging and disallowing the claims of Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry and Garfield Woodberry.

Respectfully submitted,

Marc N. Swanson (P71149) MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C. 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 496-7591 Facsimile: (313) 496-8451 green@millercanfield.com swansonm@millercanfield.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

E OF MICHIGAN ITY OF WAYNE
Subscribed and sworn to by MARC N. SWANSON before me on the
Signature Waynet g. Grainger
Printed name MARGARET J. GRAINGER
Notary public, State of Michigan, County of MACOMB - ACTING IN WAYN
My commission expires 2-26-2019

MARGARET J. GRAINGER NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF MACOMB My Commission Expires Feb. 26, 2019

EXHIBIT 1 STATE COURT MOTION

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

Case No. 05-522129-CC Hon. Daphne Curtis Means

CITY OF DETROIT, a Michigan municipal corporation,

Plaintiff

JONATHAN SOBELOFF, Trustee under the Will of Benjamin Rich, deceased, EDITH WOODBERRY; the ESTATE OF JAMES FULLER, deceased, DELORES WILLIAMS, WILLIE FULLER and wife, if any, PATRICIA LOVE, a/k/a AUDREY LOVE, FRANKLIN FULLER and wife, if any, WAYNE FULLER and Wife, if any, DOUGLAS FULLER, and wife, if any, HAPPY WOODBERRY, CRAIG JONES and wife, if any, MICHAEL JONES and Wife, if any, PHEBE WOODBERRY, ADAM WOODBERRY and wife, if any, CAVEL WOODBERRY and wife, if any, PENNY MABIN, CRANSTON WOODBERRY, Esq., CRANSTON WOODBERRY and wife, if any, ROZLYN HARRISON, WARREN GAITHER and wife, if any, DOROTHY ROBINSON, ROGER WOODBERRY and wife, if any, LAVAN WOODBERRY and wife, if any, or the JOHN DOE or JANE DOE unknown

Defendants

EDITH WOODBERRY In Pro Per Defendant

803 Gladstone

Detroit Michigan 48202

CRANSTON WOODBERRY

Attorney for "Craig Jones" Defendants

8735 Third

Detroit MI 48202

(313) 575-9774

CRAIG JONES DEFENDANTS' A) MOTION TO REQUIRE THE CITY TO SUBMIT A DETAILED ACCOUNTING OF ALL MONEY THE CITY PAID IN THIS CONDEMNATION ACTION AND B) MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A FINAL ORDER AND SUPPORTING BRIEF

Defendants Craig Jones, Michael Jones, Happy Woodberry, Phebe Woodberry, Adam Woodberry, Cavel Woodberry, Penny Mabin, Cranston Woodberry, Rozlyn Harrison, Warren Gaither, Dorothy Robinson, Roger Woodberry, Lavan Woodberry, ("Craig Jones Defendants") by and through their attorney a) move for entry of an order requiring the City of Detroit ("City") to submit a detailed accounting/audit to the Court and Defendants of all money the City paid in this lawsuit (05-522129-CC) and b) move for entry of a final order in this condemnation action.

- The City commenced this condemnation action in 2005 and entered Judgment on 1. April 28, 2009. The Judgment states that "Pursuant to 2.602(A)(3), this Judgment does not resolve the last of any claims and it does not close this case." See Exhibit 1, August 28, 2009 Judgment.
- The City has not submitted an accounting/audit of the money the City deducted 2. from the \$240,000.00 just compensation award per the April 28, 2009 Judgment.
- For the record, a detailed accounting/audit of all money and non monetary 3. compensation, including i) just compensation, ii) relocation assistance, iii) attorney fees paid to the City's attorneys, iv) attorney fees paid to the defense attorneys, v) utility payments, vi) eviction costs, vii) taxes, viii) court costs, ix) miscellaneous, x) location where \$240,000,00 just compensation is deposited, xi) the amount of accrued interest, etc. the City of Detroit paid under Case No. 05-522129-CC is needed.
- 4. The Craig Jones Defendants ask this Court a) to order the City of Detroit to produce and deliver to Defendants and the Court a full and detailed accounting/audit of the

money the City of Detroit paid money under Case No. 05-522129-CC and b) to enter a final order closing this case.

- Without entry of a final order, the Craig Jones Defendants can not appeal this
 Court's April 28, 2009 Judgment.
- 6. The United States Bankruptcy Court has given the Defendants thirty days to seek entry of a final Order in this condemnation action (05-522129-CC) so that Defendants can seek appeal of right of this Court's April 28, 2009 Judgment. *See* Exhibit 2. Order Setting Deadline.

WHEREFORE, the Craig Jones Defendants asks this court to a) enter an order requiring that the City deliver to Defendants and the Court a detailed accounting/audit of all money and non monetary compensation, including i) just compensation, ii) relocation assistance, iii) attorney fees paid to the City's attorneys, iv) attorney fees paid to the defense attorneys, v) utility payments, vi) eviction costs, vii) taxes, viii) court costs, ix) location where \$240,000.00 just compensation is deposited, x) accrued interests, xi) miscellaneous, etc. the City of Detroit paid under Case No. 05-522129-CC, b) enter a final order in this case (#05-522129-CC) and c) grant the Craig Jones Defendants any further relief that is just and equitable.

Date: June 18, 2018

/s/ Cranston Woodberry (P44755) Attorney for Craig Jones Defendant

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

Case No. 05-522129-CC Hon. Daphne Curtis Means

CITY OF DETROIT, a Michigan municipal corporation,

Plaintiff

V

JONATHAN SOBELOFF, et al

Defendants

SUPPORTING BRIEF

In 2005 the City of Detroit commenced this condemnation action and named the Craig Jones Defendants, among others, as defendants. On April 28, 2009, this Court entered a \$240,000.00 Judgment that authorized the City of Detroit to deduct, at City of Detroit discretion, an unspecified amount of money from the \$240,000.00 Judgment to pay utility bills, rent, eviction costs, etc.

The Craig Jones Defendants have not been paid any amount of just compensation in Case No. 05-522129-CC. An accounting/audit of the money the City of Detroit deducted from the \$240,000.00 Judgment is needed to determine to whom the \$240.000.00 just compensation award was paid.

The Craig Jones Defendants can not appeal the April 28, 2009 Judgment, as a matter right, because the April 28, 2009 Judgment expressly states that "Pursuant to MCR 2.602(A)(3), this Judgment does not resolve the last of any claims and it does not close the case." The April

28, 2009 Judgment is not a final judgment and cannot be appealed as a matter of right. Entry of a final Judgment/Order is mandated by law.

In 2013, the City of Detroit voluntarily filed for Chapter 9 bankruptcy protection. The Craig Jones Defendants, believing that their right to payment of just compensation cannot be discharged in bankruptcy, submitted claims against the City in the bankruptcy action to preserve their right to payment of just compensation.

The bankruptcy Judge determined that claimants are entitled to an appeal of right from a final Judgment in this condemnation action. No final Judgment was entered in the subject condemnation action. A final Judgment/Order must be entered in this case so that the Craig Jones Defendants can appeal the April 28, 2009 Judgment, as a matter of right. Defendants ask this Court to enter a final judgment/order in this condemnation action so that Defendants can pursue their appeal of right.

On May 23, 2018, the bankruptcy Judge entered an Order giving the Woodberry/Mabin claimants thirty days to take action in the Wayne County Circuit Court regarding City of Detroit v Jonathan Sobeloff, Case No. 05-522129-CC. See Exhibit 2, Order Setting Deadline.

The Craig Jones Defendants ask this Court to order the City of Detroit to provide the Court and Defendants a detailed full accounting/audit of all money the City of Detroit paid for whatever reasons in this condemnation action, Case No. 05-522129-CC. The detailed accounting/audit should include costs, attorney fees the City of Detroit paid its attorneys, attorney fees the city paid to Defendants' attorneys, utility bill payment, tax payment, all eviction costs, relocation funds, the location where the \$240,000.00 just compensation is deposited, accrued interest, etc.

Conclusion

The Craig Jones Defendants have not been paid just compensation and are entitled to an appeal of right, especially where the fifth amendment of the United States Constitution guarantees the Craig Jones Defendants payment of just compensation for the City of Detroit's taking of their property.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Cranston Woodberry P44755 Attorney for Craig Jones Defendants

Proof of Service

I certify that a copy of the attached Motion for Entry of Final Order was mailed to Charles Rami, Esq. and Marc N. Swanson, Esq. attorneys for the City of Detroit on June 18, 2018.

/s/ Cranston Woodberry

Exhibit 1 - August 28, 2008 Judgment Case No. 05-522129-CC

2009 MAY -5 AM 10: 23

A TOTAL CONTROL CONTRO

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

CITY OF DETROIT, a Michigan municipal corporation.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 05-522129-CC HON. DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS

05-522129-CC

JDG DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS

11 12 3131 311 3113 13 11 321

7/27/2005

JONATHAN SOBELOFF, Trustee under the Will of Benjamin Rich, deceased, EDITH WOODBERRY; the ESTATE OF JAMES FULLER, deceased, DELORES WILLIAMS, WILLIE FULLER and wife. if any, PATRICIA LOVE a/k/a AUDREY LOVE, FRANKLIN FULLER and wife, if any, WAYNE FULLER and wife, if any, DOUGLAS FULLER and wife, if any, HAPPY WOODBERRY, CRAIG JONES and wife, if any, MICHAEL JONES and wife, if any, PHEBE WOODBERRY, ADAM WOODBERRY and wife, if any, CAVEL WOODBERRY and wife, if any, PENNY MABIN, CRANSTON WOODBERRY, Esq.

CRANSTON WOODBERRY and wife, if any, ROZLYN HARRISON, WARREN GAITHER and wife, if any, DOROTHY ROBINSON, ROGER WOODBERRY and wife, if any, LAVAN WOODBERRY and wife, if any or the JOHN DOE or JANE DOE unknown.

CATHY M. GARRETT WAYNE COUNTY CLERK APR 2 8 2009

DETROIT CITY OF

VE SOBELO F JONATHAN

Defendants.

WILLIAMS AGGSTA, PLLC ATTORNETS AND COUNSELORS GRISWOLD STREET, SUITE 1000 ULTHOUT, IAI 46226-3692

WILLIAMS ACOSTA, PLLC Avery K. Williams (P34731) Krystal N. Lyons (P61982) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 535 Griswold Street, Suite 1000 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 963-3873

JOHN M. NADER (P41610) City of Detroit Law Department Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 660 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1650 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 237-3034

EDITH WOODBERRY In Pro Per Defendant 445 E. Fisher Freeway Detroit, Michigan 48201

CRANSTON WOODBERRY (P44755) Attorney for Defendants 2457 Beaubien Street Detroit, Michigan 48201 (313) 575-9774

JUDGMENT

At a session of said Court held in the City of Detroit, County of Wayne, State of Michigan on APR 2 8 2009

HONORABLE DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS PRESENT: HON.

Circuit Court Judge

This matter having come before the Court upon the City of Detroit's ("the City")

Motion for Summary Disposition; oral argument having been heard; the Court being fully # red 05/18/18 16:21:45 Page 45 of 78 13-53846-tjt Doc 12805 Filed 05/18/18

Jayne County Register of Deeds Bernard J. Youngblood 10:23 AM age 863-886 Page #209188465 May 05,

30



WILLIAMS ACOSTA, PLLC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS 5 GAISWOLD STREET, SUITE 1000 DETROIT, MI 46226-3692 advised in the premises and having granted the City's Motion for Summary Disposition and having dismissed all of Defendants Edith Woodberry's, Craig Jones', Michael Jones', Happy Woodberry's, Phoebe Woodberry's, Adam Woodberry's, Cavel Woodberry's, Penny Mabin's, Cranston Woodberry's, Rozlyn Harrison's, Roger Woodberry's and Lavan Woodberry's ("Defendants") counterclaims in their entirety (see Exhibit 1 - Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion for Summary Disposition) and having denied Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration (see Exhibit 2);

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the City shall pay to Defendants just compensation for the property located at 2457 Beaubien Street, in Detroit, Michigan 48201 ("Subject Property") in the amount of Two Hundred Forty Thousand (\$240,000.00) Dollars (the "Judgment Amount"), less the following amounts which shall be deducted from the Judgment Amount:

- (1) just compensation previously paid to Defendant Edith Woodberry in the amount of \$83,294.72 (see Exhibit 3);
- (2) just compensation previously paid to Defendants Craig Jones, Michael Jones, Happy Woodberry, Phoebe Woodberry, Adam Woodberry, Cavel Woodberry, Penny Mabin, Cranston Woodberry, Rozlyn Harrison's and Roger Woodberry in the amount of \$9,000.00 (see Exhibit 4);
- (3) rent due the City from Defendants in the amount of \$21,600.00 plus an additional \$1,350.00 per month for each month after February 28, 2009 that Defendants hold over and retain possession of and part of the Subject Property, in accordance with the Court's October 2, 2008 Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion to Deduct Rent, Water Bill and Costs Associated with Eviction from Defendants' Estimated Just Compensation (see Exhibit 5);
- (4) \$19,688.69 for water and sewer services provided to Defendants by the City's Water and Sewerage Department, in accordance with the Court's October 2, 2008 Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion to

13-58846-tjt Doc 12805 Filed 05/18/18 Entered 05/18/18 16:21:45 Page 46 of 78

- (5) any and all costs incurred by the City in connection with its having to engage the Wayne County Sheriff and/or its agents to forcibly remove and/or evict Defendants and their possessions from the Subject Property in accordance with the Court's October 2, 2008 Order of Eviction and the Court's October 2, 2008 Order Regarding City of Detroit's Motion to Deduct Rent, Water Bill and Costs Associated with Eviction from Defendants' Estimated Just Compensation (see Exhibits 5 and 6); and
- (6) any taxes, assessments or tax liens that are due and/or delinquent, subject only to the appropriate proration based upon the date of entry of this Order and Defendants' final surrender of physical possession of the Subject Property to the City;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that title to the Subject Property, which is more fully described in the attached Exhibit 7, passed to the City by operation of law effective July 27, 2005, the date the City filed its Complaint to acquire the Subject Property by eminent domain.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that a copy of this Judgment may be recorded with the Wayne County Register of Deeds and recording said Judgment shall be in lieu of a Deed of Conveyance;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendants shall immediately surrender possession of the Subject Property to the City;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this Judgment shall be with prejudice to any further assertion of claims by Defendants against the City arising directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, from the taking of the Subject Property; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Judgment Amount includes any and all payments due to Defendants for real estate, fixtures, business

13-53846-tjt Doc 12805 Filed 05/18/18 Entered 05/18/18 16:21:45 Page 47 of 78

WILLIAMS ACOSIA, PLLC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS 35 GAISWOLD SIFEET, SUITE 1000 DETNOR, IAI 46226-3692




interruption, going concerns, loss of rents, just compensation, leasehold interests, relocation expenses and any other costs, expenses, compensation or damages, attorneys' fees, expert fees, witness fees, and appraisal costs, if any, real or imagined, that are attributable, in whole or in part, to this taking.

Pursuant to MCR 2.602(A)(3), this Judgment does not resolve the last of any claims and it does not close this case.

HONORABLE DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS

CIRCUIT JUDGE



S:\Brush Park - 2410\123 - Sobelof\pleadings\Judgment 1-27-09.doc

13-53846-tjt Doc 12805 Filed 05/18/18 Entered 05/18/18 16:21:45 Page 49 of 78

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	Case No. 13-53846
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,	Chapter 9
Debtor.	Judge Thomas J. Tucket

ORDER SETTING DEADLINE BY WHICH THE WOODBERRY AND MABIN CLAIMANTS MUST TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS, REGARDING THEIR CLAIMS, AND REQUIRING THE CITY OF DETROIT TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER ON ALL SUCH CLAIMANTS

This case came before the Court for a hearing on May 23, 2018, on the Debtor's Fifty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Docket # 12763, the "56th Omnibus Claim Objection"), and on the Debtor's Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Docket # 12769, the "62nd Omnibus Claim Objection"). Claimants Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry, and Cranston Woodberry appeared at the hearing, as did counsel for the Debtor. Confirming certain action taken during the hearing,1 and for the reasons stated by the Court on the record during the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The 56th Omnibus Claim Objection is sustained, with respect to the claim of Edith Woodberry (Claim No. 2846), to the extent of the relief provided by this Order, and otherwise is overruled.
- 2. The 62nd Omnibus Claim Objection is sustained, with respect to the claims of each of the following individuals (who, with Edith Woodberry, collectively, are referred to in this Order below as the "Woodberry/Mabin Claimants"), to the extent of the relief provided by this Order, and otherwise is overruled:

_Claimant:	Claim No
Happy Woodberry	2880
La Jeff Woodberry	2883
Cavel Woodberry	2888
Lavan Woodberry	2889

¹ This Order concerns only the City's objection to the claims of the claimants named in this Order. The remainder of the City's 56th Omnibus Claim Objection and the City's 62nd Omnibus Claim Objection will be decided by other, separate orders to be entered.

13-53846-tjt Doc 12818 Filed 05/23/18 Entered 05/24/18 08:12:21

Penny Mabin	2902
Cranston Woodberry	2905
Garfield Woodberry	3006
Adam Woodberry	3271
Phebe Woodberry	3278.

- 3. No later than June 22, 2018, in order to avoid the entry of an Order by this Court disallowing their claims, the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants must take appropriate action to reactivate the lawsuit in the Wayne County Circuit Court (Case No. 05-522129-CC, City of Detroit v. Jonathan Sobeloff, etc., et al.), and to seek the entry of a final order in that lawsuit, so that the Woodberry/Mabin claimants may seek to appeal that court's Judgment filed April 28, 2009.
- 4. The Woodberry/Mabin Claimants must promptly serve the City's counsel in this bankruptcy case, Marc N. Swanson, by mail, with a copy of all items they file in the Wayne County Circuit Court in order to comply with this Order.
- 5. If the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants fail to timely comply with paragraph 3 of this Order, the City may file an affidavit of non-compliance and submit a proposed order disallowing the claims of the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants in this bankruptcy case, and the Court may enter such order, without further notice or hearing.
- 6. The City must promptly serve a copy of this Order upon each of the Woodberry/Mabin Claimants, and file proof of such service.

Signed on May 23, 2018



/s/ Thomas J. Tucker

Thomas J. Tucker United States Bankruptcy Judge

2

13-53846-tjt Doc 12818 Filed 05/23/18 Entered 05/24/18 08:12:21 Page 2 of 2

EXHIBIT 2

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

<u>Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New Case Search Refine Search Back</u>

 $\label{eq:Location of Non-Criminal Cases} \ \underline{\underline{\mathsf{Images}}} \ \underline{\underline{\mathsf{Mon-Criminal Cases}}} \ \underline{\underline{\mathsf{Manual}}}$

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE No. 05-522129-CC

RELATED CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases

99-915749-CC (Prior Action)

	PAI	RTY INFORMATION
Defendant	DOE JANE	Lead Attorneys No Atty Required
Defendant	DOE JOHN	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JAMES EST OF	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	FULLER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Douglas	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Franklin	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Wayne	No Atty Required
Defendant	Fuller, Willie	No Atty Required
Defendant	GAITHER JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Gaither, Warren	No Atty Required
Defendant	Harrison, Rozlyn	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	JONES JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	JONES JANE DOE	No Atty Required
Defendant	Jones, Craig	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Jones, Michael	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Love, Patricia	No Atty Required
Defendant	Mabin, Penny	Cranston Woodberry
Defendant	Robinson, Dorothy	No Atty Required
Defendant	Sobeloff, Jonathan	No Atty Required

Defendant WOODBERRY EDITH PERS REP In Pro Per Or O	ut Of State
Defendant WOODBERRY JANE DOE No Atty Require	ed
Defendant WOODBERRY JANE DOE No Atty Require	ed
Defendant WOODBERRY JANE DOE No Atty Require	ed
Defendant WOODBERRY JANE DOE No Atty Require	ed
Defendant WOODBERRY JANE DOE No Atty Require	ed
Defendant Woodberry, Adam Cranston Wood	dberry
Defendant Woodberry, Cavel Cranston Wood	dberry
Defendant Woodberry, Cranston Cranston Wood	dberry
Defendant Woodberry, Happy Cranston Wood	dberry
Defendant Woodberry, Lavan Cranston Wood	dberry
Defendant Woodberry, Phebe Cranston Wood	dberry
Defendant Woodberry, Roger Cranston Wood	dberry
Plaintiff DETROIT CITY OF Avery K. William	ms

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

```
OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS
01/27/2005
             Status Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Not Held
             Complaint, Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
07/27/2005
07/27/2005 Status Conference Scheduled
07/27/2005
             Service Review Scheduled
07/27/2005
             Service Review Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/27/2005
             Case Filing Fee - Paid (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/27/2005
             Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
07/29/2005
             Order to Show Cause, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/29/2005
              Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
09/01/2005
             Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Adjourned
09/02/2005
             Objection, Filed
09/02/2005
             Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Not Held
09/06/2005
             Notice of Filing, Filed
09/07/2005
             Order Amending, File Supplemental Pleading, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
             Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
09/07/2005
              Result: Held
09/12/2005
             Notice of Hearing, Filed
09/12/2005
             Proof of Service, Filed
09/30/2005
             Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
              Result: Not Held
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
10/04/2005
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
10/04/2005
             Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
10/04/2005
10/04/2005 Voluntary Dismissal S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
```

10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
10/04/2005	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
	Result: Reviewed by Court		
	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court		
	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court		
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court		
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court		
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court		
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Reviewed by Court		
10/04/2005	Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
	Result: Reviewed by Court Miscellaneous Motion, Filed		
	Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
	Review of Extension of Summons (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore) Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
10/11/2003	Result: Adjourned		
10/11/2005	Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Held		
10/11/2005	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Adjourned		
10/25/2005	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Adjourned		
10/27/2005	Objection, Filed		
	Service of Complaint, filed		
10/28/2005	Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
10/28/2005	Result: Not Held Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
11/10/2005	Result: Not Held		
	Motion for Entry of Order, Filed Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
	Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
	5 Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
11/19/2005	Result: Adjourned		
	Order for Special Service, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore) Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
	Result: Held		
11/18/2005	Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Not Held		
11/22/2005	Certificate, Filed		
	Proof of Publication of Complaint, Filed		
	Proof of Publication of Complaint, Filed		
	Answer to Complaint, filed		
01/10/2006	Jury Demand Filed & Fee Paid Counter Complaint, Filed		
01/10/2006	Affirmative Defenses, Filed		
01/10/2006	Miscellaneous Motion, Filed		
	Answer to Complaint, filed		
01/10/2006	Affirmative Defenses, Filed Brief, Filed		
	Proof of Service, Filed		
01/11/2006	Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
	Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
01/11/2006	Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
	Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore) Show Cause Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
01/11/2000	Result: Adjourned		
	Notice of Hearing, Filed		
01/12/2006	Proof of Service, Filed Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
01/13/2006	Result: Not Held		
	Status Conference Scheduling Order, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)		
01/19/2006	O6 Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)		
01/27/2006	Result: Held Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)		
	Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) Case Scheduled for Evaluation (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)		
	Reason (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)		
	6 Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)		
01/07/0000	Result: Scheduled		
01/27/2006	Status Conference (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore) Result: Scheduled		
02/03/2006	Certificate, Filed		
	·		

```
02/06/2006 Answer to Affirmative Defenses, Filed
02/06/2006 Certificate, Filed
02/06/2006 Reply to Answer, Filed
02/06/2006 Counter Complaint, Filed
02/08/2006 Answer to Motion, Filed
02/08/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/10/2006
            Heard (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/10/2006
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
02/10/2006
02/10/2006
            Witness List, Filed
02/10/2006
            Certificate, Filed
02/10/2006
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
02/10/2006
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
02/10/2006
            Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
02/13/2006
            Reply to Answer, Filed
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
02/17/2006
02/17/2006
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/17/2006
02/17/2006
            Miscellaneous Response, Filed
02/17/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Reply to Answer, Filed
02/22/2006
02/24/2006
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
02/27/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
02/27/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006 Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
02/27/2006
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Witness List, Filed
02/27/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/27/2006
            Motion to Amend, Filed
02/27/2006
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Reviewed by Court
            Miscellaneous Party Update
02/28/2006
02/28/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
03/01/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
            Miscellaneous Party Update
03/01/2006
03/01/2006 Miscellaneous Party Update
03/06/2006
            Motion to Strike
03/06/2006 Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
03/07/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/07/2006 Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
03/07/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/07/2006 Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/08/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/14/2006
03/14/2006
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/15/2006
            Certificate, Filed
03/15/2006
03/15/2006
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/17/2006
03/17/2006
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
03/17/2006
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
03/17/2006
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
03/20/2006
            Received 7-Day Order for Entry
03/20/2006 Received 7-Day Order for Entry
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
03/24/2006
            Reply to Answer, Filed
03/24/2006
            Certificate, Filed
03/28/2006
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
03/28/2006
03/28/2006
            Motion for Default Judgment, Filed
03/28/2006
            Motion for Default Judgment, Filed
            Motion for Default Judgment, Filed
03/28/2006
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/29/2006
03/29/2006 7-Day Order Not Enter
03/29/2006
            Interrogatories, Filed
03/29/2006 Request for Production of Documents, Filed
03/29/2006
            Interrogatories, Filed
03/29/2006 Request for Production of Documents, Filed
03/29/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Certificate, Filed
03/29/2006
            Certificate, Filed
            Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/29/2006
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/29/2006 Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Sapala, Michael F.)
03/31/2006 Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore ) 03/31/2006 Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore ) 03/31/2006 Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
```

```
03/31/2006 Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/31/2006 Certificate, Filed
03/31/2006
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Reviewed by Court
04/03/2006
             Case Evaluation (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Adjourned
04/04/2006
            Settlement Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Adjourned
04/07/2006
            Miscellaneous Party Update
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/17/2006
04/17/2006 Proof of Service, Filed
04/20/2006
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/20/2006 Proof of Service, Filed
04/21/2006
             Default Judgment S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Default Judgment S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
Default Judgment S/F (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
             Result: Motion and/or Praecipe Dismissed
04/21/2006
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
             Result: Held
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
04/21/2006
             Result: Held
04/21/2006
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
04/27/2006
             Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
04/27/2006 Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
04/27/2006
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
04/27/2006 Review Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
            Certificate, Filed
04/28/2006
05/09/2006
             Case Evaluation - Commercial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
05/12/2006
            Witness List, Filed
05/12/2006
             Proof of Service, Filed
05/19/2006
             Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
05/19/2006
             Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
             Certificate, Filed
05/23/2006
05/30/2006
             Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
05/30/2006
             Review Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
             Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
05/31/2006
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
05/31/2006
            Stipulation, Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
05/31/2006
             Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore)
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
06/05/2006
             Opinion of Court, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
06/05/2006
            Result: Motion and/or Praecipe Dismissed
Settlement Conference (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
06/19/2006
             Result: Not Held
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
06/21/2006
             Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/13/2006
07/13/2006
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
            Motion for Stay of Proceedings, filed
07/13/2006
07/18/2006
             Final - Order for Stay of Proceedings, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Torres, Isidore )
07/18/2006
             Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Held
08/11/2006
             Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
08/15/2006
            Case Evaluation - Commercial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/28/2006
            Settlement Conference (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Torres, Isidore)
             Result: Not Held
10/19/2006
            Case Reassigned (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Reassignment - Disqualification, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/19/2006
04/13/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/17/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
04/17/2007
04/17/2007
04/17/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/25/2007
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/25/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
04/25/2007
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/25/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
05/04/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Adjourned
05/04/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
             Result: Not Held
05/17/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/17/2007
            Motion for Order
05/17/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
05/18/2007
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
```

```
Result: Held
05/31/2007 Proof of Service, Filed
05/31/2007
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
06/08/2007 Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
06/14/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/14/2007
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
06/21/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/21/2007 Miscellaneous Response, Filed
06/21/2007
           Objection, Filed
           Notice of Hearing, Filed
06/21/2007
06/21/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/21/2007
           Objection, Filed
06/21/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/21/2007
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
06/22/2007
            Hearing Not Held (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/22/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
06/27/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
06/28/2007
           Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/28/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
06/28/2007
            Objection, Filed
06/28/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/28/2007
            Result: Reviewed by Court
06/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
06/29/2007
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/29/2007
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Case Returned to Open Status (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/29/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
06/29/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
06/29/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
07/13/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/13/2007
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
07/13/2007
            Taken Under Advisement (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
07/27/2007
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/27/2007
07/27/2007
            Continued Comments (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
07/27/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
08/24/2007
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
08/24/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
08/24/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
           Witness List, Filed
08/28/2007
08/28/2007
            Certificate, Filed
            Proof of Service, Filed
09/05/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
09/05/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
09/05/2007
09/07/2007
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
09/21/2007
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/21/2007
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
10/04/2007
           Answer to Motion, Filed
10/04/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/04/2007
10/04/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
10/05/2007
            Motion to Disqualify Judge, Filed
10/09/2007
            Motion to Disqualify Judge, Filed
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/17/2007
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/17/2007
            Result: Held
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Kelly, Mary Beth )
10/24/2007
10/24/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
10/24/2007
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Kelly, Mary Beth)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
11/06/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
11/06/2007 Proof of Service, Filed
11/07/2007
            Answer to Motion, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Kelly, Mary Beth)
11/09/2007
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Kelly, Mary Beth )
11/09/2007
            DeNovo Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Kelly, Mary Beth)
            Result: Held
11/09/2007
            DeNovo Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Kelly, Mary Beth)
            Result: Held
11/20/2007
           Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
```

```
11/21/2007 Dismiss Hearing or Injunction (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Settlement Conference (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Case Scheduled for Evaluation (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/28/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/29/2007
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/29/2007
            Result: Reviewed by Court
11/30/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
11/30/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
12/04/2007
            Motion To Show Cause
12/05/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/05/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/06/2007
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
12/06/2007
            Certificate, Filed
12/06/2007 Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
12/07/2007
           Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Not Held
12/12/2007
           Answer to Motion, Filed
12/12/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
12/14/2007
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/14/2007
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
12/14/2007
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/14/2007
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/14/2007
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
12/17/2007
            Miscellaneous Request, Filed
12/17/2007
            Proof of Service, Filed
12/19/2007
            Brief in Support of Motion, Filed
           Answer to Motion, Filed
12/19/2007
           Proof of Service, Filed
12/21/2007
           Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/21/2007
            Result: Held
           Motion for Stay of Proceedings, filed
01/09/2008
           Answer to Motion, Filed
01/10/2008
01/10/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
01/11/2008
            Transcript - Filed
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/11/2008
01/11/2008
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/11/2008
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
01/11/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
           Case Evaluation - Commercial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/28/2008
           Notice of Filing, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
01/28/2008
01/28/2008
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
           Transcript - Filed
01/30/2008
01/31/2008
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
01/31/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
           Objection, Filed
Notice of Hearing, Filed
01/31/2008
01/31/2008
01/31/2008 Proof of Service, Filed
02/01/2008
            Motion to Extend Time, Filed
02/01/2008
           Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/01/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/07/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
02/07/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/08/2008
            Order Extending Time, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/08/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
02/15/2008
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/15/2008
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
Motion to Compel Taking of Deposition, Filed
            Motion to Compel Taking of Deposition, Filed
02/20/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
02/25/2008
02/25/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/27/2008
            Settlement Conference (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Not Held
02/29/2008
            Motion for Miscellaneous Action Granted, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
02/29/2008
           Answer to Motion, Filed
02/29/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/29/2008
           Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
03/03/2008
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/05/2008
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
03/06/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
03/07/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/07/2008
03/12/2008 Case Evaluation Envelope, filed
```

```
03/13/2008 Objection, Filed
03/13/2008
           Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/13/2008
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/13/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
03/14/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
03/18/2008
           Settlement Conference (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Adjourned
03/19/2008
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/19/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/27/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/27/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/28/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
03/28/2008
           Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Not Held
03/31/2008
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
04/03/2008
            Transcript - Filed
            Transcript - Filed
04/07/2008
04/11/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/11/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
05/01/2008
            Case Scheduled for Trial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
05/01/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
05/06/2008
           Transcript - Filed
05/06/2008
            Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/15/2008 Proof of Service, Filed
05/15/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
           Answer to Motion, Filed
05/19/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
05/29/2008 Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
           Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
Result: Adjourned
07/28/2008
08/28/2008
            Motion to Amend. Filed
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
09/04/2008
09/04/2008
           Proof of Service, Filed
09/04/2008
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
09/09/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/09/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/09/2008
            Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Case Scheduled for Trial (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/10/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/10/2008
            Status Conference (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Scheduled
09/10/2008
           Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Reviewed by Court
Granted (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/12/2008
            Granted (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/12/2008
            Granted (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/12/2008
09/12/2008
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
09/12/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/12/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/12/2008
            Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/12/2008
           Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
09/15/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
09/15/2008
09/19/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
            Received 7-Day Order for Entry
09/19/2008
           Received 7-Day Order for Entry
09/19/2008
            Motion for Summary Judgment/Disposition, Filed
09/23/2008
09/23/2008
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
            Objection to 7-Day Order-Filed
09/23/2008
09/23/2008
            Case Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Miscellaneous Judicial Hearing Activity (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/23/2008
            Result: Reviewed by Court
09/24/2008
            Objection, Filed
           Objection, Filed
09/24/2008
            Motion Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
09/26/2008
09/26/2008
           Motion Hearing Scheduled (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/01/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
10/01/2008
           Miscellaneous Response, Filed
10/01/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
           Order Amending, File Supplemental Pleading, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
10/02/2008
10/02/2008 Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
```

```
10/02/2008 Motion Hearing (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
10/02/2008
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Result: Held
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
10/15/2008
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
10/21/2008
            Motion Hearing (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
10/21/2008
            Result: Held
10/24/2008
            Claim of Appeal Filed in 201
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
11/17/2008
11/17/2008
           Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/05/2008
           Affidavit, Filed
12/08/2008 Affidavit, Filed
12/09/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
12/09/2008
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
12/09/2008
           Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
12/09/2008 Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed
           Miscellaneous Response, Filed
12/10/2008
            Answer to Motion, Filed
12/10/2008
12/12/2008
            CANCELED Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
              Not Held
            Result: Not Held
12/12/2008 Closed/Final -Ord Summary Jdmnt/Disp Grntd, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
            Order, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
12/12/2008
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
12/12/2008
            Order, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/17/2008
12/22/2008
            Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
12/22/2008
           General Brief, Filed
12/22/2008
            Proof of Service, Filed
12/22/2008 Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed
12/22/2008
            General Brief, Filed
           Proof of Service, Filed
12/22/2008
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
12/22/2008
           Motion Received for Scheduling
           Notice of Hearing, Filed
Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
12/29/2008
01/13/2009
            Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )

CANCELED Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
01/13/2009
01/26/2009
              Case Disposed/Order Previously Entered
02/02/2009
            Received 7-Day Order for Entry
02/06/2009
            Objection to 7-Day Order, Filed
            Objection to 7-Day Order, Filed
02/06/2009
02/09/2009
            Objection, Filed
02/09/2009
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/09/2009
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
02/09/2009
            Objection, Filed
02/09/2009
            Proof of Service, Filed
02/09/2009
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
03/10/2009
            Answer to Motion, Filed
03/10/2009
            Proof of Service, Filed
03/12/2009
           Motion Hearing (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
               02/27/2009 Reset by Court to 03/12/2009
04/14/2009
            CANCELED Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
              Scheduling Error
            Motion to Amend, Filed
04/14/2009
04/14/2009
           Brief in Support of Motion, Filed
           Notice of Hearing, Filed
04/14/2009
04/14/2009
           Proof of Service, Filed
04/27/2009
           Motion to Dismiss, Filed
            General Brief, Filed
04/27/2009
04/27/2009
            Notice of Hearing, Filed
            Proof of Service, Filed
04/27/2009
            Motion Received for Scheduling
04/27/2009
            Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/28/2009
            Result: Held
04/28/2009
            Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/28/2009
            Motion Denied, Order to Follow (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
            Order Amending, File Supplemental Pleading, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
            Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
           Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means)
04/29/2009
            Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means
            Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
04/29/2009
            Subpoena-Order to Appear, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means )
Motion Received for Scheduling
04/29/2009
05/04/2009
           Order Denying Motion, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means ) Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/04/2009
05/04/2009
05/04/2009
            Affidavit, Filed
05/06/2009
            Motion to Quash, Filed
05/07/2009
           Miscellaneous Request, Filed
05/28/2009
           Miscellaneous Motion, Filed
05/28/2009 Motion Received for Scheduling
06/04/2009 Miscellaneous Hearing (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means)
```

05/14/2009 Reset by Court to 06/04/2009 06/04/2009 Motion Hearing (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Result: Held 06/04/2009 Affidavit, Filed 06/04/2009 Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) 06/04/2009 Order for Miscellaneous Action, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) 07/07/2009 CANCELED Miscellaneous Hearing (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) District Meaning Filed 07/17/2009 Proof of Service, Filed 07/17/2009 Notice of Hearing, Filed 08/06/2009 Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Result: Held 08/06/2009 Administrative Correction 08/06/2009 Order Denying, Signed and Filed (Judicial Officer: Curtis, Daphne Means) 08/12/2009 CANCELED Review Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Curtis, Daphne Means) Scheduling Error 08/27/2009 Motion for Reconsideration/Rehearing, Filed 03/13/2012 Miscellaneous Pleadings, Filed 06/18/2018 Miscellaneous Motion, Filed 06/18/2018 Proof of Service, Filed

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Plaintiff DETROIT CITY (Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credi Balance Due as of 07/11/	nt its		80.00 20.00 60.00
12/17/2008 12/17/2008	Transaction Assessment Transaction Assessment Transaction Assessment Transaction Assessment eFiling	Receipt # 2018-47474	Cranston, Woodberry	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 (20.00)

EXHIBIT 2

PROPOSED ORDER

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

ORDER EXPUNGING AND DISALLOWING CLAIM NUMBER 2846 OF EDITH WOODBERRY, CLAIM NUMBER 2883 OF LA JEFF WOODBERRY AND CLAIM NUMBER 3006 OF GARFIELD WOODBERRY

This matter having come before the Court on the Affidavit of Marc N. Swanson Regarding Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a Copy of This Order on All Such Claimants and it appearing that no other or further notice need be given;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- Claim Number 2846 of Edith Woodberry is expunged and disallowed. 1.
- 2. Claim Number 2883 of La Jeff Woodberry is expunged and disallowed.
- 3. Claim Number 3006 of Garfield Woodberry is expunged and disallowed.

- The City shall promptly serve a copy of this Order on Edith 4. Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry and Garfield Woodberry.
- 5. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register accordingly.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Judge Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

ORDER EXPUNGING AND DISALLOWING CLAIM NUMBER 2846 OF EDITH WOODBERRY, CLAIM NUMBER 2883 OF LA JEFF WOODBERRY AND CLAIM NUMBER 3006 OF GARFIELD WOODBERRY

This case is before the Court on the affidavit filed on July 11, 2018, entitled "Affidavit of Marc N. Swanson Regarding Order Setting Deadline by Which the Woodberry and Mabin Claimants Must Take Certain Actions, Regarding Their Claims, and Requiring the City of Detroit to Serve a Copy of This Order on All Such Claimants" (Docket # 12851). Based on that Affidavit, and based on the Court's Order filed on May 23, 2018 at Docket # 12818;

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- Claim Number 2846 of Edith Woodberry is expunged and disallowed. 1.
- 2. Claim Number 2883 of La Jeff Woodberry is expunged and disallowed.
- 3. Claim Number 3006 of Garfield Woodberry is expunged and disallowed.
- 4. The City must promptly serve a copy of this Order on Edith Woodberry, La Jeff Woodberry and Garfield Woodberry.

The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register 5. accordingly.

Signed on July 12, 2018



/s/ Thomas J. Tucker

Thomas J. Tucker **United States Bankruptcy Judge**